

Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection Division of Agricultural Resource Management Bureau of Agrichemical Management PO Box 8911

Madison WI 53708-8911 Phone: (608) 224-4545

Wisconsin Clean Sweep Proposal Scoring Form 2020 Grant Applications: Agricultural, Household Hazardous Waste

Applicant:	
Grant Request Ag: \$ Grant Request HHW: \$	
HHW ONLY □Temporary □Continuous □Permanent AG &HHW □Temporary □Continuous □Permanent	
Evaluation Criteria	Score
Partnerships/Service Area (2 to 8 possible points) Single municipality (city, town, village, tribe) = 2 points; Multi-municipality (2 – 4 municipalities) = 4 points; Single County = 6 points; Multi-governmental (5 +) or multiple counties = 8 points	
Collection Type (2 to 6 possible points) Temporary (three days or less) = 2 points; Continuous (four days or more) = 4 points; Permanent (collect at least 6 months of year w/ permanent infrastructure) = 6 points	
Previous funding (1 to 6 possible points per grant type.)	
Ag last funded in 2019 = 1 point HHW last funded in 2019 = 1 point Ag last funded in 2016 or before = 4 HHW last funded in 2018 = 2 Ag not previously funded = 6	Ag
HHW last funded in 2018 = 2 Ag last funded in 2017 = 3 HHW last funded in 2017 = 3	HHW
Waste Reduction Efforts Limit Waste (0 to 5 points possible) If yes, applicant should describe public outreach efforts to reduce, reuse and recycle or limit hazardous waste creation.; No response = 0; Low effort or limited explanation = 2-3, High effort or detailed explanation = 4-5	
Local Support (0 to 10 possible points) Applicant describes local support for clean sweep. Examples: local funding for program, volunteers, business donations, survey results, cooperation among community organizations, government resolutions, high participation levels or increasing participation over time. No response = 0, Low support or limited explanation = 1-3, Average support and documentation = 4-7, High support with documentation = 8-10	
Public Information (0 to 10 possible points) Applicant describes plan and methods of public outreach. Examples, press releases, radio interviews, ads, presentations, flyers, brochures, social media like Facebook, mailings, targeted underserved groups, ethnic group outreach. Note new methods for 2020 or use of successful method(s); No response = 0; Poor effort or limited explanation = 1-3; Average effort with a few ideas offered = 4-7; High effort with many ideas and methods presented or new methods described = 8-10	
Expanded Services (0 to 4 possible points) Applicant lists examples of expanded services such as adding a new partner, serving a new area, adding in another collection service; expanding hours. No response = 0; One new service = 1-2; Two new services or more = 3-4.	
Raters Initials: Total Score	

Comments: