

2023 Joint Allocation Plan

Final

OCTOBER 2022

Soil and Water Resource Management Grant Program and Nonpoint Source Program



Contents

List of Tables.....iii

Summary of Changes to the 2023 Joint Allocation Plan.....iv

Approval Signatures.....iv

Introduction5

Funding Sources and Allocation Requests.....6

DATCP Allocations7

Staff and Support 7

Funds Available7

Grant Awards7

Unmet Need for Staff and Support Funds7

Reallocation and Redirection.....8

Future Funding Directions8

Bond Revenue Cost-Sharing 8

Bond Funds Available9

Grant Awards9

SEG Fund Allocation 10

Funds Available10

Landowner Cost-Sharing.....11

NMFE Training Grants12

Statewide Projects: Project Cooperator Grants12

Innovation Grants13

Unmet Need for Cost-Share Funding.....14

Future Funding Directions14

DNR Allocations15

Funding Sources 15

1. TRM Final Allocation.....16

2. UNPS Final Allocation16

3. Notice of Discharge Program.....17

Attachments18

Tables.....19

Allocation Plan Dictionary 26

List of Tables

Table A: DATCP Allocations	Page 16
Table A-1: Staff and Support	Pages 17-18
Table B: Total DNR Final Allocations	Page 19
Table C: Summary of DATCP and DNR Allocations	Page 20
Table A-2: County Bond Cost-Share Awards	Page 21
Table A-3: County SEG Cost-Share Awards	Page 22

Summary of Changes to the 2023 Joint Allocation Plan

The DATCP portion of the final plan includes the following changes from the preliminary allocation plan:

- DATCP reallocated \$9,000 of Kewaunee County's SEG award to NMFE. This decreases their SEG cost-share award to \$6,000. Kewaunee County asked for this adjustment.
- The staffing unmet request amount in Chart 1 was corrected to reflect the total of all staffing funding requested, not just the first three positions for each county. This change makes Chart 1 consistent with the methodology used in previous allocation plans.
- A bullet was added to the SEG "Future Funding Directions" to suggest expanding eligible activities for stipends for Tier 2 NMFE recipients.

The DNR portion of the final plan includes the following changes from the preliminary allocation plan:

- DNR worked with Outagamie County staff to determine the best split of the DNR Sec. 319 Account (Federal) funds between local assistance and cropping practices and offered the county additional DNR Bond Revenue from s. 20.866(2)(f) to come closer to the full funding request for the project.

Approval Signatures

DATCP has determined that the action described in this allocation plan for the 2023 soil and water resource management grant program shown in [Table A](#) conforms to the applicable DATCP provisions of s. 92.14, Wis. Stats, and ATCP 50, Wis. Administrative Code. DATCP reserves the right to reallocate grant funds unexpended by recipients.

Dated this 11 day of October, 2022

STATE OF WISCONSIN
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, TRADE AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

DocuSigned by:

Aileen Switzer

Randy Romanski, Secretary

DNR has determined that the actions described in this allocation plan for the 2023 allocations of DNR funds shown in [Table B](#) conforms with the provisions of ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats.

Dated this 5 day of October, 2022

STATE OF WISCONSIN
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

DocuSigned by:

Sarah Barry

Preston D. Cole, Secretary

for

Introduction

The allocations identified in this plan provide counties and others with grant funding for conservation staff and support costs, landowner cost-sharing, and runoff management projects. The Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP) and the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) are making these allocations to protect Wisconsin's soil and water resources, consistent with the objectives in chs. 92 and 281, Wis. Stats.

DATCP is allocating grants to county land conservation committees (counties) and other project cooperators in 2023 through the Soil and Water Resource Management Program ([Table A](#)). DNR is allocating grants to counties through the Targeted Runoff Management (TRM), the NR 243 Notice of Discharge (NOD), and Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Management Construction Projects (UNPS Construction) Grant programs ([Table B](#)).

For 2023, a total of \$22,357,043 is allocated based on the state budget for the 2021-23 biennium. [Table C](#) summarizes all allocations, by grantee. Organized by funding category, Chart 1 on page 3, summarizes grant fund requests, unmet funding requests, and allocation amounts. Chart 2 on page 3, shows the allocation categories by funding sources. *If required, these allocations may be adjusted based on reductions or lapses in appropriations or authorizations.*

Funding Sources and Allocation Requests

CHART 1: GRANT REQUESTS AND ALLOCATIONS			
Funding Category	Total Requests	Unmet Requests	Allocation Amounts
DATCP			
County Staff/Support	\$18,775,068	\$7,495,068	\$11,280,000
LWRM Cost-Share (B)	\$7,039,500	\$3,493,759	\$3,545,741
Bond Reserve (B)	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000
LWRM Cost-Share (SEG)	\$2,769,100	\$644,000	\$2,125,100
Project Contracts (SEG)	\$1,030,859	\$80,000	\$950,859
Innovation Grants (SEG)	\$362,415	\$38,356	\$324,059
NMFE Grants (SEG)	\$174,982	\$0	\$174,982
SUBTOTAL	\$30,401,924	\$11,751,183	\$18,650,741
DNR			
UNPS Planning	NA	NA	NA
UNPS Construction	\$0	NA	NA
TRM	\$4,407,798	\$1,701,496	\$2,706,302
NOD Reserve (B)			\$1,000,000
SUBTOTAL	\$4,407,798	\$1,701,496	\$3,706,302
TOTAL			\$22,357,043

CHART 2: FUNDING SOURCES	
Staff and Support Grants	
\$7,480,800	DATCP SEG from s. 20.115(7)(qe)
\$3,799,200	DATCP GPR from s. 20.115(7)(c)
\$11,280,000	DATCP Subtotal
\$45,000	DNR SEG from s.20.370(6)(aq)
\$376,546	DNR Sec. 319 Account (Federal)
\$421,546	DNR Subtotal
\$11,701,546	TOTAL Staff & Support Grants
Cost-Share Grants	
\$3,545,741	DATCP Bond from s. 20.866(2)(we)
\$250,000	DATCP Bond (Reserve) from s. 20.866(2)(we)
\$2,125,100	DATCP SEG from s. 20.115(7)(qf)
\$5,920,841	DATCP Subtotal
\$3,042,297	DNR Bond Revenue from s. 20.866(2)(tf)
\$55,000	DNR SEG from s. 20.370(6)(aq)
\$187,459	DNR Sec. 319 Account (Federal)
\$3,284,756	DNR Subtotal
\$9,205,597	TOTAL Cost-Share Grants
Nutrient Management Farmer Education (NMFE) & Other Project Cooperator (OPC) Grants	
\$174,982	DATCP SEG (NMFE) from s. 20.115(7)(qf)
\$950,859	DATCP SEG (OPC) from s. 20.115(7)(qf)
\$324,059	DATCP SEG (Innovation) from s.20.115(7)(qf)
\$1,449,900	TOTAL NMFE & Other Grants
\$22,357,043	Grand Total

DATCP Allocations

Staff and Support

The allocation under this category provides county staff and support funding. Grant awards are consistent with the terms of the 2023 grant application and instructions located at https://datcp.wi.gov/Pages/Programs_Services/SWRMSect6.aspx.

Funds Available

The allocation amount listed in [Table A-1](#) consists of annual appropriations of \$3,799,200 in GPR funds and \$7,480,800 in SEG funds “for support of local land conservation personnel under the soil and water resource management program.” DATCP has no underspending from prior years to increase this allocation.

Grant Awards

Grants are awarded using the following formula:

Tier 1

DATCP is exercising its discretion under ch. ATCP 50.32(5) to award each county a \$75,000 base grant.

Tier 2

DATCP will allocate the remaining \$5,880,000 using a modified version of the formula designed to meet the goal in s. 92.14(6)(b), Wis. Stats., of funding 100, 70 and 50 percent of the costs of three staff positions in each county. As modified, the formula allows counties to claim department heads, technicians and engineers as their first positions (entitled to 100 percent funding) only if they work over 95% on eligible conservation activities.

DATCP makes Tier 2 awards in three rounds in an attempt to meet the statutory goal. For round one, DATCP will fully fund county requests for their first position at the 100% rate. Due to an increase in the allocation for the 2021-2023 budget cycle for round two, DATCP will fund 100% of the county requests for their second position at the 70% rate. Additionally, after funding the first two positions for each county at the maximum rate, there will be a small allocation for third positions. Dividing the balance between the 70 counties that requested funding for a third position, DATCP will award approximately 5% of the requested amount. [Table A-1](#) provides round-by-round details of the Tier 2 allocation for each county.

