P-DARM442.docx 06/2023

2021 Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program

ANNUAL REPORT

Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection
Agricultural Resource Management Division
Environmental Quality Unit
03-29-2023

Table of Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

Introduction

In 2021, the Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection's (DATCP) Agrichemical Management (ACM) Bureau continued the Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program to document the effect continual pesticide use is having on groundwater quality. Groundwater monitoring was performed by DATCP staff across a network of 62 monitoring wells and piezometers at 24 established locations. At each location, depth to groundwater is measured and groundwater samples are collected in the spring and fall to identify pesticide concentrations and evaluate seasonal variations. Collected samples are submitted to DATCP's Bureau of Laboratory Services (BLS) for chemical analysis. This report has been prepared to document 2021 Program activities and includes a summary of groundwater level measurements and analytical data results. Recommendations for the 2022 Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program plan, based on historic trend results, are also presented in this report.

A compilation of acronyms and definitions used throughout this document is provided in Appendix A – Acronyms and Definitions.

Purpose of Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring

It is estimated that agriculture contributes $104.8 billion annually to Wisconsin's economy (Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection, 2023a). Growers in Wisconsin use several million pounds of pesticides and tons of fertilizers annually to grow a wide variety of crops. DATCP's Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program is one form of monitoring the agency performs to meet its statutory obligation to protect groundwater quality. Wisconsin's groundwater law, Chapter 160, Wis. Stats., requires agencies to sample and monitor groundwater for substances related to facilities, activities, and practices under their jurisdiction, that have a reasonable probability of entering the groundwater resources of the state, and to determine whether preventive action limits (PAL) or enforcement standards (ES) have been exceeded at points of standard application. The statute further specifies that agencies should develop monitoring plans that include provisions for conducting four types of monitoring (Wis. Stats., Ch. §160.05 and §160.27):

1. Problem assessment monitoring, to detect substances in the groundwater and to assess the significance of the concentrations of the detected substances;
2. Regulatory monitoring, to determine if preventive action limits or enforcement standards are attained or exceeded and to obtain information necessary for the implementation of responses with respect to specific sites;
3. At-risk monitoring, to define and sample at-risk potable wells in areas where substances are detected in the groundwater or where preventive action limits or enforcement standards are attained or exceeded; and
4. Management practice monitoring, to assure practices are within compliance regulations.

The purpose of the Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program (Program) is to evaluate agricultural practices and agrichemical uses on groundwater quality (problem assessment and regulatory monitoring). Depth to groundwater measurements and groundwater sample results are used to measure affects from agrichemical practices and use within and adjacent to agricultural fields. Affects to groundwater quality from agrichemical use is dependent on conditions at each location. Results are used to measure both localized and regional affects to aquifers over time at each field-edge sampling site. Historic and current goals of the Program include the following:

- Provide an early warning system to detect new agrichemical compounds in groundwater before widespread contamination can occur in underlying aquifers.
- Identify and measure pesticide concentrations that may have a potential to migrate to groundwater and exceed groundwater quality standards.
- Identify which environmental conditions (i.e. depth to groundwater, soil type, and geologic setting) are most vulnerable to conditions from routine agrichemical use.
- Gather and compile data regarding the occurrence and persistence of pesticide and metabolites in groundwater that may affect drinking water wells so that health-based groundwater quality standards can be established.

- Study the dissipation of restricted use pesticides (i.e. atrazine) in groundwater after prohibition areas are established or use is restricted, and the dissipation of pesticides no longer in use (i.e. aldicarb).
- Gather and compile long-term data on nitrate contamination in groundwater and its relationship to application practices.
- Evaluate affects to groundwater quality from various land uses and related pesticide use (i.e. tree nurseries, infiltration basins, golf courses).

Program Approach

DATCP and the property owner typically have access agreements allowing DATCP to install and access wells for sample collection. Typically, a monitoring well nest consists of a shallow well intersecting the water table and adjacent deeper wells (piezometers) installed with well screens placed at deeper depths within the underlying aquifer. These well nests are installed at the edge of an agricultural field to measure potential affects from routine agrichemical use. Well locations were carefully selected to avoid interference from other potential sources (i.e. septic systems).

Over time, monitoring well nests have been installed within a variety of geologic settings, often in areas prone to groundwater contamination, such as areas with sandy soil, shallow depths to bedrock, or shallow groundwater. Nested well locations have two to five monitoring wells/piezometers. The shallowest well intersects the water table with piezometers installed at deeper intervals. Table B 1 in Appendix B provides construction specifications for each well in the Program's groundwater monitoring well network. Figure 1 depicts the Program's monitoring locations relative to State of Wisconsin and county boundaries.

Program data collection and documentation are completed in accordance with established protocols and guidance (Wisconsin Department of Agricutlure, Trade and Consumer Protection, 2021; Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, 1996). Depth to water measurements and sample collection procedures are designed to collect reliable data consistently and in an unbiased fashion to ensure that localized conditions and regional impacts to aquifers over time can be evaluated. Field sampling observations and water level measurements are recorded in field notebooks. The compiled field information, along with laboratory results, are retained in databases maintained by DATCP.

Standard operating procedures for groundwater sampling include the following:

- After unlocking the protective casing, remove the well cap to allow the water level to equilibrate with atmospheric pressure before measuring and recording the water level at each well.
- Each well is then properly purged to remove a minimum of four well casing volumes. Purging is performed either by using dedicated bailers and rope, peristatic pumps (low flow) with dedicated tubing, or submersible electric pumps (i.e. whale or tornado pumps) with dedicated tubing. The volume of water removed is measured and recorded in the field logbook.
- Samples are then collected and placed in laboratory-provided containers using either sampling equipment dedicated to the well, or with equipment that is decontaminated prior to use.
- Samples are placed into coolers and held on ice while in transport to the laboratory.
- Water purged from the wells and any rinse water used for cleaning is discarded on the ground surface.
- Field information is recorded in logbooks and maintained by ACM staff.

Groundwater samples are collected using the same equipment used for purging. Samples are collected in one-liter amber glass bottles provided by BLS. (Fifty-millimeter plastic containers were used for select glyphosate sampling.) Bottles and containers are then placed in a cooler and held on ice along with a properly completed sample collection record and hand- delivered to BLS within 48 hours. During the 2021 Program, there were no issues with shipping or bottle breakage.

BLS performed all groundwater analytical testing using gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy (GC/MS/MS) and liquid chromatography/mass spectroscopy (LC/MS/MS) methods in accordance with ISO 17025 accreditation standards. All samples were tested for 106 pesticide analytes as well as nitrogen as nitrate plus nitrite. Pesticide analytes are listed in Table B 2 of Appendix B along with corresponding reporting limits. A summary of the 2021 Program analytical data results is listed in Table B 3 of Appendix B. Individual monitoring well or piezometer analytical reports are available upon request.

DATCP provides annual Program findings documentation for each site to the respective property owner or grower. The summary letters provide the year's water level data and analytical results, and includes a brief discussion of data trends over time. As part of the letter, growers are asked to reply with information regarding crops grown, pesticide use, and the amount of nitrogen applied to the fields near monitoring wells.

Program Assets and Infrastructure

The groundwater-monitoring network for the 2021 Field-Edge Monitoring Program included 62 groundwater monitoring wells (31 water table observation wells and 42 piezometers) at 24 locations/stations around the state. Table B 1 in Appendix B lists well construction specifications associated with these Program assets. Figure 1 depicts the Program's monitoring sites relative to State of Wisconsin and county boundaries. Construction logs and well development forms (and abandonment forms) associated with the groundwater monitoring wells and piezometers are available upon request. The following is a summary of the Program's well installation history.

Figure 1: 2021 Monitoring Well Sites



1985-1989 ORIGINAL MONITORING WELLS AND PIEZOMETERS

The DATCP Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program began in 1985. Initially, arrangements with growers and landowners at 50 sites were established in areas highly susceptible to groundwater contamination (i.e. coarse soil over sand, shallow to groundwater and/or irrigated agricultural areas). Groundwater monitoring nests with three to four wells were installed at each site. Nested wells were constructed with well screens placed at various depths in the underlying aquifer. These wells were constructed adjacent to agricultural fields in the Central Sands region, Lower Wisconsin River Valley, and at other sandy soil areas throughout the state. The original Field-Edge Study was designed to collect groundwater samples from the uppermost shallow aquifer. Samples were tested for a limited number of agrichemicals and fertilizer to evaluate potential impacts to shallow groundwater from routine agricultural practices performed at nearby fields.

Data from the Program's initial years led to the establishment of statewide pesticide management plans for both atrazine and aldicarb. Over the years, many of the wells installed for the initial study have been abandoned due to changes in land ownership, urban encroachment, or damage. Of the original 50 sites, monitoring wells remain at 16 sites and were included in the 2021 monitoring Program.

2005 MONITORING PROGRAM EXPANSION

In the fall of 2005, DATCP expanded its groundwater monitoring network with funding from a United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) grant. New monitoring wells and piezometers were constructed at six sites based on local agricultural practices and susceptible to groundwater contamination (i.e. shallow groundwater with permeable subsurface soil units). Each of the six sites selected for Program expansion were used for a prior groundwater monitoring study (Evaluation of Renewed Use of Atrazine in Atrazine Prohibition Areas), completed by DATCP in 2005. That study (also known as the Atrazine Reuse Study) was performed to gather information to evaluate the potential to repeal atrazine prohibition areas.

The groundwater flow direction was determined as part of the Atrazine Reuse Study. Using that information, two monitoring wells were installed hydraulically down gradient and adjacent to agricultural fields at the six new sites. All six of these sites still were included in the 2021 monitoring Program.

2010 UNIVERSITY WISCONSIN–OSHKOSH WELLS

In the spring of 2010, DATCP became aware of a forthcoming study by a University of Wisconsin-Oshkosh graduate student and the Wisconsin Geological and Natural History Survey (WGNHS). The study included installation of shallow bedrock monitoring wells at the edge of agricultural fields in a karst geological setting. It used monitoring wells at sites in Brown, Calumet, Kewaunee, and Manitowoc counties. Bedrock fractures at each well were identified by the study team. Groundwater samples were collected by the study team and DATCP, and tested annually as part of this Program between 2010 and 2014. The study was completed and all monitoring wells were subsequently abandoned in 2014.

2011 MONITORING PROGRAM EXPANSION

In the summer and fall of 2011, DATCP expanded its groundwater monitoring network again with additional funding from an US EPA grant. Monitoring wells were constructed at two new stations in La Crosse and Trempealeau Counties. These wells were installed along an elevated terrace adjacent to the Mississippi River. Since the groundwater flow direction was known at each site (both locations were part of the Atrazine Reuse Study), DATCP installed two groundwater monitoring wells at each site at the hydraulically down gradient edge of each agricultural field. Wells at both sites remain and were included in the 2021 Program.

2017 MONITORING PROGRAM EXPANSION

In the summer and fall of 2017, DATCP further expanded the groundwater monitoring network with additional funding from a US EPA grant. Piezometers were constructed at three existing sites (two sites in Adams County and one in Portage County) and at one new site, the Hancock Agricultural Research Station (HARS). At each of these sites, two piezometers were installed near the existing groundwater monitoring nest with five-foot screens located at depths greater than 50 feet and 80 feet. The purpose was to evaluate groundwater quality relative to agrichemical uses at deeper aquifer intervals and compare data to shallower aquifer depths. A water table observations well (well screen placed to intersect the water table) was also constructed at HARS. The HARS site and nested wells at the Adams and Portage County sites remain and were included in the 2021 Program.

2021 MONITORING PROGRAM EXPANSION/ABANDONMENT

In the summer and fall of 2021, DATCP obtained additional funding from a US EPA grant again to expand the groundwater monitoring network. Eleven monitoring wells/piezometers were installed at six existing nested monitoring well sites. New wells were installed at sites in Adams County (AD2 and AD5), Dane County (DN1), Sauk County (SK6), Waushara County (WS7), and at two sites in Iowa County (IW1 and IW2). A monitoring well was also installed at the Dane County site to replace a well that was damaged beyond repair and subsequently abandoned in 2018. This shallow well was installed with a well screen intersecting the water table. Wells installed at the other five sites were constructed as piezometers with well screens placed 30 to

40 feet further in depth below the deepest existing piezometer screen already on-site in the well nest. These new piezometers were constructed with 5-foot long well screens. The purpose was to evaluate groundwater quality relative to agrichemical uses at deeper aquifer intervals and compare data across vertical aquifer horizons. All new wells were included in the 2021 fall sampling event.

Additionally, five wells at two monitoring locations were removed from the Program in 2021 in response to a change in property ownership. New owners for two Adams County sites (AD3 and AD4) did not want to continue to participate in the Program and requested removal of the wells. Two shallow water table observation monitoring wells and three piezometers were abandoned in December 2021.

2021 Results

A total of 126 water level measurements and 106 groundwater samples were collected as a part of DATCP's 2021 Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program. All groundwater samples were submitted to BLS for chemical analysis. Table B 3 in Appendix B summarizes 2021 Program analytical results and provides comparative risk values. The analytical data is compared to groundwater/drinking water standards to assess potential risk to human health and the environment. The risk values are sourced from the Wisc. Admin. Code ch. NR 140 for groundwater qualitative health standard limits and Wisconsin Department of Health Services (DHS) drinking water health advisories.

Key findings for 2021 include the following.

