

2022 JOINT FINAL ALLOCATION PLAN

Soil and Water Resource Management Grant Program and Nonpoint Source Program

The allocations identified in this plan provide counties and others with grant funding for conservation staff and support costs, landowner cost-sharing, and runoff management projects. The Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP) and the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) are making these allocations to protect Wisconsin's soil and water resources, consistent with the objectives in chs.92 and 281, Wis. Stats.

DATCP is allocating grants to county land conservation committees (counties) and other project cooperators in 2022 through the Soil and Water Resource Management Program (Table A).

DNR is allocating grants to counties through the Targeted Runoff Management (TRM), the NR 243 Notice of Discharge (NOD), and Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Planning Projects (UNPS Planning) programs (Table B).

CHART 1: GRANT REQUESTS AND ALLOCATIONS			
Funding Category	Total Requests	Unmet Requests	Allocation Amounts
DATCP			
County Staff/Support	\$18,286,738	\$7,256,738	\$11,030,000
LWRM Cost-Share (B)	\$7,374,500	\$3,934,726	\$3,439,774
Bond Reserve (B)	\$300,000	\$0	\$300,000
LWRM Cost-Share (SEG)	\$2,846,439	\$656,000	\$2,190,439
Project Contracts (SEG)	\$1,137,055	\$251,716	\$885,339
Innovation Grants (SEG)	\$494,282	\$226,400	\$267,882
NMFE Grants (SEG)	\$206,340	\$0	\$206,340
SUBTOTAL	\$30,645,354	\$12,325,580	\$18,319,774
DNR			
UNPS Planning	\$162,902	\$12,500	\$150,402
UNPS Construction	NA	NA	NA
TRM	\$6,871,526	\$3,391,495	\$3,480,031
NOD Reserve (B)			\$1,295,120
SUBTOTAL	\$7,034,428	\$3,403,995	\$4,925,553
TOTAL			\$23,245,327

Abbreviations Used Above:

LWRM = Land & Water Resource Management Plan Implementation

B = Bond Revenue

SEG = Segregated Revenue

NA = Not Applicable or Available

TRM = Targeted Runoff Management

UNPS = Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Management

For 2022, a total of \$23,245,327 is allocated based on the state budget for the 2021-23 biennium. Table C summarizes all allocations, by grantee. Organized by funding category, Chart 1 below summarizes grant fund requests, unmet funding requests, and allocation amounts. Chart 2 below shows the allocation categories by funding sources.

If required, these allocations may be adjusted based on reductions or lapses in appropriations or authorizations.

CHART 2: FUNDING SOURCES	
Staff and Support Grants	
\$7,314,200	DATCP SEG from s. 20.115(7)(qe)
\$3,715,800	DATCP GPR from s. 20.115(7)(c)
\$11,030,000	DATCP Subtotal
\$30,000	DNR SEG from s.20.370(6)(aq)
\$150,402.00	DNR SEG from s. 20.370(6)(dq)
\$409,628.00	DNR Sec. 319 Account (Federal)
\$590,030.00	DNR Subtotal
\$11,620,030	TOTAL Staff & Support Grants
Cost-Share Grants	
\$3,439,774	DATCP Bond from s. 20.866(2)(we)
\$300,000	DATCP Bond (Reserve) from s. 20.866(2)(we)
\$2,190,439	DATCP SEG from s. 20.115(7)(qf)
\$5,930,213	DATCP Subtotal
\$3,584,250	DNR Bond Revenue from s. 20.866(2)(tf)
\$70,000	DNR SEG from s. 20.370(6)(aq)
\$681,273	DNR Sec. 319 Account (Federal)
\$4,335,523	DNR Subtotal
\$10,265,736	TOTAL Cost-Share Grants
Nutrient Management Farmer Education (NMFE) & Other Project Cooperator (OPC) Grants	
\$206,340	DATCP SEG (NMFE) from s. 20.115(7)(qf)
\$885,339	DATCP SEG (OPC) from s. 20.115(7)(qf)
\$267,882	DATCP SEG (Innovation) from s.20.115(7)(qf)
\$1,359,561	TOTAL NMFE & Other Grants
\$23,245,327	Grand Total