Unmet Need for Staff and Support Funds

Despite an increase to the appropriation, DATCP requires an additional \$2.2 million appropriated to reach the goal of providing 50% of the third position; nearly \$7.5 million

additional would be needed to meet the goal of 50% of any additional staff persons under s. 92.14(6)(b). Even with increases in funding, counties are anticipated to contribute a significant part of the staffing costs. For example, in 2021, counties provided funding to pay 205 of the 378 conservation staff employed statewide.

Reallocation and Redirection

DATCP approves Menominee County's request to reallocate up to \$8,000 to the Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin on the condition that county provides a report on the use of the reallocated funds.

Future Funding Directions

DATCP awards grants for a county's first position only if the staff is actively engaged in qualified conservation activities. Also, DATCP requires annual work planning and reporting in order to qualify for DATCP funding. These requirements build county conservation capacity and better account for the performance of conservation activities using state funds. If sufficient additional staffing funding is made available in the future to fully fund the statutory goal in s. 92.14(6)(b), DATCP may consider further adjustments to the grant formula to advance the goals of capacity building and accountability without compromising the basic funding for county staff. If additional funding is provided moving forward, DATCP could consider the amount of DATCP programming a county supports, such as nutrient management farmer education, farmland preservation, CREP, or livestock siting, in determining how funds are allocated.

In the future, DATCP could ensure that counties maintain adequate conservation delivery capacity by requiring that a county's second or third position be engaged in providing high level conservation support as a technician with conservation engineering practitioner certification or as a planner qualified to write nutrient management plans. Also, DATCP could preclude a county from claiming a department head as its second or third position if the county has listed a department head in its first position. To reward county performance, the staffing grant formula could be modified to provide additional payments for counties that are making reasonable progress in implementing their annual work plans. If adjustments to the staffing formula are made in the future, DATCP will proceed with caution and only after input from counties, mindful of the challenges, even with increases in the appropriation.

With the increase in the allocation expiring with the 2023 Allocation, the funding available for the staffing allocation could drop by up to \$2.3 million. This would have a negative impact on counties who have had four years of enhanced staffing awards, allowing new staff to be hired. Without consistency in the staffing funding allocation, counties face challenges in growing their outreach and management abilities due to staffing shortages.

Bond Revenue Cost-Sharing

The allocations under this category provide cost-sharing to resolve discharges on farms, address priority non-point runoff projects, and provide counties grants for landowner cost-

sharing. Unless otherwise noted below, grant awards are consistent with the terms of the 2023 grant application and instructions (see page 4 for the link to these documents).

Bond Funds Available

The allocation amount listed on page one consists of \$3.5 million (half of DATCP's \$7.0 million authorization in the 2021-23 budget), with the following adjustments:

- Decrease the amount by \$250,000 for an engineering reserve fund
- Increase the amount by \$295,741 using unspent bond funds previously allocated.

Grant Awards

Bond Reserve Projects

DATCP will allocate \$250,000 to an engineering reserve primarily for the purpose of funding projects to address discharges on farms including regulatory animal waste response (NR 243) projects in cooperation with DNR. Some funds may be used for priority projects related to extreme weather events or other non-runoff related projects. These projects are usually higher cost and funds are awarded first come, first serve using a separate process that includes completing a form for engineering reserve projects and projects over \$50,000 as well as obtaining a recommendation from DATCP engineering staff.

DATCP will allocate \$3,545,741 in bond funds to counties for landowner cost-sharing. DATCP makes county awards by first providing base funding, and then awarding funds based on criteria related to county performance and need.

After providing each county \$10,000 in base funding, DATCP awards the remaining \$2,825,741 using two performance-based criteria (a 3-year record of cumulative spending of cost-share funds, and a 3-year average of underspending of cost-share funds) and one needs-based criteria (farmland acres based on 2017 Census of Agriculture data). Minor manual adjustments are then made to the allocation, if needed.

[Table A-2](#) shows each county's total award amount and the factors that contributed to the county's award.

Unmet Need for Bond Cost-Share Funds

DATCP's allocation provided 50% of the bond funds requested, leaving \$3,493,759 in unfunded county requests. A shortfall in bond funds has practical implications to implement state and local priorities including farm runoff standards. Of particular concern, cost-share dollars are not keeping pace with increased costs for conservation practices and expanded priorities reflected in the new ch. NR 151.075 targeted performance standard.

Future Funding Directions

In response to the impact of unusual weather events during 2018 and 2019, the SWRM program managers determined the best way to ensure future allocations are not unfairly impacted is to eliminate the inclusion of extended underspending in the bond award calculations for grant cycles for 2021, 2022, 2023. After this three year period, the matter will be reassessed. Additionally, as bond funding has stayed the same and costs have increased, underspending by counties has dropped to almost nothing. Therefore, that criteria is less meaningful when awarding funds than in previous years.

DATCP may update the review of applications and awards process using a rubric to score applications and supporting information. The criteria would stay the same – underspending, acres of farmland and positive spending – but the interpretation of the data may be updated.

SEG Fund Allocation

The allocations under this category provide funding for (1) landowner cost-sharing for soft practices including nutrient management (NM), (2) farmer and related training involving NM, (3) NM implementation support and other projects of statewide importance and 4) innovation projects. Unless otherwise noted below, grant awards are consistent with the terms of the 2023 grant application and instructions (see page 4 for the link to these documents).

Funds Available

The total allocated for SEG programming is a \$4,675,000 “for cost-sharing grants and contracts under the soil and water resource management program under s. 92.14” with the following adjustments:

- A decrease of \$1,000,000 as a result of a redirection of funds for producer-led watershed protection grants.
- A reserve of \$100,000 to support the newly established nitrogen optimization pilot program and the cover crop rebate program, or for the DATCP database upgrade. If funds are not needed for these programs, they will be reallocated as cost-share funds to existing grantees, or to completely fund innovative grants, other project cooperator requests, or in support of other projects supporting nutrient management implementation.

Of the \$3,575,000 available for allocation, \$2,125,100 will be provided to counties for landowner cost-sharing, \$174,982 will be awarded for farmer NM training, \$324,059 will be given to counties for innovation grants and \$950,859 will be awarded to project cooperators for training and support services. The majority of funding awarded in this category directly benefits farmers and other landowners by providing NM cost-sharing and farmer training.

Landowner Cost-Sharing

DATCP provides grants to counties primarily for cost-sharing NM plans to meet the 2015 Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) 590 Standard. Some of these funds may be used to cost-share (a) cover crops and other cropping practices to implement a NM plan, and (b) for “hard practices” with DATCP approval if the county’s grant contract authorizes such use.

Sixty counties applied for \$2,769,100 in grants, and DATCP will award \$2,125,100 to applicants based on ranking determined by the following scoring criteria:

- Up to 20 points based on acres covered by Farmland Preservation Zoning and Agriculture Enterprise Areas.
- Up to 20 points based on the extent of impaired waters located in each county.
- Up to 30 points based on a county’s participation in NM planning and implementation as demonstrated by specific employee positions, inclusion of NM planning in the 2022 work plans, providing educational opportunities related to NM planning, soil testing, or plan renewal.
- Up to 30 points based on a county’s total three-year positive spending on NM cost-sharing for the previous year.

DATCP relies on data in its possession to score county applications based on the four funding criteria. Counties are ranked according to their cumulative score (up to 100 points) and are organized into five groups for allocation purposes. Counties receive the highest maximum preliminary award for their grouping, unless a county requests a lower amount. The five award groups are listed in Chart 3.

Group	Maximum Award	Maximum Awards in Groups
1	\$95,000	1 of 1
2	\$75,000	7 of 10
3	\$65,000	7 of 29
4	\$35,000	1 of 15
5	\$15,000	2 of 5

Funds were then manually adjusted in a few cases to provide additional SEG funding to counties who requested larger allocations and have demonstrated an ability to spend it, or to limit funds going to counties who have a proclivity of transferring all SEG funds. In no case did the award exceed a county’s request or the maximum of \$95,000. [Table A-3](#) enumerates each county’s score, grouping, and grant award. The term “N/A” identifies the twelve counties that did not apply for funds. [Table A](#) also reflects amounts allocated to each county under the “SEG Cost-Sharing” column. Without prior approval from DATCP, counties may spend up to 50% of SEG cost-share allocation on cropping practices. With prior approval from DATCP, counties may spend up to 50% of their cost-share SEG allocation on bondable practices in support of nutrient management plan implementation. Counties may request additional flexibility to use the funds with DATCP approval.

NMFE Training Grants

For 2023, DATCP fully funded all Nutrient Management Farmer Education requests, in the amounts listed in Chart 4.

All grant recipients must sign a contract with DATCP that incorporates the requirements of Ch. ATCP 50.35 and commits the project to developing NM plans that meet the 2015 NRCS 590 standards. Three of the awards also include funds to purchase laptops for training.

Chart 4: NMFE Grant Awards	
Organization	Total Award
Calumet Co	\$1,100
CVTC	\$19,960
Douglas Co.	\$1,200
Eau Claire Co.	\$10,800
Kewaunee Co.	\$9,000
Lafayette Co.	\$19,050
Langlade Co.	\$11,200
Manitowoc Co.	\$7,700
Marathon (Tylr, Clrk, Lcln, Wd)	\$32,410
NWTC	\$11,262
Ozaukee Co.	\$2,500
Trempealeau Co.	\$20,000
Vernon Co. / WTC	\$27,300
Washington Co.	\$1,500
Total	\$174,982

Statewide Projects: Project Cooperator Grants

In addition to supporting NMFE training, DATCP uses its SEG appropriation for projects that contribute to statewide conservation goals, meeting the following grant priorities in Ch. ATCP 50.30(3):

- fund cost-effective activities that address and resolve high priority problems;
- build a systematic and comprehensive approach to soil erosion and water quality problems;
- contribute to a coordinated soil and water resource management program and avoid duplication of effort.

DATCP has targeted the following areas for funding: nutrient management implementation activities including SnapPlus, support for statewide training of conservation professionals, development and support of technical standards, and coordinated activities in AEAs and impaired waters.

In the cooperator subcategory of Nutrient Management Implementation Support, DATCP received a tiered application from the UW-Madison College of Agricultural and Life Sciences. The tier 1 request totals \$580,000 and tier 2 request totals \$660,000. DATCP will fund the UW-CALS request as follows: (1) \$300,000 for maintaining and improving education and training (2) \$280,000 for SnapPlus maintenance and development. The support for the A2809 calculator is included within this funding.

Funding the UW CALS Nutrient and Pest Management Program supports the development of a digital, self-paced, interactive, interview-based NM planning workbook with an updated NM curriculum. The workbook will be obtained online or on a thumb drive but will also be available as a printed document. The UW CALS project will also include the continued development of training videos to be linked into the interactive workbook and the SnapPlus NM software program.

In the training and technical standard support category of project cooperators, DATCP will provide the following funding:

- Wisconsin Land and Water Conservation Association (WI Land+Water) is awarded \$241,610. The funds are intended to build statewide capacity to deliver and coordinate conservation training including implementation of recommendations of the statewide interagency training committee (SITCOM). Funding also supports activities to promote accountability and achievements among county conservation programs. Finally, a focus on enhancing state conservation delivery will be facilitated through statewide conservation initiatives and by fostering state and local priorities.
- The Standards Oversight Council (SOC) is awarded the full \$40,000 requested. This award recognizes the high costs for maintaining statewide capacity to develop and maintain technical standards for conservation programs, as well as the Council's specific support of DATCP standards.
- Up to \$3,500 is awarded to the host county for costs related to Conservation Observance Day.

DATCP received three other applications for cooperator funds:

- UW-Extension – Natural Resource Educators. Request: \$30,699. Award: \$30,699. This award will provide regional support to the producer-led watershed groups.
- UW-GNHS – Support of Silurian data: \$37,699, Award: \$37,699. This project is required in order to house data verifying depth to bedrock.
- UW-SFAL – Support of Soil Lab services: Request: \$17,351. Award: \$17,351. This project will support the NM soil lab certification program.

Innovation Grants

With the 2023 SWRM grant application, counties were invited to submit Innovation Grant requests for new ways to approach land and water conservation. Thirteen applications were received from counties and \$362,415 SEG funds were requested. A total of \$324,059 is awarded shown in Chart 5.

Innovation Grant	Amount	Innovation Grant	Amount
Calumet County	\$33,750	Manitowoc County	\$21,925
Columbia County	\$19,500	Marathon County	\$25,000
Door County	\$4,740	Ozaukee County	\$36,644
Eau Claire County	\$3,500	Polk County	\$9,000

Fond du Lac County	\$50,000	Racine County	\$25,000
Langlade County	\$50,000	Waupaca County	\$45,000
TOTAL AWARDED		\$324,059	

Projects were scored by four raters on a 20 point scale that considered alignment with the program goals, a logical plan, the proposed budget and previous funding. Three Innovation Grant proposals are fully funded based on the level of innovation: Calumet County, Columbia County, and Fond du Lac County. These projects are not only innovative but also could provide models for other counties and programs moving forward. Three requests were for less than \$5,000, and therefore were fully funded: Door County, Eau Claire County and Manitowoc County interseeding. Five additional applications were funded to ensure continuation of progressing projects within the counties: Langlade County, Manitowoc County harvestable buffers, Polk County, Racine County and Waupaca County. Due to scoring lower in the rankings and the competition for funding, Marathon County and Ozaukee County were partially funded.

The 2023 cooperator awards are documented in the lower section of [Table A](#). All award recipients are required to sign grant contracts that incorporate the requirements of s. ATCP 50.35, and include significant accountability measures.

Unmet Need for Cost-Share Funding

DATCP will provide about 77% of the SEG funding requested by counties for cost-sharing, which is \$644,000 less than the requested amounts. While the cost-share funding aligns with county spending, the average total spent by counties annually over the past several years is significantly less than what was allocated. The department hopes that the continued additional flexibility provided will increase the amount of cost-sharing utilized by counties.

Future Funding Directions

DATCP continues to consider how it can best apply its SEG funding to improve conservation and implement conservation practices.

There is a growing interest in cropping practices where SEG funds could be targeted to improve soil health and watershed management, specifically cover crops and reduced/no-till practices. Looking forward, practices such as harvestable buffers, small grains projects, rotational grazing, cropping practices that improve climate resiliency, precision agriculture, and carbon credit processing will be emphasized.

To the extent that DATCP will spend SEG funding to support NM planning and implementation, the department will use feedback from counties and other stakeholders to determine which, if any, of the following strategies are possible and could be used:

- Allow cost-sharing for cropping practices for farms without a NM plan, but with a farm assessment.

- Create a soil health program that includes targeted funding specifically for soil health practices.
- Create soil health outreach module, to be taught alongside or in addition to the Nutrient Management Planning modules.
- Create a mentorship program to facilitate learning and better understanding of NM between producers and their plan writers.
- Provide funds to regional support groups to provide agronomic and conservation compliance assistance for FPP and other state priorities.
- Set aside funds to support SWRM program technology. With an aging database paired with ever-changing program needs, DATCP is seeking technological support and solutions more frequently. Funding a modern database system would also allow DATCP to track and target its funding more effectively, and potentially allow for tracking of the conservation impacts of the program across the state.
- Expand eligible activities for stipends for Tier 2 NMFE recipients.

Regarding the allocation of SEG funds specifically for nutrient management cost-sharing, DATCP remains interested in refining the formula for awarding county cost-sharing and the policies surrounding its use.

Before making major changes to what is funded and how it is distributed, DATCP will engage stakeholders to develop a workable approach. The counties can share insights on approaches to effectively target cost-sharing and increase farmer participation.

DNR Allocations

DNR's portion of this final allocation provides funding to counties through three programs:

- 1) Targeted Runoff Management (TRM)
- 2) Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management (UNPS), and
- 3) Notice of Discharge (NOD).

[Table B](#) shows the final allocation to each county grantee for TRM and UNPS-Construction. Additionally, NOD reserves are established as specific county allocations are unknown at this time.

Funding Sources

Allocations for TRM projects and NOD projects are from bond revenue appropriated under s. 20.866(2)(tf), Wis. Stats., Federal Clean Water Act Section 319 funds, and segregated funds appropriated under s. 20.370(6)(aq), Wis. Stats.

Allocations to counties for UNPS-Construction projects, when requested, are from segregated funds appropriated under s. 20.866(2)(th), Wis. Stats.

Allocations to counties for UNPS-Planning projects, when requested, are from segregated funds appropriated under s. 20.370(6)(dq), Wis. Stats.

Note: DNR will also provide TRM grants and UNPS-Construction grants to non-county grantees. Wisconsin Statutes do not require that non-county grantees be listed in this allocation plan.

