

State of Wisconsin Governor Tony Evers

**Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection** Secretary Randy Romanski

Silurian Bedrock Performance Standard and ATCP 50

February 24, 2022 1:00 pm – 3:00 pm

Zoom Link: https://www.zoomgov.com/j/1616215934?pwd=SVNXMDZEM3JBVXRkSE53YmlnR1BSZz09

Meeting ID: 161 621 5934 Passcode: 320150 +1 551 285 1373 US

## **Agenda**

- 1. Meeting objective
- 2. Discuss implementation, cost-share requirements and compliance considerations related to NR
  - a. Overview presentation
  - b. Discussion (Questions on next page)
- 3. Wrap-up and next steps
  - a. Key take-aways
  - b. Upcoming meeting topics
    - i. Use of <u>DATCP 01</u> (Tentative: March 24, 2022)
    - ii. Continued discussion including considerations for other state programs (Tentative: early April)

## **Reference Materials**

- DATCP Website: ATCP 50: Soil and Water Resource Management Program Rulemaking 2021
- Wis. Admin. Code NR 151.075
- Reference guide for Silurian bedrock performance standard (available on website by meeting date)

Wisconsin - America's Dairyland

2811 Agriculture Drive • PO Box 8911 • Madison, WI 53708-8911 • Wisconsin.gov An equal opportunity employer

## **Discussion Questions**

- 1. If a landowner already has a nutrient management plan, should cost-sharing be provided to update it to meet the Silurian bedrock performance standard?
  - a. Yes
    - i. If cost-sharing is provided, how should it be calculated? (For example, per acre for entire plan or by new field, current per acre rate or something different, flat rate, prorated amount, etc)
  - b. No
- i. What are some reasons not to provide additional cost-sharing for an update of the nutrient management plan?
- c. Not sure
- 2. What practices or actions should be cost-shareable to implement the plan to meet the Silurian bedrock performance standard? (for example, low-disturbance manure injection, cropland rental, costs associated with conversion to no-till).
- 3. Should an offer of cost-sharing for compliance capture the opportunity cost of a less profitable use of the land?
  - a. Yes
    - i. If yes, what costs should be included in that offer?
  - b. No
- i. If not, why not?