

Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection Secretary Randy Romanski

DATE: November 17, 2022

TO: Board of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection

FROM: Randy Romanski, Secretary

Brian Weigel, Administrator, Division of Agricultural Resource Management

SUBJECT: ATCP 21, 29, and 35 (Removal of obsolete language and resolve statutory

conflicts)

PRESENTED BY: Jill Hoskins, ACM Policy Analyst, Division of Agricultural Resource

Management

REQUESTED ACTION:

At the November 17, 2022 Board meeting, the Department will request a hearing draft approval and approval to proceed with a public hearing regarding Wis. Admin. Code chs. ATCP 21, 29, and 35 relating to removal of obsolete language and resolve statutory conflicts. Board approval will allow the Department to submit the hearing draft rule to the Legislative Council Rules Clearinghouse and hold a public hearing on the proposed rule.

SUMMARY:

Presently, ATCP 21 contains references to a pest common name that has been changed and is now obsolete, and contains outdated pest infestation levels and outdated diagnostic techniques. ATCP 29 contains obsolete language regarding licensing of pesticide manufacturers and labelers. ATCP 29 and ATCP 35 each refer to incorrect fees and surcharges that were changed in statute by the 2017 Wisconsin Act 59. The objective of the proposed rule is to review chapters ATCP 21, 29, and 35 with regard to recent statutory changes, current diagnostics techniques, and inclusive language. This project will modify the rules to ensure statutory compliance including necessary updates resulting from 2017 ACT 59.

The Economic Impact Analysis for this proposed rule, along with a hearing rule draft and a Regulatory Flexibility Analysis were posted on the DATCP website for a comment period of 14 days. No comments were received.

The Department will hold a public hearing on the hearing draft rule on December 16, 2022 in Madison, with both in-person and virtual hearing options.

DATCP Docket: 22-R-05 Clearinghouse Rule:

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, TRADE AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

PROPOSED ORDER OF THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, TRADE AND CONSUMER PROTECTION ADOPTING RULES

PROPOSED ORDER

The Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection proposes an order *to repeal* ATCP 21.01 (15), ATCP 21.13 (3), ATCP 29.11 (1) (d), ATCP 29.11 (2) (a), ATCP 29.11 (2) (b), ATCP 29.11 (2) (c), ATCP 29.11 (3) (a), ATCP 29.11 (3) (b), ATCP 29.11 (3) (c), ATCP 29.11 (3m), ATCP 29.11 (6) (b); *to amend* ATCP 21.01 (8), ATCP 21.10, (title), ATCP 21.10 (1) (a) and (b), ATCP 21.10 (2) (a) and (b), ATCP 21.10 (3), ATCP 21.13 (2) (c), ATCP 29.10 (3) (c), ATCP 29.11 (2), ATCP 29.11 (3), ATCP 29.11 (5), ATCP 29.11 (6) (6), ATCP 29.11 (6) (d), ATCP 29.15 (4) (b), ATCP 29.20 (6) (b), ATCP 29.25 (5) (a), ATCP 35.04 (5) (b), ATCP 35.06 (3) (c), ATCP 35.20 (3), ATCP 35.22 (1) (a), ATCP 35.22 (1) (b), ATCP 35.22 (1) (c), ATCP 35.22 (2) (a), ATCP 35.22 (c), and ATCP 35.22 (2) (d); *to create* ATCP 29.20 (6) (c), *relating to* the removal of obsolete language and resolve statutory conflicts.

Analysis Prepared by the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection

Statutes Interpreted: ss. 93.07 (12), 93.07 (13), 94.01, 94.68 (2), 94.681, 94.685 (3), 94.703 (3), 94.704 (3), 94.73 (6), and 94.76, Stats.

Statutory Authority: ss. 93.07 (1), 93.07 (12), 93.07 (13), 94.01, 94.68 (2), 94.681, 94.685 (3), 94.70, 94.703 (3), 94.704 (3), 94.73 (11), 94.76, 227.10 (3) and 227.11 (2) (a), Stats.