- For all grant programs, funds will be considered “committed” when a grantee has returned to the DNR a signed copy of the grant agreement.
- For the TRM program, grant agreements not signed by the deadline may be rescinded by DNR, and the associated grant funds may be used to fund other eligible projects in rank order based on project scores. If, for any reason, funds committed through this allocation plan become available after March 31, 2023, these funds may be held to fund projects selected in the next grant cycle.

1. TRM Final Allocation

The DNR allocates up to \$2,706,302 to counties for cost sharing of TRM projects during calendar year 2023. This amount is adequate to fully fund the estimated state share for 5 out of 8 eligible county Small-Scale TRM applications. Additionally, this amount is adequate to fully fund the estimated state share for 3 out of the 6 eligible county Large-Scale TRM applications, and partially fund one additional Large-Scale TRM application. As shown in Chart 1, there is \$1,701,496 of unmet needs for county TRM projects.

The maximum cost-share amount that can be awarded for a single Small-Scale TRM project is \$225,000. The maximum cost-share amount that can be awarded for a single Large-Scale TRM project is \$600,000.

TRM allocations made through this plan will be reimbursed to grantees during calendar years 2023 through 2024 for Small-Scale projects and through 2025 for Large-Scale projects. Project applications are screened, scored, and ranked in accordance with s. 281.65(4c), Wis. Stats. Adjustments to grant amounts may occur to account for eligibility of project components, cost-share rates, or ch. NR 151 enforcement action at the time that DNR negotiates the actual grant agreement with an applicant.

2. UNPS Final Allocation

DNR has implemented an alternating schedule for both UNPS-Planning and UNPS-Construction grants. The UNPS-Planning grants are solicited in odd years, and the UNPS-Construction grants are solicited in even years. The maximum cost-share amount that can be awarded for a UNPS-Construction grant is \$150,000, with an additional \$50,000 for land acquisition. The maximum cost-share amount that can be awarded for a UNPS-Planning grant is \$85,000.

UNPS grant awards will be reimbursed to grantees during calendar years 2023 and 2024. Project applications have been screened, scored, and ranked in accordance with s. 281.66, Wis. Stats.

CONSTRUCTION. The DNR did not receive any applications from counties for cost sharing of UNPS-Construction projects during the calendar year 2023 grant cycle.

PLANNING. UNPS-Planning grant applications were not solicited in 2022 for the 2023 award cycle. The UNPS-Planning grant application will be available in early 2023 for 2024 awards.

3. Notice of Discharge Program

A. Background

DNR issues notices of discharge (NOD) and notices of intent (NOI) under ch. NR 243, Wis. Adm. Code; this code regulates animal feeding operations. DNR has authority under s. 281.65(4e), Wis. Stats., to provide grant assistance for NOD and NOI projects outside of the competitive TRM process. DNR is authorized to award grants to governmental units, which in turn enter into cost-share agreements with landowners that have received an NOD or NOI.

Cost-share assistance is provided to landowners to meet the regulatory requirements of an NOD issued under ch. NR 243, Wis. Adm. Code. In some cases, cost-share assistance must be offered before enforcement action can be taken. In other cases, DNR is not required to provide cost sharing but may do so at its discretion. DNR has several permitting and enforcement options available under ch. NR 243 if landowners should fail to meet the conditions of the NOD.

B. NOD Final Allocation

This Preliminary Allocation Plan establishes a reserve of \$1,000,000 for NOD projects during calendar year 2023. The reserve includes funds for structural practices in eligible locations. DNR may use its discretion to increase this reserve if needed. To receive a grant award, a governmental unit must submit an application to DNR that describes a specific project and includes documentation that an NOD or NOI has either already been issued or will be issued by DNR concurrent with the grant award. Once DNR issues a grant to the governmental unit to address an NOD or NOI, DNR will designate a portion of the reserve specifically for that project.

DNR will require that county grantees commit funds to a cost-share agreement with the landowner within a timeframe that is consistent with the compliance schedule in the NOD. The county grantee shall use the grant award to reimburse the landowner for costs incurred during the grant period, which may extend beyond calendar year 2023. If the landowner fails to install practices listed in the cost-share agreement within the timeframe identified, DNR will terminate its grant with the county, leaving the landowner to correct the problems identified in the NOD without the benefit of state cost sharing.

Fund balances from terminated NOD grants and projects completed under budget may be returned to the reserve account and made available to other NOD applicants. Reserve funds remaining at the end of calendar year 2023 may either be carried over for the calendar year 2024 NOD reserve account or may be allocated for calendar year 2023 or 2024 TRM projects.

Attachments

Tables

Table A: DATCP Allocations									
STAFFING AND COST-SHARE ALLOCATIONS									
County	DATCP Staffing & Support Allocation	LWRM Plan Implementation		Total DATCP Allocation	County	DATCP Staffing & Support Allocation	LWRM Plan Implementation		Total DATCP Allocation
		Bond Cost-Sharing	SEG Cost-Sharing				Bond Cost-Sharing	SEG Cost-Sharing	
Adams	148,693	43,000	65,000	256,693	Marathon	183,559	70,000	95,000	348,559
Ashland	142,842	50,000	40,000	232,842	Marinette	165,620	55,000	60,000	280,620
Barron	163,647	58,000	10,000	231,647	Marquette	169,939	43,000	65,000	277,939
Bayfield	141,926	50,000	8,000	199,926	Menominee	95,087	20,000		115,087
Brown	182,710	48,000	20,000	250,710	Milwaukee	76,554	15,000		91,554
Buffalo	126,351	60,000	20,000	206,351	Monroe	156,919	59,000	50,000	215,919
Burnett	122,659	33,000	20,000	175,659	Oconto	170,230	48,000		218,230
Calumet	197,782	40,000	30,000	267,782	Oneida	129,010	39,000		168,010
Chippewa	202,731	65,000	70,000	337,731	Outagamie	219,102	55,000	65,000	339,102
Clark	161,889	64,500	75,000	301,389	Ozaukee	178,612	53,500	25,000	257,112
Columbia	146,920	68,000	75,000	289,920	Pepin	104,565	39,000	40,000	183,565
Crawford	137,818	55,000	8,000	200,818	Pierce	168,208	60,000	20,000	248,208
Dane	247,461	65,000	75,000	387,461	Polk	161,239	50,000		211,239
Dodge	176,702	53,000	20,000	249,702	Portage	181,735	59,000		240,735
Door	178,571	50,000	30,000	258,571	Price	103,314	43,000		146,314
Douglas	134,888	30,000	5,000	169,888	Racine	189,031	58,500	90,000	337,531
Dunn	191,041	56,000	20,000	267,041	Richland	122,273	55,000	20,000	197,273
Eau Claire	177,773	47,000	65,000	289,773	Rock	171,739	65,000	75,000	311,739
Florence	76,554	34,000		110,554	Rusk	115,573	43,000	40,000	198,573
Fond du Lac	186,197	40,000	20,000	246,197	Saint Croix	181,352	51,000	35,000	267,352
Forest	115,787	20,000	15,000	150,787	Sauk	182,261	66,500	60,000	308,761
Grant	129,865	70,000		199,865	Sawyer	112,855	29,000	8,000	149,855
Green	166,475	71,500	20,000	257,975	Shawano	157,137	44,000	20,000	221,137
Green Lake	191,649	50,000	30,000	271,649	Sheboygan	170,106	55,000	15,000	240,106
Iowa	159,612	45,000	40,000	244,612	Taylor	148,312	65,241	40,000	253,553
Iron	133,256	44,000	100	177,356	Trempealeau	126,524	66,500	30,000	223,024
Jackson	159,655	63,500	0	223,155	Vernon	154,494	66,500	75,000	295,994
Jefferson	184,269	35,000	12,000	231,269	Vilas	143,107	33,000		176,107
Juneau	155,020	44,000	20,000	219,020	Walworth	189,764	55,000	20,000	264,764
Kenosha	148,997	34,000	5,000	187,997	Washburn	131,070	43,000	6,000	180,070
Kewaunee	190,786	48,000	6,000	244,786	Washington	152,223	37,000	10,000	199,223
LaCrosse	181,602	45,000	20,000	246,602	Waukesha	208,933	33,000		241,933
Lafayette	118,478	63,000		181,478	Waupaca	165,542	63,500	80,000	309,042
Langlade	102,999	29,000	12,000	143,999	Waushara	180,627	43,000	25,000	248,627
Lincoln	98,257	42,000	1,000	141,257	Winnebago	185,481	42,000	70,000	297,481
Manitowoc	170,194	55,000	75,000	300,194	Wood	175,847	54,000	54,000	283,847
					Reserve		250,000		250,000
					Sub-Totals	\$11,280,000	\$3,795,741	\$2,125,100	\$17,200,841
PROJECT COOPERATOR ALLOCATIONS									
UW-CALS				580,000	Nutrient Management Farmer Education				174,982
WI Land + Water (WLWCA)				241,610	Innovation Grants				324,059
Standard Oversight Council (SOC)				40,000					
Conservation Observation Day				3,500	Sub-Total Cooperator Allocation				\$1,449,900
UW-GNHS				37,699					
UW Ext - Cons. Training				30,699					
UW-SFAL				17,351					
PROGRAM ALLOCATION TOTALS				TOTAL	\$11,280,000	\$3,795,741	\$2,125,100	\$18,650,741	

