## ATCP 50 Stakeholder Meeting Soil and Water Resource Management Grant Program

April 27, 2022 1:30 – 3:30

https://www.zoomgov.com/j/1610872940?pwd=SXQ3T0t0Mm0zajkzeHMxL3krVmV1dz09

Passcode: 883960 +1 551 285 1373 US

## **Agenda**

- 1. Welcome
- 2. Review of the soil and water resource management grant program and funding
- 3. Discussion on topics relating to cost-share funding through the soil and water resource management grant program
- 4. Wrap-up and next steps

## **Discussion Items**

- 1. Thresholds for recording, bidding and approval
  - a. Are there any comments, questions or considerations you would like to share regarding thresholds for recording a cost-share contract, bidding a contract or requiring approval when a contract is greater than \$50,000?
- 2. Cost-share Rates
  - a. What recommendations, comments or questions do you have regarding rates for cost-share practices?
- 3. Timeframe limits for cost-shared practices
  - a. What are considerations with respect to establishing a timeframe for cost-shared practices?
  - b. What is the appropriate timeframe? For what practices?
  - c. Should a process be available to get an exception to a timeframe?

- 4. Bona fide offers of cost-sharing
  - a. Should the concept of a "bona fide offer of cost-sharing" in the rule more closely reflect the language used in the statute which discusses the costs of compliance?
  - b. What changes, if any, could be made to the rule to improve the process of making a bona fide offer of cost-sharing?
  - c. What costs should be included as costs of compliance to develop a bona fide offer?
- 5. Adding or revising cost-shareable conservation practices
  - a. What recommendations, comments or questions do you have regarding the addition or revision of costshare practices available for funding through the soil and water resource management grant program?
  - b. What are the cost-share considerations with respect to these new practices?
- 6. Are there any other topics related to cost-share you would like to discuss or you would like to be considered as potential revisions to the rule?