- One groundwater monitoring well (DN1) and seven piezometers (AD2, AD5, IW1, IW2, SK6 and WS7) were constructed at existing monitoring nest locations in 2021 and added to the Program. Groundwater samples for these locations were only collected during the fall sampling.
- Two monitoring nest locations (AD3 and AD4) were removed from the Program. Wells and piezometers were properly abandoned in December 2021. Groundwater samples were only collected during the spring sampling event from these two locations.
- Information regarding field use of pesticides and fertilizer was requested from growers for 23 sites, but only eight growers responded.
- Water level measurements show a slight decline in water table elevations in 2021 due to reduced precipitation compared to prior years. In 2021, according to National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), the state received on average 3.9 inches of precipitation less than normal conditions. Above-average precipitation levels were recorded during the prior five years.
- Laboratory analysis include 106 pesticide analytes for the laboratory testing methods. During 2021, 33 pesticide analytes were detected in excess of reporting limits in numerous groundwater samples, which is similar to previous years.
- Pesticides detected in 2021 samples in excess of laboratory reporting limits include 13 herbicides, 13 herbicide metabolites, six insecticides, and one fungicide.
- It appears that pesticides were detected at slightly greater concentrations during the fall sampling event compared to spring results.
- Overall, analytical data collected at nested monitoring wells indicates that pesticide and nitrogen concentrations increase with depth. Greater concentrations at depth indicate that pesticides migrate vertically and laterally within the underlying aquifers. This trend is consistent with prior years' findings. However, the greatest pesticide and nitrogen concentrations (aside of atrazine and its metabolites) were not observed in the deepest wells installed in 2021. New monitoring wells were constructed in 2021 with screens at deeper depths.
- Metolachlor ethanesulfonic acid (ESA) was detected in excess of laboratory reporting limits in 98% of all samples collected, and was the most frequently detected pesticide in 2021. Additionally, ESA was detected at each groundwater monitoring site. This is consistent with prior years' findings.
- Clothianidin was the second most frequently detected compound. It was detected in excess of laboratory reporting limits in 75% of the samples collected, and at 20 of the 24 groundwater monitoring sites. These observations are consistent with findings from prior years.
- Alachlor ESA was the third most frequently detected compound. It was detected in excess of laboratory reporting limits in 65% of the samples collected, a 10% decrease in detection rate compared to the

previous year. However, the number of sites where it was detected (19 sites) is consistent with the prior year's findings.

- Atrazine concentrations or one of its breakdown products (de-ethyl atrazine, de-isopropyl atrazine and diamino atrazine) was detected in excess of laboratory reporting limits in 46% of the samples collected. At each site with nested wells, results were evaluated by well depth. The greatest concentrations were detected in groundwater samples collected from the deepest piezometers.
- Neonicotinoid compounds clothianidin, imidacloprid and thiamethoxam were detected in excess of laboratory reporting limits in 75%, 50% and 45%, respectively, of the samples collected in 2021. The frequency of detection is similar to observations from the previous year.
- There were no Wisc. Admin. Code, ch. NR 140 ES exceedances of established groundwater quality health standards. (Note; only 31 of the 106 pesticides tested for have established groundwater quality health standard levels). However, there were exceedances of Wisc. Admin. Code, ch. NR 140 PAL for alachlor ESA, atrazine, de-ethyl atrazine, de-isopropyl atrazine, di-amino atrazine, and atrazine total chlorinated residuals (TCR).
- The Wisconsin Department of Health Services (WDHS) has also established drinking water quality advisories for several pesticides. Imidacloprid was detected at 14 out of 24 sites, with 12 of the 14 samples exceeding the WDHS drinking water health advisory level of 0.2 micrograms per liter (µg/L) or parts per billion (ppb).

GROWER RESPONSES

DATCP obtained limited information for 2021 regarding crops grown, pesticide use, and the amount of nitrogen applied to the fields adjacent to monitoring wells. A request for this information was included with each summary letter sent to nearby property owners and growers. Responses to the information request is voluntary. DATCP received replies from eight of the 23 sites. No information was requested from HARS for site WS7. Table B 4 in Appendix B summarizes information provided by the growers along with available information from the previous four years. The following Table 1 is a summary of crops grown adjacent to the monitoring well nests and nitrogen use data for 2021.

Table 1: Crops Grown and Nitrogen Applied on Fields Adjacent to Field-Edge Stations

Crop	Number of Sites with Crops	Percent of Sites (reported)	Range of Nitrogen Applied (lbs / acre)
Corn	4	50%	133 – 518
Potatoes	1	12.5.%	282.9
Snap Beans	2	25%	65-152.5
Soybeans	1	12.5%	0

Irrigation systems are present at 19 of the 24 monitoring sites. Of the 19 sites with irrigation systems, seven sites provided water usage data for 2021. Growers reported that the range of irrigation water applied to the fields in 2021 ranged from 4.2 to 15.60 inches per acre.

Growers were also asked if they have state-approved Nutrient Management Plans for the adjacent fields. Of the eight respondents, only one indicated they have an approved plan.

A wide variety of pesticides used on fields adjacent to field-edge monitoring wells was reported by the growers. Metolachlor was the most widely used active ingredient pesticide followed by glyphosate. A total of 26 different active ingredients (pesticide compounds) were reported to be applied in 2021 to the fields. Table B 4 in Appendix B identifies the complete list of pesticides used in 2021 as reported by the growers.

WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

Depth to water level measurements are recorded for each well prior to collecting groundwater samples for laboratory analysis. Water level data is incorporated into a DATCP database for evaluation of historic trends. Water level data for 2021 was measured in the late spring (April and May), and fall (October and November). Overall, water level measurements for 2021 show declining trends, but remained at or above the average elevation compared to historic readings. Wisconsin averages 33.5 inches of precipitation annually. In 2021, the state of Wisconsin as a whole experienced below-average precipitation levels; 29.6 inches of rain (Wisconsin State Climatology Office, 2023). Shown in Figure 2 at a more localized level is the total accumulated precipitation, mapped across Wisconsin by the Wisconsin State Climatology Office. As indicated, there was an uneven distribution of rainfall across the state in 2021. The northern half of the state accumulated between 30 to 40 inches of precipitation while the southern half accumulated 15 to 25 inches of precipitation in 2021.

Figure 2: Accumulated Precipitation from the Wisconsin Monthly Climate Watch Archive



As recorded by NOAA, Figure 3 summarizes the 2021 total annual precipitation in the counties where Program groundwater monitoring stations are located. The various colors indicate the monthly precipitation data at each location.

It was reported in the NOAA Storm Events Database, from January through late February, that numerous heavy snow events occurred in northern Wisconsin while the lower portions of the state received minimal snowfall (NOOA - National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 2023a). In late May, there were multiple heavy rain events from Crawford County to La Crosse County, cumulatively receiving 9 to 13 inches of rain in less than a week, resulting in flash floods. In late June through early July, multiple storms moved across western Wisconsin, with La Crosse to Eau Claire County impacted the most, resulting in a rapid accumulation of 2 to 6 inches of rain, flash floods, and streambank erosion. In late July, a storm event in eastern Wisconsin from Fond du Lac to Milwaukee County resulted in urban and stream flooding. Although occasional storms were recorded, from April through December, it was a dry season for southern Wisconsin, where droughts were declared, and southeastern Wisconsin reported severe (D2) to extreme (D3) drought conditions, indicating potentially significant crop die-off according to the National Integrated Drought Information System (NOAA - National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 2023b). The remainder of precipitation throughout the year, from August through December, primarily consisted of minor seasonal storm events.

Figure 3: Monthly Precipitation Totals for Sampling-Site Counties from the NOAA Monthly Climate Watch Archive



Monthly statewide precipitation departure from the historical normal was obtained from the Wisconsin State Climatology Office and is displayed on Figure 4 (Wisconsin State Climatology Office, 2023). During 2021, January, February, April, May, September, October, and November reflected a positive departure from normal, meaning that there was a decrease in precipitation compared to average. These range from -0.2 to -1.6 inches less than normal. Conversely, March, July, August, and December showed a positive departure from normal, meaning there was an increase in precipitation. These values ranged from 0.4 to 1.6 inches.

Figure 4: Wisconsin Monthly Precipitation Departures (from 1991-2020 Average) for 2021



Similarly, Figure 5 depicts the departure from normal for the accumulated precipitation for 2021 data. Positive values, indicated in green, represent where total precipitation for the year was greater than average; negative departures, indicated by the yellow and orange colors, represent areas where total precipitation was lower than average. Notably, southern Wisconsin experienced 10 to 17 inches of total precipitation less than normal, shown in Figure 5. According to NOAA's *Annual 2021 National Climate Report*, Wisconsin accrued greater than a 3.90-inch deficit relative to normal conditions. This is the first negative precipitation total in the state of Wisconsin since 2012 (National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI), 2021).

Back to TOC

Figure 5: Wisconsin Accumulated Precipitation (in): Departure from 1991-2020 Average



The following Figures (6 to 8) provide examples of measured water level fluctuations over time for three wells in the groundwater monitoring network. These three wells are at sites with infrastructure for irrigation. Growers responded to requests for information for the DU1 and IW1 sites; there was no irrigation water usage data provided for site AD2. Graphs showing water level measurement trends for all other wells in the groundwater monitoring network are available upon request.

2021 water level data for the Adams County station indicate a lowering water level relative to the immediate past (Figure 6). However, 2021 water levels are still greater than the average for the duration of the monitoring Program. There was an average level of precipitation in the area in 2021, recorded at 32 inches, versus above average levels in the past couple of years.

Figure 6: Historic Water Table Level Data for a Field-Edge Monitoring Station AD2 in Adams County



2021 water level data for a Dunn County station also indicated a continued decrease compared to the previous year (Figure 7). However, 2021 water levels are still greater than the average over the duration of the monitoring Program. As listed in the grower response, the adjacent property owners reportedly irrigated 15.6 inches of water on the corn crop in 2021. This volume is the greatest amount of water used for irrigation on the property over the past five years, which had ranged from 0.8 to 3.97 inches.

Figure 7: Historic Water Table Level Data for a Field-Edge Monitoring Station DU1 in Dunn County



2021 water level data for an Iowa County station indicates stable water table conditions, consistent with historical measurements (Figure 8). Because this site is near the Wisconsin River, it is likely influenced by river water levels. High water table conditions in the spring have been observed several times at this location over the course of the monitoring Program. The overall trend continues to indicate a stable trend over the past 20 years, which likely correlates to nearby river elevations. As listed in the grower response, the adjacent property owners reportedly irrigated 9.4 inches of water on the corn crop in 2021. This is within the range of irrigation reported for this property in the past five years, which ranged from 5.7 to 21 inches of water.

Figure 8: Historic Water Table Level Data for a Field-Edge Monitoring Station IW1 in Iowa County



DATCP is planning to complete an additional evaluation of groundwater elevation data for each individual monitoring site as part of a detailed study. Historical water level monitoring data will be evaluated for each site and results will be documented in a separate report prepared for each site (*Historical Field-Edge Site Data Analysis*). This evaluation will include a comparison of water level trends to precipitation records. These reports are planned to be completed over a three-year period with the first group available in 2023.

PESTICIDE DETECTION FREQUENCY

Thirty-three of the 106 analytes tested in DATCP's 2021 Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program were detected in excess of laboratory reporting limits. The number of compounds detected in 2021 increased from 28 pesticides detected in the prior year. Clopyralid, dimethenamid OA, and prometon were detected for the first time in the field edge monitoring pProgram in 2021. A pesticide analyte was detected in every groundwater sample collected in the 2021 Field Edge Program, with exception of two samples collected from the monitoring well network located in Barron County. Pesticides detected in excess of laboratory reporting limits in 2021 samples include 13 herbicides, 13 herbicide metabolites, six insecticides, and one fungicide.

The most frequently detected pesticide compounds detected in 2021 are listed in Figure 9. This includes all pesticide analytes detected at a concentration greater than the laboratory reporting limit at a frequency greater than 20%. The number of compounds detected at this rate is increased in 2021 compared to prior years.

Figure 9: Percentage of 2021 Samples with Detectable Pesticide Concentrations (Includes all analytes detected in 20% or more of all samples collected)



Notes: *Atrazine TCR is total chlorinated residues of atrazine, which includes the sum of atrazine plus its metabolites de-ethyl atrazine, de-isopropyl atrazine, and di-amino atrazine.*

Metolachlor ESA was the most frequently detected analyte in excessive of laboratory reporting limits. It is a breakdown product of metolachlor, which is an active ingredient in corn herbicides. Metolachlor ESA was detected at every site and in 98% of all samples collected.

Clothianidin was the second most frequently detected compound in 2021. It was detected in excess of laboratory reporting limits at 20 of the 24 sites and in 75% of the samples collected. This rate of detection represents a continuing increase of clothianidin detections since clothianidin testing began 14 years ago. In previous years, clothianidin detections were commonly observed at sites within the Central Sands Agricultural Region, but rarely observed elsewhere. Clothianidin is now widely detected at most field-edge monitoring well sites within agricultural-intense areas.

The third most frequently detected analyte for the 2021 Program was alachlor ESA. It was detected in excess of laboratory reporting limits at 19 of 24 sites and in 65% of the samples collected. This represents a 10% decrease in the number of alachlor ESA detections since 2020.

COMPARISON TO STANDARDS

The Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) sets groundwater quality standards in Wisc. Admin. Code ch. NR 140, which includes substances of public health concern based on recommendations from WDHS. These standards have two parts, the ES and the PAL. The ES is a level that, if exceeded, requires intervention from the appropriate authority. In the case of pesticides in drinking water, DATCP is required to intervene if levels exceed the ES. The PAL is a percentage of the ES: 10% of the ES for carcinogenic, mutagenic or teratogenic properties and 20% of the ES for all other substances. The intention of the PAL is to act as a trigger for intervention by the appropriate authority before the pollutant becomes a risk to public health.

Pesticide concentrations identified during DATCP's 2021 Program were compared to Wisc. Admin. Code ch. NR 140 Groundwater Quality standards. WDHS has also established drinking water quality advisories for 15 different pesticides. Table B 3 in Appendix B lists the existing standards alongside the range of concentrations for the pesticide compounds detected in 2021 groundwater samples

No ES standards were exceeded in any samples collected in 2021. However, imidacloprid concentrations exceeded the WDHS drinking water health advisory of 0.2 µg/L in 12 groundwater samples collected from nested monitoring wells sites in Adams, Iowa, Sauk, and Waushara counties. These sites are located in the Lower Wisconsin River Valley and the Central Sands Agricultural Region. Imidacloprid concentrations ranged from 0.204 to 2.77 µg/L. No other WDHS drinking water health advisories were exceeded in 2021 samples.