Table A-1: Staff and Support Tier 1, Tier 2, Rounds One, Two, Three

County	Tier 1	Tier 2									2023 DATCP Staffing and Support Allocation
	Base Allocation	First Position at 100% (Round 1)	Round 1 Award	Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1)	Second Position at 70% (Round 2)	Eligible Round 2 Award	Round 2 Award at 99% of 70%	Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1&2)	Third Position at 50% (Round 3)	Round 3 Award	
Adams	75,000	\$88,065.00	13,065	88,065	\$59,074.00	59,074	59,074	147,139	33,436	1,554.00	148,693
Ashland	75,000	\$83,796.00	8,796	83,796	\$57,492.00	57,492	57,492	141,288	36,543	1,554.00	142,842
Barron	75,000	\$94,992.00	19,992	94,992	\$67,101.00	67,101	67,101	162,093	45,292	1,554.00	163,647
Bayfield	75,000	\$86,342.00	11,342	86,342	\$54,030.00	54,030	54,030	140,372	37,902	1,554.00	141,926
Brown	75,000	\$111,414.00	36,414	111,414	\$69,742.00	69,742	69,742	181,156	43,091	1,554.00	182,710
Buffalo	75,000	\$77,037.00	2,037	77,037	\$47,760.00	47,760	47,760	124,797	16,912	1,554.00	126,351
Burnett	75,000	\$77,070.00	2,070	77,070	\$44,035.00	44,035	44,035	121,105	17,516	1,554.00	122,659
Calumet	75,000	\$122,218.00	47,218	122,218	\$74,010.00	74,010	74,010	196,228	52,703	1,554.00	197,782
Chippewa	75,000	\$116,306.00	41,306	116,306	\$84,871.00	84,871	84,871	201,177	49,322	1,554.00	202,731
Clark	75,000	\$98,723.00	23,723	98,723	\$61,612.00	61,612	61,612	160,335	39,136	1,554.00	161,889
Columbia	75,000	\$87,105.00	12,105	87,105	\$58,261.00	58,261	58,261	145,366	41,567	1,554.00	146,920
Crawford	75,000	\$79,192.00	4,192	79,192	\$57,072.00	57,072	57,072	136,264	28,809	1,554.00	137,818
Dane	75,000	\$146,438.00	71,438	146,438	\$99,443.00	99,443	99,443	245,881	62,776	1,580.00	247,461
Dodge	75,000	\$110,611.00	35,611	110,611	\$64,537.00	64,537	64,537	175,148	40,945	1,554.00	176,702
Door	75,000	\$107,952.00	32,952	107,952	\$69,065.00	69,065	69,065	177,017	49,095	1,554.00	178,571
Douglas	75,000	\$83,346.00	8,346	83,346	\$49,988.00	49,988	49,988	133,334	24,577	1,554.00	134,888
Dunn	75,000	\$112,673.00	37,673	112,673	\$76,814.00	76,814	76,814	189,487	52,790	1,554.00	191,041
Eau Claire	75,000	\$108,745.00	33,745	108,745	\$67,474.00	67,474	67,474	176,219	45,982	1,554.00	177,773
Florence	75,000	\$57,776.00	-	75,000	\$6,575.00	-	-	75,000	9,278	1,554.00	76,554
Fond du Lac	75,000	\$115,358.00	40,358	115,358	\$69,285.00	69,285	69,285	184,643	37,535	1,554.00	186,197
Forest	75,000	\$83,544.00	8,544	83,544	\$30,689.00	30,689	30,689	114,233	15,727	1,554.00	115,787
Grant	75,000	\$76,946.00	1,946	76,946	\$51,365.00	51,365	51,365	128,311	34,799	1,554.00	129,865
Green	75,000	\$108,327.00	33,327	108,327	\$56,594.00	56,594	56,594	164,921	24,175	1,554.00	166,475
Green Lake	75,000	\$117,036.00	42,036	117,036	\$73,059.00	73,059	73,059	190,095	49,693	1,554.00	191,649
Iowa	75,000	\$105,904.00	30,904	105,904	\$52,154.00	52,154	52,154	158,058	36,085	1,554.00	159,612
Iron	75,000	\$77,773.00	2,773	77,773	\$53,929.00	53,929	53,929	131,702	10,568	1,554.00	133,256
Jackson	75,000	\$98,846.00	23,846	98,846	\$60,809.00	60,809	60,809	159,655			159,655
Jefferson	75,000	\$113,324.00	38,324	113,324	\$69,391.00	69,391	69,391	182,715	42,355	1,554.00	184,269
Juneau	75,000	\$92,464.00	17,464	92,464	\$61,002.00	61,002	61,002	153,466	32,752	1,554.00	155,020
Kenosha	75,000	\$113,576.00	38,576	113,576	\$33,867.00	33,867	33,867	147,443	14,431	1,554.00	148,997
Kewaunee	75,000	\$119,203.00	44,203	119,203	\$70,029.00	70,029	70,029	189,232	38,203	1,554.00	190,786
LaCrosse	75,000	\$110,378.00	35,378	110,378	\$69,670.00	69,670	69,670	180,048	46,526	1,554.00	181,602
Lafayette	75,000	\$71,507.00	-	75,000	\$45,417.00	41,924	41,924	116,924	26,534	1,554.00	118,478
Langlade	75,000	\$82,520.00	7,520	82,520	\$18,925.00	18,925	18,925	101,445	12,541	1,554.00	102,999
Lincoln	75,000	\$83,740.00	8,740	83,740	\$12,963.00	12,963	12,963	96,703	7,548	1,554.00	98,257
Manitowoc	75,000	\$115,754.00	40,754	115,754	\$52,886.00	52,886	52,886	168,640	36,992	1,554.00	170,194

Table A-1: Staff and Support Tier 1, Tier 2, Rounds One, Two, Three											
County	Tier 1	Tier 2									2023 DATCP Staffing and Support Allocation
	Base Allocation	First Position at 100% (Round 1)	Round 1 Award	Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1)	Second Position at 70% (Round 2)	Eligible Round 2 Award	Round 2 Award at 99% of 70%	Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1&2)	Third Position at 50% (Round 3)	Round 3 Award	
Marathon	75,000	\$110,275.00	35,275	110,275	\$71,730.00	71,730	71,730	182,005	50,803	1,554.00	183,559
Marinette	75,000	\$97,541.00	22,541	97,541	\$66,525.00	66,525	66,525	164,066	43,696	1,554.00	165,620
Marquette	75,000	\$110,357.00	35,357	110,357	\$58,028.00	58,028	58,028	168,385	25,468	1,554.00	169,939
Menominee	75,000	\$37,898.00	-	75,000	\$57,189.00	20,087	20,087	95,087			95,087
Milwaukee	75,000		-	75,000	\$50,994.00	-	-	75,000	23,283	1,554.00	76,554
Monroe	75,000	\$107,863.00	32,863	107,863	\$47,502.00	47,502	47,502	155,365	32,060	1,554.00	156,919
Oconto	75,000	\$104,687.00	29,687	104,687	\$63,989.00	63,989	63,989	168,676	36,974	1,554.00	170,230
Oneida	75,000	\$80,582.00	5,582	80,582	\$46,874.00	46,874	46,874	127,456	8,915	1,554.00	129,010
Outagamie	75,000	\$132,404.00	57,404	132,404	\$85,144.00	85,144	85,144	217,548	42,468	1,554.00	219,102
Ozaukee	75,000	\$106,356.00	31,356	106,356	\$70,702.00	70,702	70,702	177,058	45,314	1,554.00	178,612
Pepin	75,000	\$43,449.00	-	75,000	\$59,562.00	28,011	28,011	103,011	21,137	1,554.00	104,565
Pierce	75,000	\$98,468.00	23,468	98,468	\$68,186.00	68,186	68,186	166,654	45,226	1,554.00	168,208
Polk	75,000	\$104,325.00	29,325	104,325	\$55,360.00	55,360	55,360	159,685	36,392	1,554.00	161,239
Portage	75,000	\$114,243.00	39,243	114,243	\$65,938.00	65,938	65,938	180,181	45,345	1,554.00	181,735
Price	75,000	\$62,307.00	-	75,000	\$39,453.00	26,760	26,760	101,760	9,604	1,554.00	103,314
Racine	75,000	\$114,522.00	39,522	114,522	\$72,955.00	72,955	72,955	187,477	36,058	1,554.00	189,031
Richland	75,000	\$75,537.00	537	75,537	\$45,182.00	45,182	45,182	120,719	24,783	1,554.00	122,273
Rock	75,000	\$100,322.00	25,322	100,322	\$69,863.00	69,863	69,863	170,185	41,558	1,554.00	171,739
Rusk	75,000	\$60,944.00	-	75,000	\$53,075.00	39,019	39,019	114,019	24,052	1,554.00	115,573
Saint Croix	75,000	\$101,067.00	26,067	101,067	\$78,731.00	78,731	78,731	179,798	36,272	1,554.00	181,352
Sauk	75,000	\$111,899.00	36,899	111,899	\$68,808.00	68,808	68,808	180,707	47,296	1,554.00	182,261
Sawyer	75,000	\$68,736.00	-	75,000	\$42,565.00	36,301	36,301	111,301	20,091	1,554.00	112,855
Shawano	75,000	\$102,293.00	27,293	102,293	\$53,290.00	53,290	53,290	155,583	31,235	1,554.00	157,137
Sheboygan	75,000	\$100,028.00	25,028	100,028	\$68,524.00	68,524	68,524	168,552	45,089	1,554.00	170,106
Taylor	75,000	\$97,029.00	22,029	97,029	\$49,729.00	49,729	49,729	146,758	33,095	1,554.00	148,312
Trempealeau	75,000	\$63,324.00	-	75,000	\$61,646.00	49,970	49,970	124,970	37,584	1,554.00	126,524
Vernon	75,000	\$96,633.00	21,633	96,633	\$56,307.00	56,307	56,307	152,940	35,289	1,554.00	154,494
Vilas	75,000	\$89,605.00	14,605	89,605	\$51,948.00	51,948	51,948	141,553	31,459	1,554.00	143,107
Walworth	75,000	\$116,531.00	41,531	116,531	\$71,679.00	71,679	71,679	188,210	47,080	1,554.00	189,764
Washburn	75,000	\$85,011.00	10,011	85,011	\$44,643.00	44,643	44,643	129,654	1,416	1,416.00	131,070
Washington	75,000	\$97,487.00	22,487	97,487	\$53,182.00	53,182	53,182	150,669	29,950	1,554.00	152,223
Waukesha	75,000	\$138,010.00	63,010	138,010	\$69,369.00	69,369	69,369	207,379	36,337	1,554.00	208,933
Waupaca	75,000	\$96,379.00	21,379	96,379	\$67,609.00	67,609	67,609	163,988	47,544	1,554.00	165,542
Waushara	75,000	\$111,897.00	36,897	111,897	\$67,176.00	67,176	67,176	179,073	45,185	1,554.00	180,627
Winnebago	75,000	\$117,925.00	42,925	117,925	\$66,002.00	66,002	66,002	183,927	45,225	1,554.00	185,481
Wood	75,000	\$118,390.00	43,390	118,390	\$55,903.00	55,903	55,903	174,293	34,819	1,554.00	175,847
Totals	5,400,000	6,910,325	1,719,384	7,119,384	4,226,352	4,051,948	4,051,948	11,171,332	2,392,238	108,668	11,280,000

Table B: Total DNR Final Allocations					
County	Targeted Runoff Mgmt. BMP Construction	Local Assistance Funding for Large Scale TRM	Urban NPS & Storm Water Mgmt. BMP Construction	Urban NPS & Storm Water Mgmt. Planning	Total DNR Final Allocations
Marathon	\$243,958	\$97,583	\$0	\$0	<u>\$341,541</u>
Marinette	\$225,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	<u>\$225,000</u>
Outagamie	\$355,216	\$130,000	\$0	\$0	<u>\$485,216</u>
Polk	\$372,407	\$148,963	\$0	\$0	<u>\$521,370</u>
Rusk	\$419,425	\$45,000	\$0	\$0	<u>\$464,425</u>
Trempealea	\$218,750	\$0	\$0	\$0	<u>\$218,750</u>
Washington	\$225,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	<u>\$225,000</u>
Waupaca	\$225,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	<u>\$225,000</u>
DNR NR243 NOD Reserve					\$1,000,000
Total	\$2,284,756	\$421,546	\$0	\$0	\$3,706,302

*The reserve amounts for TRM and UNPS Grants are estimated because the grants have not yet been awarded.

Table C: Summary of DATCP and DNR Allocations							
County	Staffing & Support from DATCP and DNR	Cost-Sharing from DATCP and DNR	Total Allocation of DATCP and DNR Funding	County	Staffing & Support from DATCP and DNR	Cost-Sharing from DATCP and DNR	Total Allocation of DATCP and DNR Funding
Adams	148,693	108,000	256,693	Marinette	165,620	340,000	505,620
Ashland	142,842	90,000	232,842	Marquette	169,939	108,000	277,939
Barron	163,647	68,000	231,647	Menominee	95,087	20,000	115,087
Bayfield	141,926	58,000	199,926	Milwaukee	76,554	15,000	91,554
Brown	182,710	68,000	250,710	Monroe	156,919	109,000	265,919
Buffalo	126,351	80,000	206,351	Oconto	170,230	48,000	218,230
Burnett	122,659	53,000	175,659	Oneida	129,010	39,000	168,010
Calumet	197,782	70,000	267,782	Outagamie	349,102	475,216	824,318
Chippewa	202,731	135,000	337,731	Ozaukee	178,612	78,500	257,112
Clark	161,889	139,500	301,389	Pepin	104,565	79,000	183,565
Columbia	146,920	143,000	289,920	Pierce	168,208	80,000	248,208
Crawford	137,818	63,000	200,818	Polk	310,202	422,407	732,609
Dane	247,461	140,000	387,461	Portage	181,735	59,000	240,735
Dodge	176,702	73,000	249,702	Price	103,314	43,000	146,314
Door	178,571	80,000	258,571	Racine	189,031	148,500	337,531
Douglas	134,888	35,000	169,888	Richland	122,273	75,000	197,273
Dunn	191,041	76,000	267,041	Rock	171,739	140,000	311,739
Eau Claire	177,773	112,000	289,773	Rusk	160,573	502,425	662,998
Florence	76,554	34,000	110,554	Saint Croix	181,352	86,000	267,352
Fond du Lac	186,197	60,000	246,197	Sauk	182,261	126,500	308,761
Forest	115,787	35,000	150,787	Sawyer	112,855	37,000	149,855
Grant	129,865	70,000	199,865	Shawano	157,137	64,000	221,137
Green	166,475	91,500	257,975	Sheboygan	170,106	70,000	240,106
Green Lake	191,649	80,000	271,649	Taylor	148,312	105,241	253,553
Iowa	159,612	85,000	244,612	Trempealeau	126,524	315,250	441,774
Iron	133,256	44,100	177,356	Vernon	154,494	141,500	295,994
Jackson	159,655	63,500	223,155	Vilas	143,107	33,000	176,107
Jefferson	184,269	47,000	231,269	Walworth	189,764	75,000	264,764
Juneau	155,020	64,000	219,020	Washburn	131,070	49,000	180,070
Kenosha	148,997	39,000	187,997	Washington	152,223	272,000	424,223
Kewaunee	190,786	54,000	244,786	Waukesha	208,933	33,000	241,933
LaCrosse	181,602	65,000	246,602	Waupaca	165,542	368,500	534,042
Lafayette	118,478	63,000	181,478	Waushara	180,627	68,000	248,627
Langlade	102,999	41,000	143,999	Winnebago	185,481	112,000	297,481
Lincoln	98,257	43,000	141,257	Wood	175,847	108,000	283,847
Manitowoc	170,194	130,000	300,194	DATCP NR243 Res.		250,000	250,000
Marathon	427,517	262,583	690,100	DNR NR243 Res.		1,000,000	1,000,000
				Sub-Totals	11,847,921	9,059,222	20,907,143
OPERATOR ALLOCATIONS							
UW-CALS			580,000	Nutrient Management Farmer Education			174,982
WI Land + Water (WLWCA)			241,610	Innovation Grants			324,059
Standard Oversight Council (SOC)			40,000				
Conservation Observation Day			3,500	Sub-Total Cooperator Allocation			\$1,449,900
UW-GNHS			37,699				
UW Ext - Cons. Training			30,699				
UW-SFAL			17,351				
PROGRAM ALLOCATION TOTALS					11,847,921	9,059,222	22,357,043

Table A-2: County Bond Cost-Share Awards

County	Bond				County	Bond			
	19-21 Cumulative Average Under-Spending*	2017 Census Acres**	19-21 Cumulative Total Dollars Spent***	Award		19-21 Cumulative Average Under-Spending*	2017 Census Acres**	19-21 Cumulative Total Dollars Spent***	Award
<i>Adams</i>	0.0000%	117,206	\$104,256	\$43,000	Marathon	0.0000%	473,147	\$193,600	\$70,000
Ashland	0.0000%	52,428	\$188,786	\$50,000	Marinette	0.4584%	133,068	\$172,576	\$55,000
<i>Barron</i>	11.3754%	305,604	\$172,903	\$58,000	Marquette	0.0000%	113,183	\$114,719	\$43,000
Bayfield	0.0000%	81,041	\$186,695	\$50,000	<i>Menominee</i>	0.0000%	290	\$60,000	\$20,000
Brown	0.0000%	192,007	\$131,959	\$48,000	<i>Milwaukee</i>	0.0000%	6,990	\$6,042	\$15,000
Buffalo	0.4217%	293,130	\$183,462	\$60,000	Monroe	0.5144%	300,659	\$187,844	\$59,000
Burnett	1.1463%	89,237	\$56,261	\$33,000	Oconto	0.0000%	189,898	\$138,002	\$48,000
Calumet	7.9340%	153,858	\$101,281	\$40,000	Oneida	0.0000%	34,670	\$112,726	\$39,000
Chippewa	0.0201%	356,176	\$160,913	\$65,000	Outagamie	0.0000%	236,963	\$155,600	\$55,000
<i>Clark</i>	0.0000%	451,035	\$185,144	\$64,500	Ozaukee	0.0000%	59,299	\$207,006	\$53,500
Columbia	0.0000%	304,058	\$181,265	\$68,000	Pepin	1.0753%	106,881	\$114,705	\$39,000
Crawford	0.0000%	210,550	\$170,653	\$55,000	Pierce	0.0000%	233,188	\$191,992	\$60,000
Dane	0.0000%	506,688	\$150,539	\$65,000	<i>Polk</i>	0.0000%	256,114	\$137,056	\$50,000
Dodge	0.5545%	405,992	\$96,370	\$53,000	Portage	2.1435%	280,410	\$184,569	\$59,000
Door	0.0000%	114,508	\$189,819	\$50,000	Price	0.0138%	89,203	\$123,173	\$43,000
<i>Douglas</i>	0.0000%	69,759	\$31,243	\$30,000	Racine	0.0000%	127,496	\$242,059	\$58,500
Dunn	0.0000%	348,301	\$116,563	\$56,000	Richland	0.0000%	220,843	\$153,980	\$55,000
<i>Eau Claire</i>	0.0000%	172,256	\$95,229	\$47,000	Rock	0.0000%	353,505	\$192,435	\$65,000
Florence	0.0000%	18,609	\$144,150	\$34,000	Rusk	0.0001%	136,062	\$128,361	\$43,000
<i>Fond du Lac</i>	2.3815%	317,371	\$97,279	\$40,000	Saint Croix	0.0009%	279,191	\$116,116	\$51,000
<i>Forest</i>	37.9287%	38,084	\$24,689	\$20,000	Sauk	0.0000%	298,906	\$212,541	\$66,500
Grant	0.0000%	600,324	\$175,219	\$70,000	Sawyer	1.3500%	46,009	\$96,876	\$29,000
Green	0.0000%	292,368	\$202,956	\$71,500	<i>Shawano</i>	0.7383%	247,241	\$118,067	\$44,000
Green Lake	0.0000%	126,751	\$181,999	\$50,000	Sheboygan	0.0000%	195,938	\$168,120	\$55,000
<i>Iowa</i>	0.0000%	360,134	\$139,832	\$45,000	Taylor	0.0851%	225,856	\$201,223	\$65,241
Iron	0.0000%	9,200	\$148,086	\$44,000	<i>Trempealeau</i>	0.0003%	329,916	\$269,158	\$66,500
Jackson	0.0000%	248,342	\$352,279	\$63,500	Vernon	0.0000%	337,086	\$202,455	\$66,500
<i>Jefferson</i>	0.2504%	221,355	\$58,144	\$35,000	Vilas	0.0000%	5,652	\$72,814	\$33,000
Juneau	2.1103%	175,417	\$113,768	\$44,000	Walworth	0.0000%	192,422	\$190,678	\$55,000
Kenosha	7.2100%	77,782	\$95,776	\$34,000	Washburn	0.0000%	73,773	\$142,053	\$43,000
Kewaunee	0.0000%	170,405	\$110,924	\$48,000	Washington	0.0000%	126,146	\$48,955	\$37,000
LaCrosse	0.0070%	144,334	\$128,264	\$45,000	Waukesha	1.4320%	97,460	\$64,510	\$33,000
Lafayette	0.0242%	342,518	\$192,017	\$63,000	Waupaca	0.0000%	201,603	\$211,378	\$63,500
<i>Langlade</i>	5.6447%	116,386	\$83,032	\$29,000	Waushara	0.0000%	135,306	\$119,209	\$43,000
<i>Lincoln</i>	0.0000%	78,293	\$86,140	\$42,000	Winnebago	0.0000%	162,052	\$75,964	\$42,000
Manitowoc	0.1761%	231,609	\$178,917	\$55,000	Wood	0.0000%	220,891	\$147,298	\$54,000
					TOTAL				\$3,545,741

Each County was given a base of \$10,000 to help counties receive closer to their requested amount. The following criteria were also applied to finalize a county's BOND award.

*Graduated awards based on 3-yr avg underspending, excluding extended underspending, year 3 of 3: 0% = \$10,000, 0.5-2.99% = \$6,000, 3-10% = \$2,000, >10% = \$0.

**Graduated awards based on 2017 Census acres: 350,000 or more=\$30,000; 250,000-349,999=\$23,000; 150,000-249,999=\$15,000, 50,000-149,999=\$10,000, <50,000=\$6,000.

***Graduated awards based on 3-yr cumulative spending: \$200K+ = \$28,500, \$150K-199,999=\$20,000, \$100K-\$149,999 = \$13,000, \$20K-\$99,999 = \$7,000, <\$20,000 = \$0

County Name in *Italics* = County transferred funds awarded in prior grant year

County Name Shaded: County awarded the amount of its request, which was less than the maximum grant award.