Table A: DATCP Allocations**STAFFING AND COST-SHARE ALLOCATIONS**

County	DATCP Staffing & Support Allocation	LWRM Plan Implementation		Total DATCP Allocation	County	DATCP Staffing & Support Allocation	LWRM Plan Implementation		Total DATCP Allocation
		Bond Cost-Sharing	SEG Cost-Sharing				Bond Cost-Sharing	SEG Cost-Sharing	
Adams	145,919	41,000	35,000	221,919	Marathon	170,277	75,500	95,000	340,777
Ashland	133,924	49,500	30,000	213,424	Marinette	151,432	63,900	55,000	270,332
Barron	156,942	59,500	10,000	226,442	Marquette	158,329	41,000	75,000	274,329
Bayfield	143,608	49,500	8,000	201,108	Menominee	75,000	20,000	NA	95,000
Brown	170,166	46,000	20,000	236,166	Milwaukee	75,000	20,000	NA	95,000
Buffalo	133,809	57,000	20,000	210,809	Monroe	161,395	48,500	50,000	259,895
Burnett	116,176	33,000	20,000	169,176	Oconto	166,519	46,000	NA	212,519
Calumet	184,593	43,500	30,000	258,093	Oneida	119,364	30,500	NA	149,864
Chippewa	221,047	62,000	75,000	358,047	Outagamie	212,933	49,000	65,000	326,933
Clark	160,733	64,500	75,000	300,233	Ozaukee	180,432	49,500	25,000	254,932
Columbia	147,703	69,368	75,000	292,071	Pepin	125,528	43,400	35,000	203,928
Crawford	133,436	54,500	8,000	195,936	Pierce	169,425	60,500	20,000	249,925
Dane	241,085	53,500	95,000	389,585	Polk	153,952	50,000	NA	203,952
Dodge	170,772	50,500	20,000	241,272	Portage	169,739	57,000	NA	226,739
Door	176,843	49,500	28,000	254,343	Price	106,864	41,000	NA	147,864
Douglas	131,360	25,000	5,000	161,360	Racine	180,415	55,500	90,000	325,915
Dunn	187,850	59,500	20,000	267,350	Richland	121,096	54,500	20,000	195,596
Eau Claire	171,295	50,369	65,000	286,664	Rock	178,128	62,000	75,000	315,128
Florence	75,000	30,500	NA	105,500	Rusk	110,993	38,500	35,000	184,493
Fond du Lac	177,701	40,000	20,000	237,701	Saint Croix	157,688	45,000	35,000	237,688
Forest	115,447	20,000	10,000	145,447	Sauk	172,634	65,500	60,000	298,134
Grant	123,295	64,500	NA	187,795	Sawyer	107,120	28,000	8,000	143,120
Green	159,810	65,500	20,000	245,310	Shawano	146,902	35,000	40,000	221,902
Green Lake	189,822	49,500	30,000	269,322	Sheboygan	154,881	54,500	20,000	229,381
Iowa	149,831	45,000	45,000	239,831	Taylor	138,976	70,368	35,000	244,344
Iron	128,565	45,869	439	174,873	Trempealeau	163,561	70,500	20,000	254,061
Jackson	160,050	65,500	20,000	245,550	Vernon	151,840	59,500	65,000	276,340
Jefferson	183,258	35,000	12,000	230,258	Vilas	138,011	30,500	NA	168,511
Juneau	144,217	38,000	20,000	202,217	Walworth	192,800	52,000	20,000	264,800
Kenosha	144,380	35,500	15,000	194,880	Washburn	126,138	41,000	6,000	173,138
Kewaunee	184,297	46,000	15,000	245,297	Washington	159,344	35,500	10,000	204,844
LaCrosse	182,584	49,500	20,000	252,084	Waukesha	216,793	30,000	NA	246,793
Lafayette	113,534	60,000	20,000	193,534	Waupaca	163,726	60,500	75,000	299,226
Langlade	101,913	30,000	40,000	171,913	Waushara	174,297	41,000	25,000	240,297
Lincoln	84,312	41,000	1,000	126,312	Winnebago	178,971	35,000	75,000	288,971
Manitowoc	188,730	46,000	75,000	309,730	Wood	165,490	54,500	54,000	273,990
					Reserve		300,000		300,000
					Sub-Totals	\$11,030,000	\$3,739,774	\$2,190,439	\$16,960,213
PROJECT COOPERATOR ALLOCATIONS									
UW-CALS				537,000	Nutrient Management Farmer Education				206,340
WI Land + Water (WLWCA)				230,000	Innovation Grants				267,882
Standard Oversight Council (SOC)				40,000					
Conservation Observation Day				3,500	Sub-Total Cooperator Allocation				\$1,359,561
UW-GNHS				37,566					
UW Ext - Cons. Training				22,273					
UW-SFAL				15,000					
PROGRAM ALLOCATION TOTALS					TOTAL	\$11,030,000	\$3,739,774	\$2,190,439	\$18,319,774