As depicted in Table B 3 in Appendix B, concentrations of alachlor ESA, atrazine, de-ethyl atrazine, de-isopropyl atrazine, di-amino atrazine, and atrazine TCR (total chlorinated residues, which are the sum of atrazine plus its metabolites de-ethyl atrazine, de-isopropyl atrazine, and di-amino atrazine) were detected in excess of the Wisc. Admin. Code ch. NR 140 PAL standards. The locations of the wells with PAL exceedances and detected concentrations are fairly consistent with results from prior years.

Table B 3 in Appendix B also includes results for pesticides and their metabolites with no established ES or PAL. 74 out of 106 pesticides compounds tested have no established standard. A review of all 2021 data indicates that 34 different pesticides compounds were detected in excess of laboratory reporting limits, and 16 of these 34 compounds have no Wisc. Admin. Code ch. NR 140 established standard. However, nine of the 16 compounds with no established standard have a WDHS drinking water health advisory (clothianidin, imidacloprid, sulfentrazone, thiamethoxam, chlorantraniliprole, flumetsulam, fomesafen, metalaxyl, and saflufenacil). Four of the 16 compounds with no established standards or WDHS advisories are metabolites for compounds with standards (alachlor, dimethenamid, or metribuzin). The remaining four detected compounds with no existing standard or WDHS advisory are bicycloprone, imazethapyr, clopyralid, and cyantraniliprole. Table 2 includes a detection summary of these remaining four compounds that are not metabolites and have no standard or advisory.

Table 2: Detected Parent Compounds that have No Wisc. Admin. Code ch. NR 140 Standard or WDHS Drinking Water Health Advisory Levels

Analyte	Sites with Detects (out of 24)	Number of Detects (out of 80)	% of Samples Detected	Concentration Range (in µg/L)
Bicyclopyrone	2	2	1.9%	0.0736-0.0802
Imazethapyr	1	2	1.9%	0.117-0.228
Cyantraniliprole	4	5	4.7%	0.0515-0.224
Clopyralid	1	1	0.9%	0.32

This is the first time clopyralid, prometon, and dimethenamid OA, have been detected in excess of laboratory reporting limits in any of the groundwater samples associated with the Program. Clopyralid is a broadleaf herbicide intended for thistles and clovers, prometon is a non-selective herbicide for non-crop areas such as paths or around buildings, while dimethenamid OA is an herbicide metabolite.

It is important to note that comparisons of detected pesticides and their metabolite concentrations to established groundwater quality standards and drinking water advisories are based on exposure to a single compound. These comparisons do not fully evaluate the risk to human health when two or more compounds are present. Currently, there are no calculations to predict potential risk when multiple compounds are present. Since the current approach does not account for potential cumulative risk, potential toxicity may be underestimated when two or more compounds are present.

OTHER NOTABLE OBSERVATIONS

Glyphosate:

According to USDA (United States Department of Agriculture) - National Agricultural Statistics Service, in 2020, glyphosate was the most widely used pesticide on Wisconsin fields planted with soybean and second most pesticide used on fields planted with corn (United States Department of Agriculture - National Agricultural Statistics Service, 2023). Until 2019, glyphosate and the metabolites were not included in the DATCP pesticide analysis. Because glyphosate has been widely used (and has been for many years prior), DATCP added limited testing for glyphosate and two of its metabolites, AMPA (aminomethylphosphonic acid) and glyphosate ammonium, to the 2019 testing Program.

For 2021, glyphosate sampling was limited to 14 samples collected in June and October from monitoring wells at seven different locations (DU1, DU2, JN1, JN3, LC2, SC1 and TR1). In addition to the full pesticides scan, these samples were also tested for glyphosate and its metabolites. Based on the crops grown or as reported by the growers in their Response Reports (Table B 4 in Appendix B), glyphosate would or could have been applied to these adjacent fields in 2021 and the previous years. No detections in excess of laboratory reporting limits for any of the glyphosate family of pesticides were reported in these groundwater samples collected in 2021.

Neonicotinoids:

Interest in the neonicotinoid class of insecticides has increased greatly in recent years due to concerns over possible effects on pollinators. DATCP began testing for these compounds in 2008 with thiamethoxam. BLS now analyzes for six neonicotinoid compounds. Three of these compounds - clothianidin, imidacloprid, and thiamethoxam (CIT) - were detected in field-edge groundwater samples collected in 2021. The other three neonicotinoid compounds - acetamiprid, dinotefuran, and thiacloprid - were not detected in excess of laboratory reporting limits in any groundwater samples. The presence of the three CIT compounds in groundwater is expected as these compounds are known to readily leach when applied to crops grown in sandy soils and are used in many insecticide products. CIT compounds are labeled for use on most crops grown in the state including corn, soybeans, potatoes, many other vegetables, fruit crops, and most small grains.

Historic field-edge monitoring results indicate that CIT compounds are becoming more prevalent in groundwater over time. CIT compounds were observed at more locations in 2021 compared to prior years. However, concentrations seem to be stable or slightly decreasing at areas with known impacts. Thiamethoxam and imidacloprid have been detected in field-edge samples since testing for neonicotinoid compounds began primarily at sites within the Central Sands Agricultural Region and Lower Wisconsin River Valley.

No Wis. Admin. Code ch. NR 140 ES or PAL groundwater quality standards have been established for the CIT compounds. However, DHS has identified drinking water health advisories for the CIT compounds.

Clothianidin and thiamethoxam were detected in 75% and 45%, respectively, of all 2021 samples collected from field-edge monitoring wells. Clothianidin concentrations ranged from 0.0125 to 1.63 µg/L and thiamethoxam concentrations ranged from 0.0316 to 3.54 µg/L. These detected concentrations do not exceed any of the respective DHS drinking water health advisories for clothianidin or thiamethoxam.

Imidacloprid concentrations exceeding laboratory reporting limits were detected in 50% of the 2021 groundwater samples collected. It was detected in samples collected from 14 of 24 sites at concentrations ranging from 0.0106 to 2.77 µg/L, an increase in maximum concentration, relative to the maximum of 0.854 µg/L observed in 2020. Imidacloprid exceeded the DHS drinking water health advisory of 0.2 µg/L in 12 samples. These groundwater samples were collected from sites within the Central Sands Agricultural Region and Lower Wisconsin River Valley (Adams, Iowa, Sauk, and Waushara Counties). The imidacloprid data relative to each monitoring location is summarized in Table B 5 in Appendix B.

One observation regarding the 2021 data suggests that the imidacloprid and thiamethoxam are migrating vertically and horizontally within Central Sands Agricultural Region aquifers. Concentrations do not fluctuate seasonally, but greater concentrations have been detected in the groundwater collected from deeper screened wells at sites AD2-5, AD3-3, AD5-5, and WS7-3 compared to adjacent shallow wells. Additionally, imidacloprid and thiamethoxam have also been detected in nearby surface water samples indicating that groundwater is discharging to surface water year-round as base flow (see DATCP's *2021 Surface Water Sampling Report* - Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection, 2023b).

Results from DATCP's Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program can also be compared to nearby historical Surface Water Sampling Program results. This data can then be used to further evaluate mobility, persistence, and discharge to surface water. DATCP intends to report findings of the evaluation along with an evaluation of historical results as part of DATCP's upcoming detailed comprehensive report for each field-edge site.

Alachlor:

As noted previously, alachlor ESA was the third most frequently detected compound in 2021 samples. It was detected in excess of laboratory reporting limits in more than 65% of the samples collected and at 19 of the 24 field-edge monitoring sites. The alachlor ESA data relative to each monitoring location is summarized in Table B 6 in Appendix B.

Alachlor ESA concentrations ranged from 0.0611 to 15.5 µg/L in 2021 samples. The greatest concentration of alachlor ESA was 15.5 µg/L collected from monitoring well JN3-1. This is the first year that an alachlor ESA concentration exceeding the 4.0 µg/L Wis. Admin. Code ch. NR 140 PAL was detected in a field-edge groundwater sample collected at this site.

As observed since 2017, groundwater samples collected from deeper wells AD5-5 and WS7-3 detected alachlor ESA at concentrations in excess of the Wis. Admin. Code ch. NR 140 PAL of 4.0 µg/L. No PAL exceedances were observed in samples collected from wells screened at shallower depths at these same sites between 2018 and 2021. Although alachlor ESA remains at concentrations in excess of the PAL, it cannot be attributed to current use at nearby fields. Alachlor ESA is a breakdown product of alachlor. Alachlor production ceased in December 2014 and could not be sold in Wisconsin after August 2018. The parent alachlor was not detected in excess of laboratory reporting limits in any samples collected in 2021. These results were also observed with samples collected between 2018 and 2020.

Alachlor ESA was also widely detected in surface water and groundwater samples collected throughout the state. Because it is no longer sold in Wisconsin and field use has declined, it is expected that these metabolite concentrations will also decline over time. Additional data collection and evaluation of data from multiple years is needed to validate these observations.

Atrazine:

There are currently 101 atrazine Prohibition Areas (PAs) covering approximately 1.2 million acres within Wisconsin. It is illegal to apply any pesticide containing the active ingredient atrazine within an atrazine PA. In non-PAs, atrazine use is restricted but not prohibited. Since PAs have been in place for 10 years or more, it is anticipated that atrazine and its metabolite concentrations in groundwater would be limited, or not present at all. Of the 24 field-edge sites in the Program, 11 are located within a PA. No grower self-reported atrazine use on adjacent fields within the PAs.

Atrazine or one of its breakdown products (de-ethyl atrazine, de-isopropyl atrazine, and di-amino atrazine) were detected in excess of laboratory reporting limits in 46% of the groundwater samples collected in 2021. No atrazine was detected at concentrations exceeding the 3.0 µg/L Wis. Admin. Code ch. NR 140 ES. However, atrazine TCR was observed in 11 groundwater samples at a concentration greater than the 0.3 µg/L Wisc. Admin. Code ch. NR 140 PAL. Concentrations for atrazine TCR ranged from 0.0507 to 1.297 µg/L. Parent atrazine and metabolite data for each monitoring site is presented in Table B 7 in Appendix B.

The 2021 groundwater results indicated atrazine or one of its metabolites was detected in samples collected from 17 of the 24 sites. Groundwater samples with detections in excess of the Wis. Admin. Code ch. NR 140 PAL for atrazine TCR were collected from monitoring well networks located at eleven of the 24 sites:

- three locations in Adams and Waushara counties;
- two locations in Iowa County; and
- one location in St. Croix County, Sauk County, and Waupaca County.

Of those 11 sites, five are located in a PA: Iowa County (IW1, IW2), St. Croix County (SC1), Sauk County (SK6), and Waushara County (WS4). Of the five locations within a PA, parent material atrazine was found in excess of detection limits at sites IW1, IW2, and SK6. All of these detections were identified in groundwater samples collected from the new piezometers constructed in 2021 at the deepest monitoring depths. Based on grower self-reporting, atrazine has not been used on the adjacent WS4 fields for over 20 years. These results indicate that the source for the parent material atrazine detections may be older, not from adjacent fields, and beyond the immediate area.

As observed during previous years, the greatest concentrations of atrazine TCR in 2021 samples, were typically detected in samples collected from deeper screened wells. Figure 10 depicts atrazine TCR concentrations relative to groundwater sample well depth. As indicated, elevated concentrations of atrazine TCR were detected in samples collected from monitoring wells screened between 50 and 60 feet below ground surface (bgs), and at deeper wells screened between 80 and 115 feet bgs. On average, shallow wells screened between 10 and 40 feet bgs detected atrazine TCR at lesser concentrations. Based on atrazine TCR concentrations observed across the aquifer depth, it is possible that atrazine is applied at nearby agricultural fields at rates that are not affecting shallow groundwater quality. The greater atrazine concentrations observed at depth likely indicate affects from historic use rather than an on-going source from field use. A trend analysis is needed to show all historical groundwater data to determine if the atrazine TCR concentrations are decreasing within PAs as intended. DATCP intends to report these finding along with an evaluation of historical results as part of DATCP's detailed comprehensive report for each field-edge site.

Figure 10: 2021 Atrazine TCR Concentrations relative to Groundwater Sample Well Depth



Notes: *Line through data represents trend of concentrations relative to depth.*

Nitrogen:

DATCP's Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program primary focus is on pesticide affects to groundwater quality. In addition to pesticides, BLS includes nitrogen as nitrate plus nitrite analyses. Nitrogen impacts in groundwater and drinking water are the responsibility of WDNR. However, BLS includes nitrogen as nitrate plus nitrite analyses as part of this program, and that data is shared with WDNR.

Nitrogen as nitrate plus nitrite was detected in excess of laboratory reporting limits in 101 of the 106 field-edge groundwater samples collected in 2021. The average nitrogen concentration for all 2021 samples was 16.28 milligram per liter (mg/L or parts per million [ppm]), which is slightly less than last year's (2020) average of concentration of 16.89 ppm. This continues the overall decreasing trend calculated over the past five years as summarized in Table 3.

Table 3: Average Nitrogen as Nitrate plus Nitrite Concentration over Previous Years

Year	Average Nitrogen-Nitrate/Nitrite Concentration (in parts per million)
2017	17.90
2018	17.72
2019	14.61
2020	16.89
2021	16.28

The Wis. Admin. Code ch. NR 140 ES of 10 mg/L for nitrogen as nitrate plus nitrite was exceeded in 75 of the 106 groundwater samples collected in 2021. An additional 31 samples exceeded the Wis. Admin. Code ch. NR 140 PAL of 2.0 mg/L. The greatest concentration of nitrogen (41.2 mg/L) was detected in the SK6-2 groundwater sample collected in the fall at a Sauk County station. All nitrogen as nitrate plus nitrite data relative to each monitoring location is summarized in Table B 8 of Appendix B. Figure 11 depicts the 2021 nitrogen concentration distribution.