Table A-3: County SEG Cost-Share Awards

County	Ranking and Award			County	Ranking and Award		
	Score	Grouping	Award		Score	Grouping	Award
<i>Adams</i>	50	3	\$65,000	Marathon	100	1	\$95,000
Ashland	50	3	\$40,000	Marinette	50	3	\$60,000
Barron	55	3	\$10,000	Marquette	70	3	\$65,000
<i>Bayfield</i>	35	4	\$8,000	Menominee	0	0	NA
Brown	65	3	\$20,000	Milwaukee	0	0	NA
Buffalo	65	3	\$20,000	Monroe	70	3	\$50,000
Burnett	45	4	\$20,000	Oconto	0	0	NA
Calumet	60	3	\$30,000	Oneida	0	0	NA
Chippewa	60	3	\$70,000	Outagamie	55	3	\$65,000
<i>Clark</i>	80	2	\$75,000	<i>Ozaukee</i>	55	3	\$25,000
Columbia	85	2	\$75,000	Pepin	55	3	\$40,000
Crawford	30	4	\$8,000	Pierce	35	4	\$20,000
Dane	90	2	\$75,000	Polk	0	0	NA
Dodge	65	3	\$20,000	Portage	0	0	NA
Door	60	3	\$30,000	Price	0	0	NA
Douglas	25	4	\$5,000	Racine	65	3	\$90,000
Dunn	65	3	\$20,000	<i>Richland</i>	40	4	\$20,000
<i>Eau Claire</i>	70	3	\$65,000	Rock	85	2	\$75,000
Florence	0	0	NA	Rusk	35	4	\$40,000
Fond du Lac	90	2	\$20,000	Saint Croix	50	3	\$35,000
Forest	20	5	\$15,000	<i>Sauk</i>	75	2	\$60,000
Grant	0	0	NA	Sawyer	0	0	\$8,000
Green	40	4	\$20,000	Shawano	40	4	\$20,000
Green Lake	65	3	\$30,000	Sheboygan	65	3	\$15,000
Iowa	75	2	\$40,000	Taylor	55	3	\$40,000
Iron	5	5	\$100	Trempealeau	65	3	\$30,000
<i>Jackson</i>	15	5	\$0	Vernon	75	2	\$75,000
<i>Jefferson</i>	60	3	\$12,000	Vilas	0	0	NA
Juneau	30	4	\$20,000	Walworth	50	3	\$20,000
Kenosha	25	4	\$5,000	Washburn	5	5	\$6,000
Kewaunee	50	3	\$6,000	Washington	40	4	\$10,000
La Crosse	60	3	\$20,000	Waukesha	0	0	NA
Lafayette	0	0	NA	Waupaca	85	2	\$80,000
Langlade	45	4	\$12,000	Waushara	45	4	\$25,000
Lincoln	25	4	\$1,000	Winnebago	55	3	\$70,000
Manitowoc	95	2	\$75,000	Wood	55	3	\$54,000
TOTAL							\$2,125,100
County Name in Italics = County transferred funds awarded in prior grant year NA= County did not apply for SEG funds				County Name Shaded = County awarded the amount of its request, which was less than the maximum grant award			

Allocation Plan Dictionary

Chapter 92: Statute of Wisconsin law establishing soil and water conservation and animal waste management.

ATCP 50: State administrative rule that provides the framework to cost-share conservation practices including nutrient management plans; describes the parameters for grants for conservation practices; identifies the costs to be included in cost-share grants to landowners; identifies conservation practice standards available for cost-sharing; defines the requirements for a land and water resource management plan; establishes the process and priorities for allocating grants to support county conservation efforts; describes conservation compliance requirements for the farmland preservation program; describes the process to certify conservation engineering practitioners; establishes qualifications for nutrient management planners; allows for certification of soil and manure testing laboratories; ensures access to education and training opportunities.

Agricultural Enterprise Areas: A locally identified area of contiguous agricultural lands that has received designation from the state (DATCP), at the joint request of landowners and local governments through a petition, to qualify it as important to preserve and invest in. As a part of the state's Farmland Preservation Program, AEAs strive to support local farmland protection goals and enable landowners to sign voluntary 15-year farmland preservation agreements.

Bond: Bond authority is appropriated to the department through the state's biennial budget

process. Bonds can only be used to fund projects with a minimum of a 10-year life span. County LCDs uses bonding for cost-sharing of hard practices. If bonds are not used, they stay with the department and the program and can be reallocated for use in future years.

DATCP: Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection. Administers many conservation programs that are implemented by counties including the soil and water resource management grant program, producer-led watershed program, farmland preservation program, agricultural enterprise areas, nutrient management farmer education program, conservation reserve enhancement program, land and water resource management planning program, livestock siting program, drainage program, and conservation engineering support.

DNR: Department of Natural Resources. Administers the TRM and UNPS grant programs. Responsible for agricultural and nonagricultural performance standards and manages the WPDES permit program for concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFOs).

Farmland Preservation Program (FPP): Program through which counties are encouraged to plan for agricultural and agricultural-related uses; local governments may adopt zoning ordinances that restrict lands to agricultural or agricultural-related uses; landowners and local governments may jointly petition for an agricultural enterprise area (AEA) to qualify local areas important to Wisconsin's agricultural and economic future; landowners may enter into a farmland preservation agreement with the state for farms within an AEA to commit to keeping all or a part of their farm in agricultural use and to implement farm conservation

practices for 15 years; participating landowners must implement applicable soil and water conservation standards (see ATCP 50.04)* to qualify for an income tax credit. ***Note:** *Landowners of farmland subject to a farmland preservation agreement must meet the soil and water conservation standards in place at the time the agreement was signed. Contact the department for assistance in determining which standards apply to a specific agreement.*

GPR: General Purpose Revenue. GPR is funding that comes from the state's income and sales tax revenues. These dollars are very flexible and can be used for most purposes. In relation to the joint allocation plan, DATCP has a small GPR appropriation that helps fund the staffing grants. When the Governor calls for budget cuts from agencies, GPR is usually the money that is targeted for reductions since it can legally be used for any purpose. GPR is allocated on an annual basis and if it is not used it lapses back to the general fund and is not available for the program to use.

Hard Practices: Hard Practices are conservation practices that have a lifespan of at least 10 years, such as streambank stabilization, manure storage, well abandonment, managed grazing systems and others. Bond funding can only be used to cost-share hard practices. SEG funding can also be used to fund hard practices with permission from DATCP. SEG funding is not the preferred funding source for hard practices since that money is the only available funding for soft practices and OPCs.

LCC: Land Conservation Committee. Committee of county-board elected officials that oversee the LCD departments.

LCD: Land Conservation Department. County government department that receives staffing and cost-share grants from DATCP and DNR to implement soil and water conservation programs at the local level. In some counties, the department may go by a slightly different name such as soil and water conservation department, planning and land conservation department, etc.

LWRM: Land and Water Resource Management Plan. Each county must have an approved LWRM plan in order to receive funding from DATCP and DNR as part of the joint allocation plan. An approved LWRM plan ensures a county is eligible for staffing grants and a base amount of bond funding. DATCP coordinates the LWRM planning program. LWRM plans are approved by the LWCB for 10 years, with a progress check-in after 5 years.

NMFE: Nutrient Management Farmer Education. NMFE is a grant program funded through SWRM's SEG appropriation. The NMFE program provides grants to counties and technical colleges to deliver training for farmers to write their own NM plans. Funding from the NMFE program can go to farmer incentives, soil tests and training materials.

OPC: Other Project Cooperators. OPCs include non-county entities such as the University of Wisconsin and Wisconsin Land+Water that receive SEG grants from the SWRM program in order to advance the SWRM programs. OPC grants are often used for training and infrastructure services. The OPC recipients and the size of the grants have changed over time as needs have changed.

PL or PLWPG: Producer Led Watershed Program. The PL watershed grant program

funds farmer-led projects intended to reduce nonpoint source pollution and improve water quality. By statute, the PL watershed grant program is funded via the SWRM SEG account and is capped at \$1,000,000 annually.

SEG: Segregated Funds. Segregated funds are collected from fees and held in designated funds for specific purposes under state law. In relation to the joint allocation plan, the Environmental Fund is the source of the segregated funds. The joint allocation plan has two uses for segregated funds. One appropriation designates some segregated funds to the staffing allocation. The second appropriation of segregated funds is for “aids” that explicitly excludes county conservation staffing and is used for nutrient management and other soft practice cost-sharing, training and other related purposes. \$1,000,000 is also directed to Producer-Led Watershed Grants. SEG funds are allocated on an annual basis and if not used they lapse back to the Environmental Fund and are not available to the program to use.

SnapPlus: Soil Nutrient Application Planner is the computer program Wisconsin landowners and agronomists use to develop a compliant NM plan. The UW SnapPlus team developed, maintains, and offers technical assistance on SnapPlus.

Soft Practices: Soft practices are those conservation practices that are implemented on an annual or short-term basis. Soft practices include nutrient management planning, cover crops, residue management, contour farming, and strip-cropping, among others. Soft practices can only be cost-shared with SEG funding.

SWRM: Soil and Water Resource Management Program. The SWRM program is DATCP’s signature grant program that provides staffing and cost-share grants to county LCDs. The SWRM funding is distributed through the annual joint allocation plan process.

TRM: Targeted Runoff Management. The TRM program is a DNR competitive grant program for targeted nonpoint source pollution projects. TRM grants use bond funds allocated through the joint allocation plan

UNPS & SW: Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Management: The UNPS & SW program is a DNR competitive grant program for urban nonpoint source pollution projects. UNPS grants use bond funds allocated through the joint allocation plan.