Explanation of Agency Authority

The Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (department) has broad general authority, under s. 93.07 (1), Stats., to interpret laws under its jurisdiction. The department also has broad general authority, under ss. 93.07 (12) and (13), 94.01 (1), and 94.76 (1) Stats., to conduct surveys and inspections for the detection and control of injurious pests; and to make, modify, and enforce reasonable rules, including adoption of control measures necessary to prevent, suppress or control the introduction, establishment and spread of injurious pests.

The department has broad authority under s. 94.70, Stats., to regulate the sale and/or distribution of pesticides within the state, and authority under, ss. 94.68 and 94.681, Stats., to process license applications and issue licenses to pesticide manufacturers and labelers, and to collect fees and surcharges for their products. The department also has authority under s. 94.685, Stats., regarding the licensing of, and collection of fees from, dealers and distributors of restricted-use pesticides. Authority for licensing of

commercial pesticide application businesses, and licensing of individual commercial pesticide applicators, is given under ss. 94.703 and s. 94.704, Stats., respectively. Additional authority is specified in Wisconsin Statute sections 25.468.

The department has authority under s. 227.10 (3), Stats. regarding prohibiting discrimination to revise gender-specific language.

The department has broad authority under s. 94.73 (11), Stats., to promulgate rules to implement the Agricultural Chemical Cleanup Program (ACCP), and specifically under s. 94.73 (6), Stats., to specify amounts and formulas for reimbursements requested through the ACCP.

The department is proposing to adopt these rules, under authority of s. 227.11 (2) (a) (intro.), Stats., by the permanent rulemaking process.

Related Statutes and Rules

Chapter ATCP 21, Plant Inspection and Pest Control; ch. ATCP 29, Pesticide Use and Control; ch. ATCP 35, Agricultural Chemical Cleanup Program

Plain Language Analysis

This rule is promulgated under s. 94.76 (1), Stats., which allows the department to issue such rules or orders or adopt such control measures which in its judgment may be necessary to prevent, suppress or control the introduction, spread or dissemination of honeybee diseases and pests in this state.

This proposed rule makes adjustments to gendered references in ch. ATCP 35.

This proposed rule resolves obsolete language and the conflicts with Wisconsin statutes.

The department identified portions of s. ATCP 21.10 as obsolete to the extent these portions use an obsolete common name for the live insect, *Lymantria dispar*, or "spongy moth," and this proposed rule corrects this where used.

The department identified portions of s. ATCP 21.13 are reportable as obsolete. Specifically, ATCP subsections 21.13 (2) (c) 1.-2., refer to outdated methods to certify that honeybees are European honeybees. Section ATCP 21.13 (3) is outdated because it requires a Varroa mite certification that hives are free of this pest.

The department identified administrative code language in chs. ATCP 29 and ATCP 35 as obsolete or conflicting in our report filed with the Joint Committee for Review of Administrative Rules pursuant to s. 227.29, Stats. Section ATCP 29.10 (3) (c) 2. is unnecessary as it requires pesticide manufacturers and labelers applying for a license to include with an application a report that states the gross revenue of each pesticide product used in this state. 2017 Wisconsin Act 59 section 1260 removed this requirement from s. 94.68 (2) (bm), Stats. Sections ATCP 29.11, ATCP 29.15 (4), ATCP 29.20 (6), and ATCP 29.25 (5) conflict with ss. 94.681, 94.685 (3) (a) 2., 94.703 (3) (a) 2., and 94.704 (3) (a) 2., Stats., due to changes in license fees by 2017 Wisconsin Act 59 section 1285, 1289, 1290, and 1292, respectively. Section ATCP 35.22 conflicts with s. 94.73 (6) (b), (c), Stats., as 2017 Wisconsin Act 59 section 1302 increased the maximum eligible reimbursement costs for eligible sites under the Agricultural Chemical Cleanup Program.

The department is unaware of any existing or proposed federal language that would affect the proposed rules technical changes.