Figure 11: Nitrogen as Nitrate plus Nitrite Results Distribution in Groundwater Samples from All-Wells



Nitrogen as nitrate plus nitrite concentrations were also compared to wells screened at different depths. Figure 12 depicts nitrogen concentrations for all wells by depth. As indicated, nitrogen as nitrate plus nitrite was detected over a wide range of concentrations in groundwater samples collected from wells screened at shallow depths (between 10 and 40 feet bgs) compared to deeper wells. Groundwater samples collected from deeper wells typically detected nitrogen as nitrate plus nitrite at greater concentrations. As indicted, nitrogen as nitrate plus nitrite exceeded the 10 mg/L ES in samples collected from nearly all the monitoring wells screened across the aquifer at a depth greater than 40 feet, and in more than half the wells less than 40 feet deep.

Figure 12: 2021 Nitrogen as Nitrate plus Nitrite Concentrations relative to Groundwater Sample Well Depth



Notes: Line through data represents trend of concentrations relative to depth.

Groundwater samples collected from deeper screened wells also show less seasonal variation in nitrogen concentrations compared to shallow wells. As depicted on Figure 13 below, nitrogen as nitrate plus nitrite concentrations fluctuated between -5 mg/L to + 5 mg/L in samples collected between spring and fall 2021 at the majority of monitoring well locations. On average, nitrogen concentrations increased by 0.32 mg/L between spring and fall. Overall, this suggests that nitrogen as nitrate plus nitrite concentrations for the majority of wells indicate little seasonal variation.

Figure 13: 2021 Nitrogen as Nitrate plus Nitrite Concentrations Variability from Spring to Fall at Individual Wells



When seasonal data is plotted based on nitrogen as nitrate – nitrite concentration variances relative to groundwater depths, a relationship does not appear. This likely indicates nitrogen applications at the surface does not influence groundwater quality seasonally. As depicted on the Figure 14 below, groundwater samples collected from shallower wells have a similar range of variability in nitrogen concentrations to deeper wells. Nitrogen as nitrate plus nitrite concentrations in samples collected from deeper screened wells are expected to show less variability and serve as a baseline, where little seasonal influence should be occurring.

Figure 14: 2021 Nitrogen Concentrations Variability by Depth from Spring to Fall of Individual Wells



2022 Program Goals and Objectives

The Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program mission is to monitor groundwater quality at strategic geographic locations within agricultural areas to characterize agrichemical migration to underlying aquifers, and act as an early warning signal for nearby drinking water wells. The Program will continue in 2022.

Program goals for 2022 include:

- Collaborate with BLS and develop a 2022 Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program Sampling Plan.
- Conduct a groundwater sampling event in the spring and fall from the Program's groundwater monitoring network. This will include continuing to analyze a certain set of samples for glyphosate.
- Document annual activities completed and summarize results for each site in a letter sent to each grower.
- Document the annual activities completed and summarize results in a *2022 Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program Summary Report*.

2022 data will be added to the existing database to ensure that long-term water level and groundwater monitoring data can be used to identify trends in groundwater quality over time. Long-term groundwater quality trends may be used to further evaluate the effectiveness of atrazine Pas. Long-term groundwater data will also be compared to surface water data from within the same watershed to identify potential relationships between surface water and groundwater quality. This evaluation may also be used to evaluate seasonal surface water flow variations and base flow groundwater discharge to surface water. DATCP intends to report finding along with an evaluation of historical results as part of DATCP's detailed comprehensive report for each field-edge site.

Acknowledgments

ACM's financial information includes the state fiscal year (FY) 2021 and 2022, from July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2022. Federal grants operate October 1 through September 30 (2021 and 2022). This report covers those portions of the federal grants that occurred during the state fiscal year. The primary sources of revenue for ACM are industry fees for licenses, permits, registrations, and tonnage under the feed, fertilizer, soil and plant additive, lime, and pesticide programs. In addition, a federal grant provides some funding to cover annual pesticide program expenses. ACM recognizes these important partnerships with industry and the federal government and works hard to maximize the use of this funding for the benefit of the industry, consumers, and the environment.

The raw data required to reproduce the above findings are available upon request. For any questions and clarifications, please do not hesitate to reach out to us at DATCPGW@wisconsin.gov or at (608) 224-4502.

References

National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI). (2021). *Annual 2021 National Climate Report.* Retrieved from https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/monitoring/monthly-report/national/202113

NOOA - National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. (2023a). *National Centers for Environmental Information*. Retrieved from Storm Events Database: https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/

NOOA - National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. (2023b). *National Integrated Drought Information System*. Retrieved from https://www.drought.gov/

United States Department of Agriculture - National Agricultural Statistics Service. (2023). *Surveys* . Retrieved from Agricultural Chemical Use Program.

Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection. (2023a). *Wisconsin Agricultural Statistics*. Retrieved from https://datcp.wi.gov/Pages/Publications/WIAgStatistics.aspx

Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection. (2023b). *2021 Surface Water Pesticide Monitoring Program Annual Report.*

Wisconsin Department of Agricutlure, Trade and Consumer Protection. (2021). Sample Collection - Private Well.

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. (1996). *Groundwater Sampling Field Manual.*

Wisconsin State Climatology Office. (2023). Retrieved from Wisconsin Climate Watch Archive: https://www.aos.wisc.edu/~sco/clim-watch/archives.html#monthly2021

Appendix A

The acronyms and terminology included on this list are generic definitions intended to help understand the Field-Edge Monitoring Program. Some of these terms are more specifically defined in various regulations.

ACRONYMS

µg/l ______________ Micrograms per liter (a liquid equivalent of ppb)
ACM ______________ DATCP Bureau of Agrichemical Management
AMPA ______________ Aminomethylphosphonic acid
Bgs ______________ Below ground surface
BLS ______________ DATCP Bureau of Laboratory Services
CAS ______________ Chemical Abstract Service
CIT ______________ clothianidin, imidacloprid and thiamethoxam
DATCP ___________ Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection
DADK ____________ Desaminodiketo
ES________________ Enforcement Standard
ESA ______________ Ethane Sulfonic Acid
GC _______________ Gas Chromatography
GCC ______________ Wisconsin Groundwater Coordinating Council
HARS ______________ Hancock Agricultural Research Station
ISO _______________ International Organization for Standardization
LC _______________ Liquid Chromatography
mg/L ______________ Milligrams per liter (a liquid equivalent of ppm)
MS _______________ Mass Spectroscopy
msl_______________ Mean sea level
N ________________ Nitrogen
ND _______________ No Detect - concentrations are less than laboratory reporting limits
NOAA______________ National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
OA _______________ Oxanilic Acid
PA _______________ Prohibition Area
PAL ______________ Preventive Action Limit
PPB ______________ Parts per billion
PPM ______________ Parts per million
TCR ______________ Total chlorinated resides of atrazine
TPVC ______________ Top of well casing
TSAMP ____________ Targeted Sampling Program
USDA ______________ U.S. Department of Agriculture
WDHS ____________ Wisconsin Department of Health Services
WDNR ____________ Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
WGNHS ___________ Wisconsin Geological and Natural History Survey
Wis. Admin. Code___ Wisconsin Administrative Code
WUWN_____________ Wisconsin Unique Well Number
US EPA____________ United States - Environmental Protection Agency
USDA ______________ United States Department of Agriculture

Back to TOC

DEFINITIONS

Analyte - A chemical substance that has a defined Chemical Abstract Service (CAS) number

Atrazine Prohibition Area - An area where atrazine use is currently prohibited under Administrative Code ATCP 30

Chronic Exposure value - The highest concentration of a chemical to which the organism can be exposed without causing chronic toxicity to the organism in question

Compound - A substance formed by the chemical union of two or more ingredients

Detection - When an analyte has a concentration that can be quantified (i.e., a concentration greater than the Laboratory Reporting Limit)

Herbicide - A pesticide used to kill or inhibit the growth of plants, weeds, or grasses

Insecticide - A pesticide used to kill or inhibit the growth of insects

Metabolite or Residual compound or Breakdown product - A chemical substance left behind by a parent compound that has degraded through natural chemical breakdown and/or been metabolized by bacteria

Neonicotinoids - Insecticides that target the neurological systems of insects. The neonicotinoid family includes acetamiprid, clothianidin, dinotefuran, imidacloprid, nitenpyram, nithiazine, thiacloprid, and thiamethoxam

NR140 - Wisconsin Administrative Code which establishes groundwater quality standards and required responses when the standards are exceeded

Pesticide - Substance used to kill, repel, or control certain forms of plant or animal life that are considered to be pests. The pesticide category includes herbicides, insecticides, rodenticides, fungicides, and bactericides

Reporting limit - The minimum analyte concentration that can be reliably quantified and reported by the laboratory

Total chlorinated residues (TCR) of atrazine - Sum of atrazine and atrazine metabolites (de-ethyl atrazine, de-isopropyl atrazine, and diamino atrazine)

Back to TOC

APPENDIX B

Table B 1: Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program - Monitoring Wells and Piezometers Construction Specifications

County	Site (Grower)	Well Identification	WUWN	Year Constructed	Prohibition Area	Irrigation Available	Ground Elevation (MSL)	TPVC Elevation (MSL)	Well Depth (ft)	Bottom of Well (MSL)	Screen Length (ft)	Top of Screen (ft)	Sampling Method
Adams	AD2	AD2-1	BH954	1987	No	Yes	1,051.7	1,053.96	17.87	1,036.09	5	1,053.96	Peristolic Pump
		AD2-2	BH953	1987				1,054.14	22.83	1,031.31	5	1,054.14	
		AD2-3	BH952	1987				1,054.17	27.62	1,026.55	5	1,054.17	
		AD2-4	VR844	2017				1,054.44	54.70	999.74	5	1,054.44	Whale Pump and Dedicated Tubing
		AD2-5	VR845	2017				1,054.35	85.70	968.65	5	1,054.35	
		AD2-6	PT421	2021				--	116.40	--	5	--	
	AD3	AD3-1[4]	BH999	1987	No	Yes	1,008.0	1,010.48	14.93	995.55	5	1,010.48	
		AD3-2[4]	BI000	1987				1,010.34	19.64	990.70	5	1,010.34	
		AD3-3[4]	BI001	1987				1,010.44	24.69	985.75	5	1,010.44	
	AD4	AD4-1[3]	BH996	1987				1,017.38	24.71	992.67	5	1,017.38	
		AD4-2[4]	BH997	1987	No	Yes	1,013.9	1,017.26	29.69	987.57	5	1,017.26	
		AD4-3[4]	BH998	1987				1,016.56	34.57	981.99	5	1,016.56	
	AD5	AD5-1	CL461	1988	No	Yes	1,051.1	1,053.18	15.24	1,037.94	5	1,053.18	Peristolic Pump
		AD5-2	CL455	1988				1,053.31	19.91	1,033.40	5	1,053.31	
		AD5-3	CL456	1988				1,053.27	25.23	1,028.04	5	1,053.27	
		AD5-4	VR846	2017				1,053.63	53.20	1,000.43	5	1,053.63	Whale Pump and Dedicated Tubing
		AD5-5	VR847	2017				1,053.68	85.70	967.98	5	1,053.68	
		AD5-6	PT422	2021				--	117.50	--	5	--	
Barron	BR3	BR3-1	BR279	1987	No	Yes	1,052.7	1,055.79	15.03	1,040.76	5	1,055.79	Peristolic Pump
		BR3-2	BR280	1987				1,055.37	20.02	1,035.35	5	1,055.37	
		BR3-3	BR281	1987				1,054.93	25.02	1,029.91	5	1,054.93	
Dane	DN1	DN1-1[2]	BR250	1985				744.38	12.10	732.28	5	744.38	
		DN1-1	PT428	2021	93-57-04	Yes	743.7	745.32	14.90	730.42	5	745.32	Dedicated Bailer
		DN1-2	BR251	1985				745.87	17.40	728.47	5	745.87	Peristolic Pump
		DN1-3	BR252	1985				746.08	22.20	723.88	5	746.08	
Dunn	DU1	DU1-1	AO384	1989	No	Yes	852.5	853.92	34.90	819.02	5	853.92	Dedicated Bailer
		DU1-2	AO385	1989				854.87	40.80	814.07	5	854.87	
		DU1-3	AO386	1989				855.12	46.10	809.02	5	855.12	
	DU2	DU2-1	AO387	1989	No	Yes	856.2	858.05	26.70	831.35	5	858.05	Peristolic Pump
		DU2-2	AO388	1989				858.17	31.30	826.87	5	858.17	
		DU2-3	AO389	1989				858.48	36.60	821.88	5	858.48	
Grant	GR1	GR1-1	BR255	1985	93-57-04	No	683.8	686.32	12.50	673.82	5	686.32	Peristolic Pump
		GR1-2	BR256	1985				686.48	17.30	669.18	5	686.48	
		GR1-3	BR257	1985				686.12	21.60	664.52	5	686.12	
Iowa	IW1	IW1-1[3]	BH955	1986					14.90		5		
		IW1-2[3]	BH956	1986					19.90		5		
		IW1-3[3]	BH957	1986					24.90		5		
		IW1-4	BR259	1986	93-57-04	Yes	722.5	723.85	17.10	706.75	5	723.85	Peristolic Pump
		IW1-5	BR260	1986				723.84	21.30	702.54	5	723.84	
		IW1-6	BR261	1986				723.67	26.70	696.97	5	723.67	
		IW1-7	BH967	1987				723.67	61.99	661.68	5	723.67	Whale Pump and Dedicated Tubing
		IW1-8	PT425	2021				723.06	93.97	629.09	5	723.67	
	IW2	IW2-1	BR036	1986	93-57-04	Yes	723.8	726.76	14.80	711.96	5	726.76	Peristolic Pump
		IW2-2	BR037	1986				726.50	19.70	706.80	5	726.50	
		IW2-3	BR038	1986				726.40	24.70	701.70	5	726.40	
		IW2-4	PT426	2021				725.89	65.92	659.97	5	725.89	Whale Pump and Dedicated Tubing
		IW2-5	PT427	2021				726.24	94.81	631.43	5	726.24	
Jackson	JK3	JK3-1	JH991	2005	94-27-04	No	1,025.3	1,028.06	27.33	1,000.73	10	1,028.06	Peristolic Pump
		JK3-2	JH981	2005			1,023.7	1,026.44	25.77	1,000.67	10	1,026.44	
Juneau	JN1	JN1-1	BR046	1985	No	Yes	939.7	941.26	11.70	929.56	5	941.26	Peristolic Pump
		JN1-2	BR047	1985				941.21	16.70	924.51	5	941.21	
		JN1-3	BR048	1985				941.34	21.50	919.84	5	941.34	
	JN3	JN3-1	JH937	2005	94-29-01	No	901.5	903.84	20.42	883.42	10	903.84	Peristolic Pump
		JN3-2	JH936	2005			902.0	905.20	18.14	887.06	10	905.20	
La Crosse	LC2	LC2-1	VZ391	2011	No	Yes	684.1	686.40	49.22	637.18	10	686.40	Dedicated Bailer
		LC2-2	VZ392	2011			687.8	681.91	43.98	637.93	10	681.91	

Back to TOC

Langlade	LN1	LN1-1	BH964	1986	No	No	1,471.6	1,473.85	14.80	1,459.05	5	1,473.85	Peristolic Pump
		LN1-2	BH965	1986				1,474.44	19.70	1,454.74	5	1,474.44	
		LN1-3	BH966	1986				1,473.74	24.80	1,448.94	5	1,473.74	
Portage	PR1	PR1-1	BR207	1986	No	Yes	1,079.7	1,082.01	12.70	1,069.31	5	1,082.01	Peristolic Pump
		PR1-2	BR208	1988				1,081.94	17.60	1,064.34	5	1,081.94	
		PR1-3	BR209	1988				1,081.72	22.50	1,059.22	5	1,081.72	
		PR1-4	VR848	2017				1,082.83	55.30	1,027.53	5	1,082.83	Whale Pump and Dedicated Tubing
		PR1-5	VR849	2017				1,082.77	84.70	998.07	5	1,082.77	
St. Croix	SC1	SC1-1	JH938	2005	94-56-02	Yes	1,006.8	1,010.14	24.87	985.27	10	1,010.14	Peristolic Pump
		SC1-1 (D)	VZ390	2011				1,009.16	30.10	979.06	10	1,009.16	
		SC1-2	JH939	2005			1,003.9	1,006.63	21.87	984.76	10	1,006.63	
		SC1-2(D)	VZ393	2011				1,006.40	30.17	976.23	10	1,006.40	
Sauk	SK6	SK6-1	BB246	1988	93-57-04	Yes	711.8	713.68	14.92	698.76	5	713.68	Peristolic Pump
		SK6-2	BB247	1988				713.37	20.04	693.33	5	713.37	
		SK6-3	BB248	1988				713.55	25.10	688.45	5	713.55	
		SK6-4	PT424	2021			710.2	711.56	53.42	658.14	5	711.56	Whale Pump and Dedicated Tubing
Trempealeau	TR1	TR1-1	PX201	2005	No	Yes	730.4	733.29	75.55	657.74	10	733.29	Dedicated Bailer
		TR1-2	PX202	2005			731.1	733.83	75.20	658.63	10	733.83	
Waupaca	WP2	WP2-1	JH985	2005	94-69-01	No	908.4	911.03	20.45	890.58	10	911.03	Peristolic Pump
		WP2-2	JH984	2005			905.7	908.82	20.43	888.39	10	908.82	
Waushara	WS4	WS4-1	BB258	1988	93-70-01	Yes	1,082.4	1,084.97	17.13	1,067.84	5	1,084.97	Peristolic Pump
		WS4-2	BB259	1988				1,085.03	22.02	1,063.01	5	1,085.03	
		WS4-3	BB260	1988				1,084.98	27.16	1,057.82	5	1,084.98	
		WS4-4	BB261	1988				1,084.88	31.94	1,052.94	5	1,084.88	
	WS6	WS6-1	JH989	2005	93-70-01	Yes	1,076.8	1,080.90	18.27	1,062.63	10	1,080.90	Peristolic Pump
		WS6-2	JH990	2005				1,079.07	17.02	1,062.05	10	1,079.07	
	WS7	WS7-1	VR841	2017	No	Yes	1,075.7	1,078.65	18.40	1,060.25	10	1,078.65	Peristolic Pump
		WS7-2	VR842	2017				1,078.79	54.70	1,024.09	5	1,078.79	Whale Pump and Dedicated Tubing
		WS7-3	VR843	2017				1,078.78	84.80	993.98	5	1,078.78	
		WS7-4	PT423	2021				--	104.10	--	5	--	

Notes:

--	Elevation surveying in progress.
1	Monitoring well was abandoned on May 30, 2019 because integrity of protective casing was compromised during spring 2019 sampling.
2	Monitoring well was abandoned on December 13, 2018 because integrity of protective casing was compromised by a vehicle prior to fall 2018 sampling.
3	Monitoring wells were abandoned June 11, 1993 because they were no longer needed for the monitoring program.
4	Monitoring wells were abandoned December 1, 2021 because ownership no longer wished to participate in the monitoring program.
WUWN	Wisconsin Unique Well Number
MSL	Mean sea level
TPVC	Top of well casing (PVC)
(hatched)	Monitoring Well/Piezometer abandoned.
(light yellow)	Monitoring Well/Piezometer construction was financed by a 2021 U.S. EPA grant.
(blue)	Monitoring Well/Piezometer construction was financed by a 2017 U.S. EPA grant.
(green)	Monitoring Well/Piezometer construction was financed by a 2011 U.S. EPA grant.
(gray)	Monitoring Well/Piezometer construction was financed by a 2005 U.S. EPA grant.
(white)	Monitoring Wells/Piezometers assocaited with initial program activities and financed by State.

Back to TOC

Table B 2: 2021 Sample Analytes, Applicable Wis. Admin. Code ch. NR 140 PALs & ESs, Drinking Water Health Advisories, and Reporting Limits

Analyte Description	PAL (µg/L)	ES (µg/L)	Advisory*	Reporting Limit (µg/l)
2,4-D (dichlorophenoxyacetic acid)	7	70		0.050
2,4-DB				0.80
2,4-DP				0.050
2,4,5-T				0.050
2,4,5-TP (trichlorophenoxy-prop. acid)	5	50		0.050
ACETAMIPRID				0.010
ACETOCHLOR	0.7	7		0.050
ACETOCHLOR ESA	46[1]	230[1]		0.050
ACETOCHLOR OA	46[1]	230[1]		0.30
ACIFLUORFEN				0.050
ALACHLOR	0.2	2		0.050
ALACHLOR ESA	4	20		0.053
ALACHLOR OA				0.25
ALDICARB SULFONE				0.050
ALDICARB SULFOXIDE				0.071
AMINOPYRALID				0.150
ATRAZINE	0.3	3		0.050
DE-ETHYL ATRAZINE	0.3	3		0.050
DEISOPROPYL ATRAZINE	0.3	3		0.050
DIAMINO ATRAZINE	0.3	3		0.20
ATRAZINE TCR (calculated)	0.3[3]	3[3]		0.050
AZOXYSTROBIN				0.050
BENFLURALIN				0.050
BENTAZON	60	300		0.050
BICYCLOPYRONE				0.050
BROMACIL				0.050
BIFENTHRIN				0.005
CARBARYL	4	40		0.050
CARBOFURAN	8	40		0.050
CHLORAMBEN	30	150		0.32
CHLORANTRANILIPROLE			16,000	0.050
CHLOROTHALONIL				0.10
CHLORPYRIFOS	0.4	2		0.050
CHLORPYRIFOS OXYGEN ANALOG				0.050
CLOMAZONE				0.050
CLOPYRALID				0.050
CLOTHIANIDIN			1,000	0.010
CYANTRANILIPROLE				0.050
CYCLANILIPROLE				0.20
CYFLUTHRIN				0.050
CYPERMETHRIN				0.10
CYPROSULFAMIDE				0.050
DACTHAL	14	70		0.050
DACTHAL DI-ACID			70[2]	0.050
DACTHAL MONO-ACID			70[2]	0.050
DIAZINON				0.050
DIAZINON OXYGEN ANALOG				0.050
DICAMBA	60	300		0.30
DICHLOBENIL				0.050
DIMETHENAMID	5	50		0.050
DIMETHENAMID ESA				0.050
DIMETHENAMID OA				0.050
DIMETHOATE	0.4	2		0.050
DINOTEFURAN				0.010
DIURON				0.050

Analyte Description	PAL (µg/L)	ES (µg/L)	Advisory*	Reporting Limit (µg/l)
EPTC	50	250		0.050
ESFENVALERATE				0.025
ETHALFLURALIN				0.050
ETHOFUMESATE				0.050
FLUMETSULAM			10,000	0.050
FLUPYRADIFURONE				0.050
FLUROXYPYR				0.070
FOMESAFEN			25	0.050
GLYPHOSATE			10,000	0.50
GLYPHOSATE AMMONIUM				0.50
AMPA			10,000	0.50
HALOSULFURON METHYL				0.050
HEXAZINONE			400	0.050
IMAZAPYR				0.050
IMAZETHAPYR				0.050
IMIDACLOPRID			0.2	0.010
ISOXAFLUTOLE			3[4]	0.050
ISOXAFLUTOLE DKN			3[4]	0.050
LAMBDA-CYHALOTHRIN				0.020
LINURON				0.050
MALATHION				0.050
MCPA				0.050
MCPB				0.10
MCPP				0.050
MESOTRIONE				0.10
METALAXYL			800	0.050
METHYL PARATHION				0.050
METOLACHLOR	10	100		0.050
METOLACHLOR ESA	260[5]	1300[5]		0.050
METOLACHLOR OA	260[5]	1300[5]		0.27
METRIBUZIN	14	70		0.050
METRIBUZIN DA				0.10
METRIBUZIN DADK				0.12
METSULFURON-METHYL				0.050
NICOSULFURON				0.050
NORFLURAZON				0.050
OXADIAZON				0.050
PENDIMETHALIN				0.050
PERMETHRIN				0.030
PICLORAM	100	500		0.050
PROMETONE	20	100		0.050
PROMETRYN				0.050
PROPICONAZOLE				0.050
PROTHIOCONAZOLE-DESTHIO				0.050
SAFLUFENACIL			460	0.050
SIMAZINE	0.4	4		0.050
SULFENTRAZONE			1,000	0.050
SULFOMETURON-METHYL				0.050
TEBUPIRIMPHOS				0.050
TEMBOTRIONE				0.10
THIACLOPRID				0.010
THIAMETHOXAM			120	0.010
THIENCARBAZONE-METHYL			800	0.050
TRICLOPYR				0.050
TRIFLURALIN	0.75	7.5		0.050
NITROGEN-NITRATE/NITRITE (mg/L)	2	10		0.5

* Wisconsin Department of Health Services Drinking Water Health Advisory (June 2019, November 2020, Revised February 2022).

[1] Combined sum of acetochlor metabolites ESA and OA.

[2] Combined sum of metabolites (di- and mono-acid) and parent material dacthal.

[3] Total Chlorinated Residue for Atrazine. Combined sum of metabolites (de-ethyl, de-isopropyl and di-amino) and parent material atrazine.

[4] Combined sum of metabolite (DKN) and parent material isoxaflutole.

[5] Combined sum of metolachlor metabolites ESA and OA.

µg/L - micrograms per liter or parts per billion.

mg/L - milligrams per liter or parts per million.

DKN - diketonitrile

ESA - ethane sulfonic acid.

OA - oxanilic acid, can also be identified as OXA.

Back to TOC

Table B 3: Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program - 2021 Groundwater Analytical Results

2021 Ground Water Project Results (all concentrations in ug/L)							Wisconsin Department of Health Services	Wisconsin Admin. Code Chapter NR 140	
Pesticide Name	Pesticide Class	Reporting Limit	Number of Sites with Detects[1]	Number of Total Detects[2]	Percent of Samples with Detects	Concentration Range	Drinking Water Health Advisory[3]	Enforcement Standard	Preventive Action Limit
2,4-D (dichlorophenoxyacetic acid)	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	70	7
2,4-DB	Herbicide	1.50	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
2,4-DP	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
2,4,5-T	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
2,4,5-TP (trichlorophenoxy-propionic acid)	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	50	5
Acetamiprid	Insecticide	0.010	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Acetochlor	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	7	0.7
Acetochlor ESA	Metabolite	0.05	11	39	36.8%	0.0581-2.32	--	230[4]	46[4]
Acetochlor OA	Metabolite	0.3	1	1	0.9%	0.492	--	230[4]	46[4]
Acifluorfen	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Alachlor	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	2	0.2
Alachlor ESA	Metabolite	0.053	19	69	65.1%	0.0611-15.5	--	20	4
Alachlor OA	Metabolite	0.25	5	7	6.6%	0.251-4.07	--	--	--
Aldicarb Sulfone	Insecticide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Aldicarb Sulfoxide	Insecticide	0.071	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Aminopyralid	Herbicide	0.15	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Atrazine	Herbicide	0.05	8	19	17.9%	0.0536-0.328	--	3	0.3
De-ethyl atrazine	Metabolite	0.05	13	36	34.0%	0.0529-0.744	--	3	0.3
De-isopropyl atrazine	Metabolite	0.05	11	25	23.6%	0.0507-0.605	--	3	0.3
Di-amino atrazine	Metabolite	0.2	10	13	12.3%	0.201-0.512	--	3	0.3
Atrazine (TCR)	Sumation	0.05	17	49	46.2%	0.0507-1.297	--	3	0.3
Azoxystrobin	Fungicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Benfluralin	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Bentazon	Herbicide	0.05	6	15	14.2%	0.0694-30.5	--	300	60
Bicyclopyrone	Herbicide	0.05	2	2	1.9%	0.0736-0.0802	--	--	--
Bifentrin	Insecticide	0.0050	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Bromacil	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Carbaryl	Insecticide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	40	4
Carbofuran	Insecticide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	40	8

Back to TOC

Chloramben	Herbicide	0.32	--	--	--	--	--	150	30
Chlorantraniliprole	Insecticide	0.050	9	30	28.3%	0.0696-1.08	16,000	--	--
Chlorothalonil	Fungicide	0.10	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Chlorpyrifos	Insecticide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	2	0.4
Chlorpyrifos Oxon	Metabolite	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Clomazone	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Clopyralid	Herbicide	0.05	1	1	0.9%	0.32	--	--	--
Clothianidin	Insecticide	0.010	20	79	74.5%	0.0125-1.63	1,000	--	--
Cyantraniliprole	Insecticide	0.050	4	5	4.7%	0.0515-0.224	--	--	--
Cyclaniliprole	Insecticide	0.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Cyfluthrin	Insecticide	0.050	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
lambda- Cyhalothrin	Insecticide	0.020	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Cypermethrin	Insecticide	0.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Cyprosulfamide	Safener	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Dacthal	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	70	14
Dacthal Di-acid	Metabolite	0.05	--	--	--	--	70[5]	--	--
Dacthal Mono-acid	Metabolite	0.05	--	--	--	--	70[5]	--	--
Diazinon	Insecticide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Diazinon oxon	Metabolite	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Dicamba	Herbicide	0.60	--	--	--	--	--	300	60
Dichlobenil	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Dimethenamid	Herbicide	0.05	1	1	0.9%	0.214	--	50	5
Dimethenamid ESA	Metabolite	0.05	5	12	11.3%	0.0685-6.36	--	--	--
Dimethenamid OA	Metabolite	0.05	2	2	1.9%	0.086-1.08	--	--	--
Dimethoate	Insecticide	0.050	--	--	--	--	--	2	0.4
Dinotefuran	Insecticide	0.010	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Diuron	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
EPTC	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	250	50
Esfenvalerate	Insecticide	0.025	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Ethalfluralin	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Ethofumesate	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Flumetsulam	Herbicide	0.05	2	3	2.8%	0.0506-0.159	10,000	--	--
Flupyradifurone	Insecticide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Fluroxypyr	Insecticide	0.070	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Fomesafen	Herbicide	0.05	3	8	7.5%	0.0506-1.23	25	--	--

Glyphosate	Herbicide	0.5	--	--	--	--	10,000	--	--
Glyphosate Ammonium	Metabolite	0.5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
AMPA	Metabolite	0.5	--	--	--	--	10,000	--	--
Halosulfuron methyl	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Hexazinone	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	400	--	--
Imazapyr	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Imazethapyr	Herbicide	0.05	1	2	1.9%	0.117-0.228	--	--	--
Imidacloprid	Insecticide	0.010	14	53	50.0%	0.0106-2.77	0.2	--	--
Isoxaflutole	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	3[6]	--	--
Isoxaflutole DKN	Metabolite	0.05	--	--	--	--	3[6]	--	--
Linuron	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MCPA	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MCPB	Herbicide	0.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MCPP	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Malathion	Insecticide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Mesotrione	Herbicide	0.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Metalaxyl	Fungicide	0.05	13	35	33.0%	0.05-1.43	800	--	--
Methyl Parathion	Insecticide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Metolachlor	Herbicide	0.05	15	45	42.5%	0.0539-5.08	--	100	10
Metolachlor ESA	Metabolite	0.05	24	104	98.1%	0.0883-34.9	--	1,300[7]	260[7]
Metolachlor OA	Metabolite	0.27	17	67	63.2%	0.313-27	--	1,300[7]	260[7]
Metribuzin	Herbicide	0.05	11	41	38.7%	0.0738-8.73	--	70	14
Metribuzin DA	Metabolite	0.1	6	13	12.3%	0.106-0.911	--	--	--
Metribuzin DADK	Metabolite	0.12	11	35	33.0%	0.12-5.88	--	--	--
Metsulfuron methyl	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Nicosulfuron	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Norflurazon	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Oxadiazon	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Pendimethalin	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Permethrin	Insecticide	0.030	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Picloram	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	500	100
Prometone	Herbicide	0.05	1	1	0.9%	0.0713	--	100	20
Prometryn	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Propiconazole	Fungicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Prothioconazole-desthio	Metabolite	0.050	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Back to TOC

Saflufenacil	Herbicide	0.05	2	6	5.7%	0.0519-0.183	460	--	--
Simazine	Herbicide	0.05	2	4	3.8%	0.0502-0.123	--	4	0.4
Sulfentrazone	Herbicide	0.05	2	8	7.5%	0.0633-0.45	1,000	--	--
Sulfometuron methyl	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Tebupirimphos	Insecticide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Tembotrione	Herbicide	0.10	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Thiacloprid	Insecticide	0.010	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Thiamethoxam	Insecticide	0.010	15	48	45.3%	0.0316-3.54	120	--	--
Thiencarbazone methyl	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	800	--	--
Triclopyr	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Trifluralin	Herbicide	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	7.5	0.75

Notes:

1 Total number of sites were 24.
2 Total number of samples were 106.
3 Wisconsin Department of Health Services(DHS) Drinking Water Health Advisory (June 2019, November 2020, revised February 2022).
4 Combined sum of acetochlor metabolites ESA and OA.
5 Combined sum of metabolites (di- and mono-acid) and parent material dacthal.
6 Combined sum of metabolite DKN and parent material isoxaflutole.
7 Combined sum of metolachlor metabolites ESA and OA.
-- Indicates that Health Advisory Level value in Wisconsin not established.
DKN diketonitrile
ESA ethane sulfonic acid
OA oxanilic acid; can also be identified as OXA.
µg/L micrograms per liter or parts per billion
TCR Total Chlorinated Residue for Atrazine. Reflects an additive quantity of atrazine (parent material) and its three metabolites (de-ethyl, de-isopropyl and di-amino atrazine).

Indicates no detects in excess of laboratory reporting limits.
Indicates detects in excess of laboratory reporting limits.
Indicates detects in excess of laboratory reporting limits and Wis. Admin. Code ch. NR 140 Preventive Action Limit.
Indicates detects in excess of laboratory reporting limits and either Wis. Admin. Code ch. NR 140 Enforcement Standard or DHS Drinking Water Health Advisory.

Back to TOC

Table B 4: Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program - 2021 Land Pesticide/Nitrogen- and Irrigation-Use (as Provided by Growers)

COUNTY	SITE (Grower)	YEAR	CROP	NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT PLAN	IRRIGATION APPLIED (in inches)	NITROGEN APPLIED (in lbs/acre)	PESTICIDE PRODUCT APPLIED
Adams	AD2	2016	corn silage	---	6.45	374.8	glyphosate
							atrazine
							dicamba
		2017 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2018 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2019 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2020 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2021 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
	AD3	2016 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2017 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2018	snap beans	yes	6.59	89.0	metolachlor
							halosulfuron-methyl
							sethoxydim
							imazamox, bentazon
							thiamethoxam
							bifenthrin
							glyphosate
		2019 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2020 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2021 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
	AD4	2016 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2017 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2018	soybeans	yes	7.66	14.0	metribuzin
							metolachlor
							clethodim
							bentazon
							thiamethoxam
							chlothianidin
							glyphosate
		2019 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2020 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2021 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
	AD5	2016 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2017 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2018 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2019 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2020 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2021 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
Barron	BR3	2016 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2017 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2018 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2019	corn	no	2.24	300	glyphosate
							topramezone, dimethenamid
							acetochlor, flumetsulam, clopyralid
		2020 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2021 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
Dane	DN1	2016	seed corn	---	3	216.7	simazine
							metolachlor
							mesotrione
							topramezone
							bifenthrin
							pyraclastrobin, metconazole
							2,4-D
							glyphosate
							sodium chlorate
		2017	soybeans	---	2	6.0	glyphosate
							clethodim
							lambda-cyhalothrin
							glufosinate
		2018 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2019	soybeans	yes	2	1.7	glyphosate
							metribuzin
							dimethenamid
							glufosinate
							clethodim
							lambda-cyhalothrin
		2020	seed corn	yes	4	201.95	metolachlor
							glycine
							mesotrione
							simazine
							topramezone
							acetochlor
							simazine
							azoxystrobin, cyproconazole
							bifenthrin
							metaconazole, pyraclostrobin
		2021 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2016	soybeans	---	3.43	100.0	dimethenamid
							flumioxazin
							clethodim
							benzoic acid
		2017	horseradish	---	0.8	140.5	peroxyacetic acid, hydrogen peroxide
							oxyfluorfen
							sulfentrazone
							glyphosate
							clethodim
							boscolid
							chlorothalonil
							glyphosate

Dunn	DU1	2018	corn (grain)	no	3.97	193.3	dicamba
							dimethenamid, saflufenacil
		2019 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2020	kidney beans	no	2.5	91.98	pendimethalin
							metolachlor
							imazamox
							sodium bentazon
							clethodim
							beta-cyfluthrin, imidacloprid
							saflufenacil
		2021	corn	no	15.6	1076.9	dicamba
							dimethenamide
							glyphosate
							saflufenacil
	DU2	2016	corn	---	8	241.0	glyphosate
							dimethenamid, saflufenacil
		2017	kidney beans	---	4	85.0	pendimethalin
							metolachlor
							bentazon
							fomesafen
							imazamox
							clethodim
							saflufenacil
							thiamethoxam, fludioxonil
		2018	corn	---	5	66.2	dimethenamid, saflufenacil
							glyphosate
							atrazine
		2019	kidney beans	yes	3.25	72.5	pendimethalin
							glyphosate
							metolachlor
							imazamox
							bentazon
							fomesafen
							clethodim
							imidacloprid
							saflufenacil
		2020	kidney beans	no	2.5	91.98	pendimethalin
							metolachlor
							imazamox
							sodium bentazon
							clethodim
							beta-cyfluthrin, imidacloprid
							saflufenacil
		2021	corn	no	4.2	85	clothianidin
							glyphosate
							dicamba
							dimethenamide
							pyroxasulfone
							saflufenacil
Grant	GR1	2016 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2017 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2018 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2019 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2020 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2021 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
	IW1	2016	potatoes	---	18.4	374.4	metam sodium
							azoxystrobib, difenoconazole
							metalaxyl
							imidacloprid
							azoxystrobin
							metribuzin
							novaluron
							spinosad
							beta-cyfluthrin
							rimsulfuron
							chlorothalonil
							pyraclostrobin
							boscolid
							abamectin
							pyrimethanil
							mancozeb
							diquat bromide
		2017	seed corn	---	8.9	198.5	glyphosate
							bifenthrin
							glufosinate
							MCPA, bromoxynil
							pendimethalin
							pyraclostrobin, metconazole
							propiconazole, azoxystrobin
		2018	snap beans	no	5.7	77.0	thiamethoxam
							halosulfuron-methyl
							s-metolachlor
							imazamox, bentazon
							sethoxydim
		2019 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2020	potatoes	no	21	225.93	bifenthrin, pyraclostrobin
							metribuzin
							metolachlor
							indoxacarb
							acetamiprid
							chlorothalonil
							spinosad
							lambda-cyhalothrin
							mefentrifluconazole

Iowa							abamectin
							zoxamide
							pyrimethanil
							mancozeb
							fentin hydroxide
							diquat dibromide
		2021	seed corn	no	9.4	199	abamectin
							azoxystrobin
							bifenthrin
							bromoxynil
							fludioxonil
							tembotrione
							glyphosate
							mefanoxam
							pendimethalin
							propiconazole
							pydiflumetofen
							thiabendazole
							thiamethoxam
	IW2	2016	seed corn	---	12.8	195.5	glyphosate
							bifenthrin
							metolachlor
							pendimethalin
							tembotrione
							bromoxynil
							azoxystrobin
		2017	snap beans	---	6.6	72.2	glyphosate
							EPTC
							thiamethoxam
							bifenthrin
							imazamox, bentazon
		2018	seed corn	no	12.1	256.0	bifenthrin
							bicyclopyrone, metolachlor, mesotrione
							pendimethalin
							thiamethoxam
							azoxystrobin
		2019 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2020	seed corn	no	10.6	223.2	bifenthrin
							glufosinate
							metolachlor
							nicosulfuron
							pyroxasulfone
							pendimethalin
							azoxystrobin, propiconazole, pydiflumetofen
		2021	snap beans	no	5.2	65	bifenthrin
							captan
							glyphosate
							imazomox, bentazon
							halosulfuron-methyl
							matalaxyl
							sethoxydim
							metolachlor
							thiophanate-methyl
							thiram
							thlamethmoxam
Jackson	JK3	2016 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2017 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2018 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2019 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2020 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2021 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
Juneau	JN1	2016	sweet corn	---	8	211.0	atrazine
							metolachlor
		2017	snap beans	---	2.9	122.0	metolachlor
							halosulfuron-methyl
		2018	sweet corn	no	8	228.6	atrazine
							metolachlor
		2019	potatoes	no	12.5	65.05	azoxystrobin
							chlorothalonil
							esfenvalerate
							spinosad
							thiamethoxam
							diquat dibromide
							boscalid
							metribuzin
							cyantraniliprole, abamectin
							metam sodium
							metalaxyl
		2020	sweet corn	no	9.5	212.37	atrazine
							metolachlor
		2021	snap beans	no	5	152.6	halosulfuron-methyl
							metolachlor
	JN3	2016 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2017 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2018 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2019 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2020 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2021 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2016	corn silage	---	---	179.5	glyphosate
							lorsban
							acetochlor
							dicamba