Summary of Comments Received during Preliminary Comment Period and at Public Hearing on Statement of Scope

The Joint Committee for Review of Administrative Rules did not request a preliminary hearing on the scope; thus, the department did not hold a preliminary hearing on the scope.

Comparison with Rules in Adjacent States

Illinois: The proposed rule's technical changes are not applicable to Illinois rules or statutes.

Iowa: The proposed rule's technical changes are not applicable to Iowa rules or statutes.

Michigan: The proposed rule's technical changes are not applicable to Michigan rules or statutes.

Minnesota: The proposed rule's technical changes are not applicable to Minnesota rules or statutes.

Summary of Factual Data and Analytical Methodologies

The department identified portions of s. ATCP 21.13 are reportable as obsolete. The department identified administrative code language in chs. ATCP 29 and ATCP 35 as obsolete or conflicting in our report filed with the Joint Committee for Review of Administrative Rules pursuant to s. 227.29, Stats. This proposed rule modifies provisions in the rule to align with statute.

Analysis and Supporting Documents used to Determine Effect on Small Business or in Preparation of an Economic Impact Analysis

The proposed rule will be posted on the department's website for economic comments for 14 days and comments received will be incorporated into the final draft. This proposed order aligns chs. ATCP 21, ATCP 29, and ATCP 35 with ss. 93.07 (12), 93.07 (13), 94.01, 94.68 (2), 94.681, 94.685 (3), 94.703 (3), 94.704 (3), 94.73 (6), and 94.76, Stats., and therefore, does not have an effect on small businesses.

Fiscal Estimate and Economic Impact Analysis

The Fiscal Estimate and Economic Impact Analysis is attached.

Effect on Small Business

The Department's Regulatory Review Coordinator may be contacted by: Email at <u>Bradford.Steinel@wisconsin.gov</u>
Telephone at (608) 224-5024

The Regulatory Flexibility Analysis is attached.

Department Contact Person

Jill Hoskins
Division of Agricultural Resource Management
Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection
P.O. Box 8911

Madison, WI 53708-8911 (608) 224-4509 Jill.Hoskins@Wisconsin.gov

Place Where Comments are to Be Submitted and Deadline for Submission:

Comments must be received on or before December 27, 2022 to be included in the record of rule-making proceedings. Submit comments:

By mail to:

Jill Hoskins
Division of Agricultural Resource Management
Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection
P.O. Box 8911

Madison, WI 53708-8911 **By email to:** Jill.Hoskins@Wisconsin.gov

RULE TEXT

- 1 SECTION 1. ATCP 21.01 (8) is amended to read:
- 2 ATCP 21.02 (8) "GypsySpongy moth" means the live insect, Lymantria dispar, family Lymantriidae, in
- 3 any life stage, including the egg, larva, pupa, or adult stage.
- 4 SECTION 2. ATCP 21.01 (15) is repealed.
- 5 SECTION 3. ATCP 21.10, (title), is amended to read:
- 6 ATCP 21.10 (title) GypsySpongy moth; import controls and quarantine.
- 7 SECTION 4. ATCP 21.10 (1) (a) and (b) is amended to read:
- 8 ATCP 21.10 (1) (a) Except as provided under sub. (3), no person may import into this state any trees, woody
- 9 shrubs, cut Christmas trees, logs, pulpwood, slabwood, firewood, or wood chips that originate from a
- 10 gypsyspongy moth regulated area designated by the United States department of agriculture under 7 CFR
- 11 301.45-2a.
- 12 (b) Except as provided under sub. (3), no person may move any trees, woody shrubs, cut Christmas trees,
- logs, pulpwood, slabwood, firewood, or wood chips out of any area in this state which the United States
- department of agriculture has designated as a gypsyspongy moth regulated area under 7 CFR 301.45-2a.
- SECTION 5. ATCP 21.10 (2) (a) and (b) is amended to read:

- ATCP 21.10 (2) (a) Transport into this state, for delivery in this state, any outdoor household item that has
- 17 been used in a gypsyspongy moth regulated area designated by the United States department of agriculture
- 18 under 7 CFR 301.45-2a.
- 19 (b) Transport, out of an area in this state which the United States department of agriculture has designated
- as a gypsyspongy moth regulated area under 7 CFR 301.45-2a, any outdoor household item that has been
- 21 used in that area.
- 22 SECTION 6. ATCP 21.10 (3) is amended to read:
- 23 ATCP 21.10 (3) Inspected and certified items. Subsections (1) and (2) do not apply to items that are
- 24 inspected and certified by a pest control official in the state or province of origin, provided that the items
- are accompanied by a written certificate issued by the pest control official who inspected those items. The
- certificate shall identify the date of inspection and the items inspected. In the certificate, the pest control
- official shall certify at least one of the following:
- 28 (a) That the inspected items originate from non-infested premises and have not been exposed to
- 29 gypsyspongy moth infestation.
- 30 (b) That the inspected items were found, at the time of inspection, to be free of gypsyspongy moth
- 31 infestation.
- 32 (c) That the inspected items have been effectively treated to destroy the gypsyspongy moth. The certificate
- shall specify the method and date of treatment.
- 34 Note: Effective treatment methods include the methods described in the "Gypsy Moth Program Manual"
- 35 published by the United States department of agriculture, animal and plant health inspection service
- 36 (USDA-APHIS). A copy of the manual may be inspected at the department, or may be obtained from
- 37 USDA-APHIS or viewed online at: https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/planthealth/complete-list-
- 38 <u>of-electronic-manuals/ct online manuals.</u>
- 39 (d) That the inspected items are produced, processed, stored, handled, or used under conditions, described
- in the certificate, that effectively preclude the transmission of any gypsyspongy moth infestation.
- 41 SECTION 7. ATCP 21.13 (2) (c) is amended to read:

- 42 ATCP 21.13 (2) (c) A pest control official may use any of the following methods to certify that honeybees
- 43 are European honeybees:
- 44 1. The Fast Africanized Bee Identification System published by the United States department of agriculture,
- 45 agricultural research service.
- 46 Note: Copies of the Fast Africanized Bee Identification Systems (FABIS) are on file with the department
- 47 and the legislative reference bureau. Copies are available at cost from the department.
- 48 2.1. The Morphometric Method for Identification of Africanized and European Honey Bees Using Large
- 49 Reference Populations.
- Note: The Morphometric Method for Identification of Africanized and European Honey Bees Using Large
- 51 Reference Populations is described in Rinderer et al., "Morphometric identification of Africanized and
- 52 European honey bees using large reference populations," Apidologie (1993) 24, 569-585. Copies of this
- article are on file with the department and the legislative reference bureau. Copies are available at cost from
- 54 the department.
- 55 3.2. Any other method approved by the department.
- 56 SECTION 8. ATCP 21.13 (3) is repealed.
- 57 SECTION 9. ATCP 29.10 (3) (c) is amended to read:
- 58 ATCP 29.10 (3) (c) A report that does both of the following:
- 59 1. Identifies each pesticide product that the applicant sells or distributes.
- 60 2. States the gross revenue which the applicant derived from the sale or distribution of each pesticide
- 61 product, for use in this state, during the preceding year as defined under s. ATCP 29.11 (1) (d). The
- 62 department may not disclose sales revenue information obtained under this subdivision.
- 63 SECTION 10. ATCP 29.11 (1) (d) is repealed.
- SECTION 11. ATCP 29.11 (2) is amended to read:
- ATCP 29.11 (2) Annual license fee. An applicant for a license under s. ATCP 29.10 shall pay an annual
- license fee for each pesticide product that the applicant sells or distributes for use in this state during the
- 67 license year. Except as provided in subs. (5) to (7), the fee for each pesticide product is \$500 as follows:

- 68 SECTION 12. ATCP 29.11 (2) (a), (b) and (c) are repealed.
- 69 SECTION 13. ATCP 29.11 (3) is amended to read:
- 70 ATCP 29.11 (3) Nonhousehold pesticides; cleanup surcharge. An applicant for a license under s. ATCP
- 71 29.10 shall pay an agricultural chemical cleanup surcharge for each nonhousehold pesticide product that
- 72 the applicant sells or distributes. Except as provided in sub. (6) or (7), the amount of the surcharge is \$30.as
- 73 follows:
- 74 SECTION 14. ATCP 29.11 (3) (a), (b), (c) and (3m) are repealed.
- 75 SECTION 15. ATCP 29.11 (5) is amended to read:
- ATCP 29.11 (5) Unreported pesticide; increased license fee. If a person sells or distributes a pesticide
- product without having filed a report for that product under s. ATCP 29.10 (3) (c) or (4), the license fee for
- 78 that product is twice the amount determined under sub. (2), except that if the pesticide product is exempt
- 79 from federal registration under 40 CFR 152.25, the license fee for that product is \$250.
- 80 SECTION 16. ATCP 29.11 (6) (b) is repealed.
- 81 SECTION 17. ATCP 29.11 (6) (c) is amended to read:
- ATCP 29.11 (6) (c) By March 31 of the year following December 31 of the year in which the person stopped
- selling the pesticide product for use in this state, pay a final license fee of \$500 for the pesticide product,
- 84 calculated under sub. (2) based on sales of that product during the period specified in par. (b).
- 85 SECTION 18. ATCP 29.11 (6) (d) is amended to read:
- ATCP 29.11 (6) (d) If the product is a nonhousehold pesticide, pay a final agricultural chemical cleanup
- 87 surcharge of \$30ealeulated under sub. (3) based on sales of that product during the period specified in par.
- 88 (b).
- 89 SECTION 19. ATCP 29.15 (4) (b) is amended to read:
- 90 ATCP 29.15 (4) (b) An agricultural chemical cleanup surcharge of \$22.4020, except as provided in s. 94.73
- 91 (15), Stats.
- 92 SECTION 20. ATCP 29.20 (6) (b) is amended to read:

- ATCP 29,20 (6) (b) Beginning with the license year that begins on January 1, 2015, an agricultural chemical
- 94 eleanup surcharge of \$30.40An agricultural chemical cleanup surcharge of \$20, except as provided in sub.
- 95 (c). or s. 94.73 (15), Stats.
- 96 SECTION 21. ATCP 29.20 (6) (c) is created to read:
- 97 ATCP 29.20 (6) (c) If the applicant manufactures or distributes bulk pesticides in this state, an additional
- 98 agricultural chemical cleanup surcharge of \$25, except as provided in s. 94.73 (15), Stats.
- 99 SECTION 22. ATCP 29.25 (5) (a) is amended to read:
- ATCP 29.25 (5) (a) Except as provided under par. (b), an applicant for an annual license under sub. (1)
- shall pay the following annual license fee and surcharge:
- 102 1. A license fee of \$40.
- Note: Section 1943, 1999 Wis. Act 9, added January 1, 2001 and January 1, 2002 to this requirement.
- 2. An agricultural chemical cleanup surcharge of \$11.2010, except as provided in s. 94.73 (15), Stats.
- 105 SECTION 23. ATCP 35.04 (5) (b) is amended to read:
- ATCP 35.04 (5) (b) Installation of an engineered barrier to limit infiltration of existing contamination,
- provided that the responsible person agrees in writing to maintain the barrier at his or hertheir expense until
- the contamination is removed or fully degraded.
- 109 SECTION 24. ATCP 35.06 (3) (c) is amended to read:
- 110 ATCP 35.06 (3) (c) If any person has reason to believe that he or shethey hashave received any
- reimbursement for which he or shethey is are ineligible under this subsection, that person shall immediately
- 112 notify the department and shall refund with the notification the full amount of any reimbursement for which
- the responsible person is ineligible.
- SECTION 25. ATCP 35.20 (3) is amended to read:
- 115 ATCP 35.20 (3) Joint application. The department shall deny a reimbursement application unless every
- responsible person successfully notified under sub. (2) includes his or hertheir full claim for reimbursement
- as part of the same joint application, or waives any claim for reimbursement related to discharges to date at
- that discharge site. If a notified person fails to submit an application within 30 days after receiving notice,

- or fails to provide documentation under s. ATCP 35.06 (1) within 60 days after receiving notice, that failure
- constitutes a waiver of that person's claim with respect to that application.
- 121 SECTION 26. ATCP 35.22 (1) (a) is amended to read:
- ATCP 35.22 (1) (a) Except as provided in subs. (2) through (6), the department shall reimburse a responsible
- person for each discharge site an amount equal to 75% of the eligible corrective action costs that are greater
- than \$3,000 and less than \$400,000 for costs incurred before July 1, 2017, or that are greater than \$3000
- and less than \$650,000 for costs incurred on or after July 1 2017. To this amount, the department shall add
- interest costs under s. ATCP 35.25.
- 127 SECTION 27. ATCP 35.22 (1) (b) is amended to read:
- ATCP 35.22 (1) (b) If no more than \$3,000 of the eligible corrective action costs under par. (a) were
- incurred prior to January 1, 2004, the total amount paid under par. (a) may not exceed \$297,750485,250.
- 130 SECTION 28. ATCP 35.22 (1) (c) is amended to read:
- ATCP 35.22 (1) (c) If more than \$3,000 of the eligible corrective action costs under par. (a) were incurred
- prior to January 1, 2004, the total amount paid under par. (a) may not exceed \$\frac{317,600}{505,100}\$ or the sum
- of the following, whichever amount is less:
- 134 1. Eighty percent of the eligible corrective action costs incurred up to \$400,000 prior to January 1, 2004
- 135 that exceed \$3,000.
- 2. Seventy-five percent of the difference between \$400,000650,000 and the eligible corrective action costs
- incurred prior to January 1, 2004.
- 138 SECTION 29. ATCP 35.22 (2) (a) is amended to read:
- ATCP 35.22 (2) (a) Except as provided in subs. (3) through (6), the department shall reimburse a responsible
- person for each discharge site an amount equal to 75% of the eligible corrective action costs that are greater
- than \$7,500 and less than \$400,000 for costs incurred before July 1, 2017, or that are greater than \$7,500
- and less than \$650,000 for costs incurred on or after July 1, 2017, if any of the following apply at the time
- the discharge occurs or is discovered:
- 1. The responsible person is required to be licensed under ss. 94.67 to 94.71, Stats.

(END OF RULE TEXT)			
	Stats.		
	month following publication in the Wisconsin Administrative Register, pursuant to s. 227.22 (2) (intro.),		
	SECTION 32. EFFECTIVE DATE. The rules adopted in this order shall take effect on the first day of the		
	incurred prior to January 1, 2004.		
	2. Seventy-five percent of the difference between \$400,000 and the eligible corrective action costs		
	that exceed \$7,500.		
	1. Eighty percent of the eligible corrective action costs incurred up to \$400,000 prior to January 1, 2004		
	the sum of the following, whichever amount is less:		
	prior to January 1, 2004, the total amount paid under pars. (a) and (b) may not exceed \$314,000501,500 or		
	ATCP 35.22 (2) (d) If more than \$7,500 of the eligible corrective action costs under par. (a) were incurred		
	SECTION 31. ATCP 35.22 (2) (d) is amended to read:		
	prior to January 1, 2004, the total amount paid under pars. (a) and (b) may not exceed \$294,375481,875.		
	ATCP 35.22 (2) (c) If no more than \$7,500 of the eligible corrective action costs under par. (a) were incurred		
	SECTION 30. ATCP 35.22 (2) (c) is amended to read:		

2. The responsible person employs more than 25 persons.

145

WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, TRADE AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

By: Randy Romanski, Secretary

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date		
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected	8/10/2022		
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) ATCP 21, Plant Inspection and Pest Control ATCP 29, Pesticide Use and Control ATCP 35, Agricultural Chemical Cleanup Program			
4. Subject Removal of obsolete language and resolve statutory conflicts			
5. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected		
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget		
☐ Local Government Units ☐ Publi	ific Businesses/Sectors c Utility Rate Payers l Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0.00 Because these changes are technical, to conform to changes already implemented in Statute or to comply with industry standards, there is no cost to businesses to implement or comply.			
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? ☐ Yes ☐ No			
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Presently, ATCP 21 contains references to a pest common name that has been changed by the Entomological Society of America and is now obsolete, and contains outdated pest infestation levels and outdated diagnostic techniques. ATCP 29 contains obsolete language regarding licensing of pesticide manufacturers and labelers. ATCP 29 and ATCP 35 each refer to incorrect fees and surcharges that were changed in statute by the 2017 Wisconsin Act 59. ATCP 35 contains gendered language regarding licensees. The objective of the proposed rule is to review chapters ATCP 21, 29, and 35 with regard to recent statutory changes, current diagnostic techniques, and inclusive language. This project will propose rule language to ensure statutory compliance, including necessary updates resulting from 2017 Wisconsin Act 59.			
 12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The Department expects the proposed rule to have minimal, if any, impact on small businesses, including: Businesses such as nurseries and loggers that transport or import articles regulated by the spongy moth quarantine, Beekeepers, Pesticide manufacturers and labelers credentialed or otherwise regulated by the department, Pesticide dealers and distributors of restricted-use pesticides, Commercial pesticide applicators credentialed or otherwise regulated by the Department, Responsible persons requesting reimbursement through the Agricultural Chemical Cleanup Program 13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. Because local governmental units will not be impacted by proposed rule changes to ATCP 21, ATCP 29, and ATCP 35, they were not involved in the development of this EIA. 			

^{14.} Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Incurred)

Proposed changes to ATCP 21, ATCP 29, and ATCP 35 are not anticipated to have any impacts on public utility rate payers, local units of government, businesses, or the state's economy as a whole.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

These proposed rule revisions clarify terminology and standards for Wisconsin's nursery industry and beekeepers. This also aligns agency rules to state statutes, which reduces the possibility for confusion or error related to pesticide licensing, and assessment of fees and surcharges.

Clarifying spongy moth regulations will benefit Wisconsin's nursery industry, which generates \$2.85 billion in revenue per year and supports over 52,000 Wisconsin jobs, according to a study published in the Journal of Environmental Horticulture published in 2010.

Removing obsolete apiary rules will benefit Wisconsin's beekeepers, who play an integral role in pollinating Wisconsin's crops valued at \$213 million, and producing honey, valued at \$5.5 million in 2021.

Updating agency language to be consistent with the 2017 Act 59 (the 2017-2019 biennial budget Act) provides clearer understanding of pesticide-related revenues and expenditures. Positive impacts to business have been realized through the implementation of statutory changes resulting from the implementation of 2017 Wisconsin Act 59, which reduced compliance costs for businesses and consumers. This proposed rule change will bring agency rules into compliance with the current statutes.

The alternative is to do nothing, which allows continued mis-alignment of agency rules with state statute and industry standards.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

Over the long-term, implementation of these rule changes will continue to benefit Wisconsin's nursery industry, beekeepers, pesticide manufacturers and labelers, pesticide dealers and distributors, commercial pesticide applicators, and those responsible persons requesting reimburesment through the ACCP. Benefits of these changes to improve consistency and clarify regulations will continue to benefit Wisconsin's agricultural industry.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

The technical rule changes proposed in this rule will not create any disparities between Wisconsin rules and federal statutes and regulations.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: the proposed rule's technical changes are not applicable to Illinois rules or statutes.

Iowa: the proposed rule's technical changes are not applicable to Iowa rules or statutes.

Michigan: the proposed rule's technical changes are not applicable to Michigan rules or statutes.

Minnesota: the proposed rule's technical changes are not applicable to Minnesota rules or statutes.

19. Contact Name 20. Contact Phone Number 608-224-4509

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses? Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) Yes No

Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

Rule Subject: Obsolete language and statutory alignment

Adm. Code Reference: ATCP 21, ATCP 29, ATCP 35

Rules Clearinghouse No.:

DATCP Docket No.: 22-R-05

Rule Summary

The Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (Department) has conducted a comprehensive review of chs. ATCP 21, ATCP 29, and ATCP 35. The proposed rules remove obsolete language and better align with statute.

Presently, ch. ATCP 21 contains references to a pest common name that has been changed by the Entomological Society of America and is now obsolete, and contains outdated pest infestation levels and outdated diagnostic techniques.

Chapter ATCP 29 contains obsolete language regarding licensing of pesticide manufacturers and labelers.

Chapters ATCP 29 and ATCP 35 each refer to incorrect fees and surcharges that were changed in statute by the 2017 Wisconsin Act 59.

The objective of the proposed rule is to review chs. ATCP 21, 29, and 35 with regard to recent statutory changes, current diagnostic techniques, and inclusive language. This proposed rule change will ensure compliance with industry standards and Wisconsin statutes, including necessary updates resulting from 2017 Wisconsin Act 59.

Small Businesses Affected

The Department expects the proposed rule to have no adverse impact on small businesses, which may include:

- Businesses such as nurseries and loggers that transport or import articles regulated by the spongy moth quarantine,
- Beekeepers,
- Pesticide manufacturers and labelers credentialed or otherwise regulated by the Department,
- Pesticide dealers and distributors of restricted-use pesticides,
- Commercial pesticide applicators credentialed or otherwise regulated by the Department,
- Responsible persons requesting reimbursement through the Agricultural Chemical Cleanup Program (ACCP)

Reporting, Bookkeeping and other Procedures

The proposed rule revisions do not create new reporting, bookkeeping or other procedures required for compliance. The proposed rule eliminates obsolete language, corrects terminology, and aligns administrative rule with statutes.

Professional Skills Required

The proposed rule revisions do not create any new professional skill requirements for compliance with the rule.

Accommodation for Small Business

No accommodations for small business will be necessary for the proposed rule revisions.

Conclusion

These proposed rule revisions do not have an economic impact on small business, as defined in s. 227.114 (1), Stats.

Dated this 5th day of

October

2022

State of Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND COMMENT PERIOD

The Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection announces that it will hold a public hearing on a permanent rule for chapters ATCP 21, 29, and 35 relating to the removal of obsolete language and to resolve statutory conflicts, at the time and place shown below.

Hearing Information

Date: Friday, December 16, 2022

Time: 9:00a.m.

Location: In person: Prairie Oak State Office Building, Room 106

2811 Agriculture Drive Madison, Wisconsin 53708

Virtual: Join ZoomGov meeting at:

https://www.zoomgov.com/j/1618273776?pwd=OXE1Z1hsSGhBenhpbX

dEUUpqZ1ZzQT09

Meeting ID: 161 827 3776

Passcode: 685473

Appearances at the Hearing and Submittal of Written Comments

Hearing impaired persons may request an interpreter for this hearing. Please make reservations for a hearing interpreter by December 9, 2022 by writing to Jill Hoskins at <u>jill.hoskins@wisconsin.gov</u>, or by phone at (608) 224-4509. Alternatively, you may contact the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection TDD at (608) 224-5058.

The rule may be reviewed and comments made at

https://datcp.wi.gov/Pages/About_Us/AdministrativeRules.aspx no later than 4:30 p.m. on December 27, 2022.

Comments may be submitted to Jill Hoskins, Agrichemical Management Policy Analyst, Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection, 2811 Agriculture Drive, P.O. Box 8911, Madison, WI 53708-8911, or by email to jill.hoskins@wisconsin.gov. Comments must be received by 4:30 p.m. on December 27, 2022 to be included in the record of rule-making proceedings.

Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

The proposed rule will not have an effect on small businesses, as defined under s. 227.114 (1), Stats.

Agency Small Business Regulatory Coordinator

Bradford Steine, <u>Bradford.Steine1@wisconsin.gov</u>, (608) 224-5024