La Crosse	LC2	2017	soybeans	---	---	0.0	glyphosate 2,4-D imazethapyr
		2018	corn	yes	2.5	705.7	glyphosate atrazine, acetochlor mesotrione
		2019	beans	---	---	0.0	glyphosate methansulfonamide metribuzin metolachlor glyphosate, imazethapyr
		2020 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2021 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
Langlade	LN1	2016 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2017 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2018 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2019 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2020 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2021 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
Portage	PR1	2016 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2017 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2018	sweet corn	yes	4.6	164.0	metolachlor atrazine
		2019	potatoes	yes	6.7	159	chlorothalonil azoxystrobin spinetram abamectin, cyantraniliprole imidacloprid novaluron diqust
		2020 [1]	field corn	---	7.2	167.17	glyphosate
		2021 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
St. Croix	SC1	2016	soybeans	---	na	---	glyphosate
		2017	corn	---	na	250.0	glyphosate tembotrione acetochlor
		2018	soybeans	no	na	0.0	glyphosate
		2019 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2020 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2021 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
Sauk	SK6	2016 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2017 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2018 [1]	---	---	na	---	---
		2019 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2020 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2021 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
Trempealeau	TR1	2016 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2017 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2018 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2019 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2020 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
		2021 [1]	---	---	---	---	---
Waupaca	WP2	2016	corn	---	na	132.0	acetochlor clopyralid flumetsulam
		2017	soybeans	---	na	0.0	glyphosate
		2018	soybeans	yes	na	0.0	glyphosate
		2019	corn	yes	na	122.0	acetochlor, clopyralid, flumetsulam glyphosate
		2020	corn	yes	na	97.9	acetochlor, clopyralid, flumetsulam
		2021	soybeans	yes	na	0	glyphosate
	WS4	2016	carrots	---	9.08	176.0	glyphosate pendimethalin chlorothalonil esfenvalerate clethodim azoxystrobin
		2017	potatoes	---	13.62	115.1	glyphosate thiamethoxam, fludioxonil mancozeb azoxystrobin pentachloronitrobenzene metolachlor metribuzin rimsulfuron chlorothalonil novaluron metalaxyl spinosad boscolid cyantraniliprole, abamectin pyraclostrobin oxathiapiprolin fentin hydroxide diquat bromide
		2018	corn	no	9.1	70.6	metolachlor simazine glyphosate ammonium sulfamate
		2019	beans	no	2.42	24.96	metolachlor halosulfuron-methyl

Waushara		2020	carrots	no	12.12	241.3	pendimethalin
							clethodim
							prometryn
							carfentrazone-ethyl
							esfenvalerate
							chlorothalonil
							azoxystrobin
							boscalid
		2021	potatoes	no	12.71	292.3	abamectin
							cyantraniliprole
							esfenvalerate
							metolachlor
							novaluron
							pendimethalin
							phosmet
							spinetoram
	WS6	2016	corn	---	8.35	70.4	glyphosate
							simazine
							metolachlor
		2017	beans	---	6	105.6	glyphosate
							metolachlor
							halosulfuron-methyl
		2018	carrots	no	12.76	254.1	clethodim
							carfentrazone-ethyl
							cypermethrin
							azoxystrobin
		2019	potatoes	no	10.9	200.16	pendimethalin
							metribuzin
							novaluron
							phosmet
							chlorothalonil
							boscolid
							cyantraniliprole, abamectin
							metalaxyl
							fentin hydroxide
							diquat dibromide
		2020	corn	no	7.93	70.78	glyphosate
							metolachlor
							simazine
							tembotrione
		2021	corn	no	14.6	133	metolachlor
							simazine
							topramezone
	WS7	2016					
		2017					
		2018					
		2019					
		2020					
		2021					

Notes:

1 Grower did not provide information in Annual Reporting Form.

(green shading) Site is located within an atrazine Prohibition Area.

--- Information not provided by Grower.

na Fields are not equipped to irrigate.

(hatched shading) Site is a research location with multiple crops and herbicide types and application rates.

Back to TOC

Table B 5: Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program - 2021 Imidacloprid Concentrations in Groundwater Samples

County	Site (Grower)	Well Name	WUWN	Sample Date	Imidacloprid
Adams	AD2	AD2-1	BH954	5/13/2021	0.0196
				11/24/2021	0.0167
		AD2-4	VR844	5/13/2021	2.77
				11/24/2021	2.27
		AD2-5	VR845	5/13/2021	0.356
				11/24/2021	0.31
		AD2-6	PT421	11/24/2021	0
	AD3	AD3-1	BH999	5/13/2021	0.131
		AD3-3	BI001	5/13/2021	0.0812
	AD4	AD4-2	BH997	5/13/2021	0.143
	AD5	AD5-1	CL461	5/13/2021	0
				11/24/2021	0
		AD5-4	VR846	5/13/2021	0.119
				11/24/2021	0.105
		AD5-5	VR847	5/13/2021	0.299
				11/24/2021	0.326
		AD5-6	PT422	11/24/2021	0
Barron	BR3	BR3-1	BR279	4/29/2021	0
				10/27/2021	0
		BR3-3	BR281	4/29/2021	0
				10/27/2021	0
Dane	DN1	DN1-1	PT428	10/21/2021	0
		DN1-2	BR251	5/6/2021	0
		DN1-3	BR252	10/21/2021	0.023
Dunn	DU1	DU1-1	AO384	5/25/2021	0
				10/27/2021	0
		DU1-3	AO386	5/25/2021	0
				10/27/2021	0
	DU2	DU2-1	AO387	5/25/2021	0
				10/27/2021	0
		DU2-3	AO389	5/25/2021	0
				10/27/2021	0
Grant	GR1	GR1-1	BR255	5/11/2021	0
				10/21/2021	0
		GR1-3	BR257	5/11/2021	0
				10/21/2021	0
Iowa	IW1	IW1-4	BR259	5/6/2021	0.142
				11/16/2021	0.138
		IW1-6	BR261	11/16/2021	0.0567
		IW1-7	BH967	5/6/2021	0.0172
				11/16/2021	0.0198
		IW1-8	PT425	11/16/2021	0.163
	IW2	IW2-1	BR036	5/6/2021	0
		IW2-2	BR037	11/16/2021	0.204
		IW2-3	BR038	5/6/2021	0.188
				11/16/2021	0.104
		IW2-4	PT426	11/16/2021	0.0274
		IW2-5	PT427	11/16/2021	0.0183
Jackson	JK3	JK3-1	JH982	5/4/2021	0
				10/27/2021	0
		JK3-2	JH981	5/4/2021	0
				10/27/2021	0
Juneau	JN1	JN1-1	BR046	4/7/2021	0
				12/2/2021	0.0177
		JN1-3	BR048	4/7/2021	0.0829
				12/2/2021	0.0833
	JN3	JN3-1	JH937	4/14/2021	0
				12/2/2021	0
		JN3-2	JH936	4/14/2021	0
				12/2/2021	0
La Crosse	LC2	LC2-1	VZ391	5/20/2021	0
				10/28/2021	0
		LC2-2	VZ392	5/20/2021	0
				10/28/2021	0
Langlade	LN1	LN1-1	BH964	5/18/2021	0.0201
				10/19/2021	0.017
		LN1-3	BH966	5/18/2021	0.0106
				10/19/2021	0
Portage	PR1	PR1-1	BR207	4/13/2021	0.0706
				10/19/2021	0
		PR1-4	VR848	4/13/2021	0.0428
				10/19/2021	0.034
		PR1-5	VR849	4/13/2021	0.0395
				10/19/2021	0.0363
St. Croix	SC1	SC1-1	JH938	4/29/2021	0
				10/28/2021	0
		SC1-2	JH939	4/29/2021	0
				10/28/2021	0

Sauk	SK6	SK6-1	BB246	5/11/2021	0.146
		SK6-2	BB247	10/21/2021	0.272
		SK6-3	BB248	5/11/2021	0.27
				10/21/2021	0.176
		SK6-4	PT424	10/21/2021	0
Trempealeau	TR1	TR1-1	PX201	5/20/2021	0
				10/28/2021	0
		TR1-2	PX202	5/20/2021	0
				10/28/2021	0
Waupaca	WP2	WP2-1	JH985	5/18/2021	0
				10/19/2021	0
		WP2-2	JH984	5/18/2021	0
				10/19/2021	0
Waushara	WS4	WS4-1	BB258	4/22/2021	0.192
				11/3/2021	0.196
		WS4-4	BB261	4/22/2021	0.0298
				11/3/2021	0.028
	WS6	WS6-1	JH989	4/22/2021	0.185
				11/3/2021	0.0636
		WS6-2	JH990	4/22/2021	0
				11/3/2021	0
	WS7	WS7-1	VR841	4/22/2021	0.0568
				11/3/2021	0.0505
		WS7-2	VR842	4/22/2021	0.261
				11/3/2021	0.246
		WS7-3	VR843	4/22/2021	0.84
				11/3/2021	0.189
		WS7-4	PT423	11/3/2021	0.0829

Notes:

WUWN	Wisconsin Unique Well Number
µg/L	Micrograms per liter or parts per billion
0	Concentration does not exceed laboratory reporting limit of 0.05 µg/L.
(yellow highlight)	Exceeds Wisconsin Department of Health Services Drinking Water Health Advisory of 0.2 µg/L.

(June 2019, November 2020, revised February 2022)

Table B 6: Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program - 2021 Alachlor ESA Concentrations in Groundwater Samples

County	Site (Grower)	Well Name	WUWN	Sample Date	Alachlor ESA
Adams	AD2	AD2-1	BH954	5/13/2021	0.338
				11/24/2021	0.14
		AD2-4	VR844	5/13/2021	0.415
				11/24/2021	0.411
		AD2-5	VR845	5/13/2021	0.658
				11/24/2021	0.528
		AD2-6	PT421	11/24/2021	3.31
	AD3	AD3-1	BH999	5/13/2021	1.1
		AD3-3	BI001	5/13/2021	0.293
	AD4	AD4-2	BH997	5/13/2021	0.182
	AD5	AD5-1	CL461	5/13/2021	0
				11/24/2021	0
		AD5-4	VR846	5/13/2021	1.36
				11/24/2021	1.15
		AD5-5	VR847	5/13/2021	11.3
				11/24/2021	9.36
		AD5-6	PT422	11/24/2021	2.18
Barron	BR3	BR3-1	BR279	4/29/2021	0
				10/27/2021	0
		BR3-3	BR281	4/29/2021	0
				10/27/2021	0
Dane	DN1	DN1-1	PT428	10/21/2021	0
		DN1-2	BR251	5/6/2021	0.0611
		DN1-3	BR252	10/21/2021	0
Dunn	DU1	DU1-1	AO384	5/25/2021	0.188
				10/27/2021	0.162
		DU1-3	AO386	5/25/2021	0.166
				10/27/2021	0.137
	DU2	DU2-1	AO387	5/25/2021	0.094
				10/27/2021	0.136
		DU2-3	AO389	5/25/2021	0.0816
				10/27/2021	0.0882
Grant	GR1	GR1-1	BR255	5/11/2021	0.0799
				10/21/2021	0
		GR1-3	BR257	5/11/2021	0
				10/21/2021	0.0728
Iowa	IW1	IW1-4	BR259	5/6/2021	0.7
				11/16/2021	1.12
		IW1-6	BR261	11/16/2021	0.714
		IW1-7	BH967	5/6/2021	1.22
				11/16/2021	1.44
		IW1-8	PT425	11/16/2021	1.75
	IW2	IW2-1	BR036	5/6/2021	0.396
		IW2-2	BR037	11/16/2021	0.271
		IW2-3	BR038	5/6/2021	0.456
				11/16/2021	0.359
		IW2-4	PT426	11/16/2021	0.438
		IW2-5	PT427	11/16/2021	0.351
Jackson	JK3	JK3-1	JH982	5/4/2021	0
				10/27/2021	0
		JK3-2	JH981	5/4/2021	0
				10/27/2021	0
Juneau	JN1	JN1-1	BR046	4/7/2021	0
				12/2/2021	0
		JN1-3	BR048	4/7/2021	0.58
				12/2/2021	1.13
	JN3	JN3-1	JH937	4/14/2021	0
				12/2/2021	15.5
		JN3-2	JH936	4/14/2021	0
				12/2/2021	0.11
La Crosse	LC2	LC2-1	VZ391	5/20/2021	0
				10/28/2021	0
		LC2-2	VZ392	5/20/2021	0
				10/28/2021	0
Langlade	LN1	LN1-1	BH964	5/18/2021	0
				10/19/2021	0
		LN1-3	BH966	5/18/2021	0
				10/19/2021	0
Portage	PR1	PR1-1	BR207	4/13/2021	0
				10/19/2021	0
		PR1-4	VR848	4/13/2021	0.726
				10/19/2021	0.656
		PR1-5	VR849	4/13/2021	0.724
				10/19/2021	0.722
St. Croix	SC1	SC1-1	JH938	4/29/2021	0.245
				10/28/2021	0.268
		SC1-2	JH939	4/29/2021	0
				10/28/2021	0.162

Sauk	SK6	SK6-1	BB246	5/11/2021	0.907
		SK6-2	BB247	10/21/2021	0.727
		SK6-3	BB248	5/11/2021	0.359
				10/21/2021	0.391
		SK6-4	PT424	10/21/2021	0.611
Trempealeau	TR1	TR1-1	PX201	5/20/2021	0
				10/28/2021	0
		TR1-2	PX202	5/20/2021	0
				10/28/2021	0
Waupaca	WP2	WP2-1	JH985	5/18/2021	0.132
				10/19/2021	0.113
		WP2-2	JH984	5/18/2021	0
				10/19/2021	0
Waushara	WS4	WS4-1	BB258	4/22/2021	0.22
				11/3/2021	0.787
		WS4-4	BB261	4/22/2021	0.386
				11/3/2021	0.146
	WS6	WS6-1	JH989	4/22/2021	0.16
				11/3/2021	0.267
		WS6-2	JH990	4/22/2021	0
				11/3/2021	0
	WS7	WS7-1	VR841	4/22/2021	0.189
				11/3/2021	0.317
		WS7-2	VR842	4/22/2021	0.944
				11/3/2021	0.774
		WS7-3	VR843	4/22/2021	3.11
				11/3/2021	2.92
		WS7-4	PT423	11/3/2021	5.67

Notes:

WUWN	Wisconsin Unique Well Number
µg/L	Micrograms per liter or parts per billion
0	Concentration does not exceed laboratory reporting limit of 0.05 µg/L.
(yellow highlight)	Detected concentration exceeds the Wisconsin Administrative Code ch. NR 140 Preventive Action Limit of 4.0 µg/L.

Table B 7: Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program - 2021 Atrazine and Metabolite Concentrations in Groundwater Samples

County	Site (Grower)	Well Name	WUWN	Sample Date	Atrazine	De-ethyl Atrazine	De-isopropyl Atrazine	Di-amino Atrazine	Atrazine TCR
Adams	AD2	AD2-1	BH954	5/13/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				11/24/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		AD2-4	VR844	5/13/2021	0.17	0.197	0	0	0.367
				11/24/2021	0.181	0.335	0	0	0.516
		AD2-5	VR845	5/13/2021	0.0941	0.217	0	0	0.3111
				11/24/2021	0.179	0.25	0	0	0.429
		AD2-6	PT421	11/24/2021	0.328	0.728	0	0.241	1.297
	AD3	AD3-1	BH999	5/13/2021	0	0.581	0	0.229	0.81
		AD3-3	BI001	5/13/2021	0	0	0	0	0
	AD4	AD4-2	BH997	5/13/2021	0	0.0634	0	0	0.0634
	AD5	AD5-1	CL461	5/13/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				11/24/2021	0	0.0529	0.0935	0	0.1464
		AD5-4	VR846	5/13/2021	0.0696	0.0595	0.0573	0	0.1864
				11/24/2021	0.0603	0	0	0	0.0603
		AD5-5	VR847	5/13/2021	0.136	0.744	0	0.205	1.085
				11/24/2021	0.142	0.722	0	0	0.864
		AD5-6	PT422	11/24/2021	0	0.606	0	0.201	0.807
Barron	BR3	BR3-1	BR279	4/29/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				10/27/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		BR3-3	BR281	4/29/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				10/27/2021	0	0	0	0	0
Dane	DN1	DN1-1	PT428	10/21/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		DN1-2	BR251	5/6/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		DN1-3	BR252	10/21/2021	0	0	0	0	0
Dunn	DU1	DU1-1	AO384	5/25/2021	0	0	0.141	0	0.141
				10/27/2021	0.0797	0	0.113	0	0.1927
		DU1-3	AO386	5/25/2021	0	0	0.227	0	0.227
				10/27/2021	0	0	0.195	0	0.195
	DU2	DU2-1	AO387	5/25/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				10/27/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		DU2-3	AO389	5/25/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				10/27/2021	0	0	0	0	0
Grant	GR1	GR1-1	BR255	5/11/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				10/21/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		GR1-3	BR257	5/11/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				10/21/2021	0	0	0.0663	0	0.0663
Iowa	IW1	IW1-4	BR259	5/6/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				11/16/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		IW1-6	BR261	11/16/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		IW1-7	BH967	5/6/2021	0	0.054	0.054	0	0.108
				11/16/2021	0	0.0546	0.0556	0	0.1102
		IW1-8	PT425	11/16/2021	0.089	0.101	0.17	0.261	0.621
	IW2	IW2-1	BR036	5/6/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		IW2-2	BR037	11/16/2021	0	0	0.0521	0	0.0521
		IW2-3	BR038	5/6/2021	0	0	0.0507	0	0.0507
				11/16/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		IW2-4	PT426	11/16/2021	0	0	0.0702	0.247	0.3172
		IW2-5	PT427	11/16/2021	0.172	0.102	0.065	0	0.339
Jackson	JK3	JK3-1	JH982	5/4/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				10/27/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		JK3-2	JH981	5/4/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				10/27/2021	0	0	0	0	0
Juneau	JN1	JN1-1	BR046	4/7/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				12/2/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		JN1-3	BR048	4/7/2021	0	0.0699	0	0	0.0699
				12/2/2021	0	0.0547	0	0	0.0547
	JN3	JN3-1	JH937	4/14/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				12/2/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		JN3-2	JH936	4/14/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				12/2/2021	0	0	0	0	0
La Crosse	LC2	LC2-1	VZ391	5/20/2021	0	0.171	0	0	0.171
				10/28/2021	0.0536	0.157	0	0	0.2106
		LC2-2	VZ392	5/20/2021	0.0865	0.167	0	0	0.2535
				10/28/2021	0.0672	0.165	0	0	0.2322
Langlade	LN1	LN1-1	BH964	5/18/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				10/19/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		LN1-3	BH966	5/18/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				10/19/2021	0	0	0	0	0
Portage	PR1	PR1-1	BR207	4/13/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				10/19/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		PR1-4	VR848	4/13/2021	0	0.0714	0	0	0.0714
				10/19/2021	0	0.0729	0	0	0.0729
		PR1-5	VR849	4/13/2021	0	0.0996	0	0	0.0996
				10/19/2021	0	0.098	0	0	0.098
St. Croix	SC1	SC1-1	JH938	4/29/2021	0	0.0636	0	0.247	0.3106
				10/28/2021	0	0.0678	0.0511	0.23	0.3489
		SC1-2	JH939	4/29/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				10/28/2021	0	0	0	0	0
Sauk	SK6	SK6-1	BB246	5/11/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		SK6-2	BB247	10/21/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		SK6-3	BB248	5/11/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				10/21/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		SK6-4	PT424	10/21/2021	0.116	0.272	0.503	0.382	1.273
Trempealeau	TR1	TR1-1	PX201	5/20/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				10/28/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		TR1-2	PX202	5/20/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				10/28/2021	0	0	0	0	0

Back to TOC

Waupaca	WP2	WP2-1	JH985	5/18/2021	0	0.07	0.0729	0.253	0.3959
				10/19/2021	0	0.0564	0.0773	0	0.1337
		WP2-2	JH984	5/18/2021	0	0.0923	0	0	0.0923
				10/19/2021	0	0.116	0.0716	0	0.1876
Waushara	WS4	WS4-1	BB258	4/22/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				11/3/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		WS4-4	BB261	4/22/2021	0	0	0.187	0.206	0.393
				11/3/2021	0	0	0.145	0	0.145
	WS6	WS6-1	JH989	4/22/2021	0	0	0.355	0.468	0.823
				11/3/2021	0	0	0.605	0.512	1.117
		WS6-2	JH990	4/22/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				11/3/2021	0	0	0	0	0
	WS7	WS7-1	VR841	4/22/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				11/3/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		WS7-2	VR842	4/22/2021	0	0	0	0	0
				11/3/2021	0	0	0	0	0
		WS7-3	VR843	4/22/2021	0.0641	0.426	0.269	0	0.7591
				11/3/2021	0.0742	0.379	0.184	0	0.6372
		WS7-4	PT423	11/3/2021	0.104	0.495	0	0	0.599

Concentrations identified as micrograms per liter or parts per billion.

TCR Total Chlorinated Residue for Atrazine. Reflects an additive quantity of atrazine and its three metabolites (de-ethyl, de-isopropyl and di-amino atrazine).

WUWN Wisconsin Unique Well Number

µg/L Micrograms per liter or parts per billion.

0 Concentration does not exceed laboratory reporting limit of 0.05 µg/L.

(green) Site is located within an atrazine Prohibition Area.

(yellow) Detected concentration exceeds the Wisconsin Administrative Code ch. NR 140 Preventive Action Limit of 0.3 µg/L.

Table B 8: Field-Edge Groundwater Monitoring Program - 2021 Nitrogen-Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations in Groundwater Samples

County	Site (Grower)	Well Name	WUWN	Sample Date	Nitrogen-Nitrate/Nitrite
Adams	AD2	AD2-1	BH954	5/13/2021	29.6
				11/24/2021	34
		AD2-4	VR844	5/13/2021	33.1
				11/24/2021	27.5
		AD2-5	VR845	5/13/2021	28.8
				11/24/2021	19.4
		AD2-6	PT421	11/24/2021	13
	AD3	AD3-1	BH999	5/13/2021	19.3
		AD3-3	BI001	5/13/2021	15.2
	AD4	AD4-2	BH997	5/13/2021	31.4
	AD5	AD5-1	CL461	5/13/2021	1.57
				11/24/2021	1.43
		AD5-4	VR846	5/13/2021	20.2
				11/24/2021	24.4
		AD5-5	VR847	5/13/2021	30.2
				11/24/2021	26.1
		AD5-6	PT422	11/24/2021	7.85
Barron	BR3	BR3-1	BR279	4/29/2021	1.26
				10/27/2021	2.67
		BR3-3	BR281	4/29/2021	3.89
				10/27/2021	9.83
Dane	DN1	DN1-1	PT428	10/21/2021	10.8
		DN1-2	BR251	5/6/2021	17
		DN1-3	BR252	10/21/2021	14.5
Dunn	DU1	DU1-1	AO384	5/25/2021	9.41
				10/27/2021	12.4
		DU1-3	AO386	5/25/2021	15.5
				10/27/2021	12.6
	DU2	DU2-1	AO387	5/25/2021	1.81
				10/27/2021	6.85
		DU2-3	AO389	5/25/2021	0
				10/27/2021	0
Grant	GR1	GR1-1	BR255	5/11/2021	14.1
				10/21/2021	10.6
		GR1-3	BR257	5/11/2021	18.1
				10/21/2021	18.1
Iowa	IW1	IW1-4	BR259	5/6/2021	16
				11/16/2021	18.5
		IW1-6	BR261	11/16/2021	13.3
		IW1-7	BH967	5/6/2021	26.3
				11/16/2021	25.8
		IW1-8	PT425	11/16/2021	26.5
	IW2	IW2-1	BR036	5/6/2021	0
		IW2-2	BR037	11/16/2021	20.6
		IW2-3	BR038	5/6/2021	28.2
				11/16/2021	22.9
		IW2-4	PT426	11/16/2021	23.9
		IW2-5	PT427	11/16/2021	17
Jackson	JK3	JK3-1	JH982	5/4/2021	2.4
				10/27/2021	1.96
		JK3-2	JH981	5/4/2021	2.72
				10/27/2021	2.17
Juneau	JN1	JN1-1	BR046	4/7/2021	5.72
				12/2/2021	6.38
		JN1-3	BR048	4/7/2021	31.1
				12/2/2021	27
	JN3	JN3-1	JH937	4/14/2021	0
				12/2/2021	5.11
		JN3-2	JH936	4/14/2021	1.31
				12/2/2021	0
La Crosse	LC2	LC2-1	VZ391	5/20/2021	19.1
				10/28/2021	19.4
		LC2-2	VZ392	5/20/2021	17.2
				10/28/2021	17.6
Langlade	LN1	LN1-1	BH964	5/18/2021	14.8
				10/19/2021	15.5
		LN1-3	BH966	5/18/2021	11.7
				10/19/2021	10.6
Portage	PR1	PR1-1	BR207	4/13/2021	4.9
				10/19/2021	0.772
		PR1-4	VR848	4/13/2021	21.6
				10/19/2021	19.4
		PR1-5	VR849	4/13/2021	22.6
				10/19/2021	22.6

St. Croix	SC1	SC1-1	JH938	4/29/2021	8.42
				10/28/2021	10.2
		SC1-2	JH939	4/29/2021	11.5
				10/28/2021	19.4
Sauk	SK6	SK6-1	BB246	5/11/2021	22.7
		SK6-2	BB247	10/21/2021	41.2
		SK6-3	BB248	5/11/2021	23.2
				10/21/2021	17.3
		SK6-4	PT424	10/21/2021	7.25
Trempealeau	TR1	TR1-1	PX201	5/20/2021	25.5
				10/28/2021	23.6
		TR1-2	PX202	5/20/2021	17.5
				10/28/2021	17.7
Waupaca	WP2	WP2-1	JH985	5/18/2021	8.57
				10/19/2021	32.6
		WP2-2	JH984	5/18/2021	8.79
				10/19/2021	13.3
Waushara	WS4	WS4-1	BB258	4/22/2021	16.3
				11/3/2021	18.6
		WS4-4	BB261	4/22/2021	28.3
				11/3/2021	25.8
	WS6	WS6-1	JH989	4/22/2021	28.8
				11/3/2021	34.2
		WS6-2	JH990	4/22/2021	4.3
				11/3/2021	2.29
	WS7	WS7-1	VR841	4/22/2021	14.3
				11/3/2021	16.7
		WS7-2	VR842	4/22/2021	31.9
				11/3/2021	23.3
		WS7-3	VR843	4/22/2021	33.5
				11/3/2021	31.4
		WS7-4	PT423	11/3/2021	21.9

Notes:

WUWN	Wisconsin Unique Well Number
mg/L	Milligrams per liter or parts per million
0	Concentration does not exceed laboratory reporting limit of 0.5 mg/L.
(yellow)	Detected concentration exceeds the Wisconsin Administrative Code ch. NR 140 Preventive Action Limit of 2.0 mg/L.
(orange)	Detected concentration exceeds the Wisconsin Administrative Code ch. NR 140 Enforcement Standard of 10.0 mg/L.