Table A-1: Staff and Support Tier 1, Tier 2, Rounds One, Two, Three

County	Tier 1	Tier 2									2022 DATCP Staffing and Support Allocation
	Base Allocation	First Position at 100%(Round 1)	Round 1 Award	Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1)	Second Position at 70%(Round 2)	Eligible Round 2 Award	Round 2 Award at 99% of 70%	Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1&2)	Third Position at 50%(Round 3)	Round 3 Award No Funds Available	
Adams	75,000	86,475	11,475	86,475	60,262	60,262	59,444	145,919	28,928	0	145,919
Ashland	75,000	79,982	4,982	79,982	54,684	54,684	53,942	133,924	22,171	0	133,924
Barron	75,000	92,818	17,818	92,818	65,007	65,007	64,124	156,942	43,488	0	156,942
Bayfield	75,000	89,261	14,261	89,261	55,095	55,095	54,347	143,608	38,401	0	143,608
Brown	75,000	105,241	30,241	105,241	65,819	65,819	64,925	170,166	43,517	0	170,166
Buffalo	75,000	83,782	8,782	83,782	50,716	50,716	50,027	133,809	23,384	0	133,809
Burnett	75,000	73,762	-	75,000	42,981	41,743	41,176	116,176	28,847	0	116,176
Calumet	75,000	112,771	37,771	112,771	72,811	72,811	71,822	184,593	51,288	0	184,593
Chippewa	75,000	136,858	61,858	136,858	85,348	85,348	84,189	221,047	55,235	0	221,047
Clark	75,000	100,832	25,832	100,832	60,725	60,725	59,901	160,733	39,042	0	160,733
Columbia	75,000	88,471	13,471	88,471	60,047	60,047	59,232	147,703	42,336	0	147,703
Crawford	75,000	81,616	6,616	81,616	52,533	52,533	51,820	133,436	27,249	0	133,436
Dane	75,000	147,069	72,069	147,069	95,310	95,310	94,016	241,085	63,096	0	241,085
Dodge	75,000	107,726	32,726	107,726	63,914	63,914	63,046	170,772	40,531	0	170,772
Door	75,000	108,413	33,413	108,413	69,372	69,372	68,430	176,843	49,249	0	176,843
Douglas	75,000	80,952	5,952	80,952	51,102	51,102	50,408	131,360	31,249	0	131,360
Dunn	75,000	113,825	38,825	113,825	75,044	75,044	74,025	187,850	51,908	0	187,850
Eau Claire	75,000	105,962	30,962	105,962	66,232	66,232	65,333	171,295	44,368	0	171,295
Florence	75,000	55,621	-	75,000	10,562	-	-	75,000	4,702	0	75,000
Fond du Lac	75,000	110,696	35,696	110,696	67,927	67,927	67,005	177,701	42,641	0	177,701
Forest	75,000	87,423	12,423	87,423	28,410	28,410	28,024	115,447	13,778	0	115,447
Grant	75,000	74,972	-	75,000	48,988	48,960	48,295	123,295	32,344	0	123,295
Green	75,000	107,915	32,915	107,915	52,609	52,609	51,895	159,810	21,838	0	159,810
Green Lake	75,000	117,821	42,821	117,821	72,992	72,992	72,001	189,822	49,803	0	189,822
Iowa	75,000	101,811	26,811	101,811	48,681	48,681	48,020	149,831	34,480	0	149,831
Iron	75,000	76,553	1,553	76,553	52,728	52,728	52,012	128,565	9,264	0	128,565
Jackson	75,000	98,699	23,699	98,699	62,195	62,195	61,351	160,050		0	160,050
Jefferson	75,000	113,213	38,213	113,213	71,009	71,009	70,045	183,258	45,600	0	183,258
Juneau	75,000	87,282	12,282	87,282	57,719	57,719	56,935	144,217	36,774	0	144,217
Kenosha	75,000	111,376	36,376	111,376	33,458	33,458	33,004	144,380	14,208	0	144,380
Kewaunee	75,000	116,712	41,712	116,712	68,515	68,515	67,585	184,297	40,313	0	184,297
LaCrosse	75,000	113,662	38,662	113,662	69,871	69,871	68,922	182,584	47,538	0	182,584
Lafayette	75,000	72,006	-	75,000	42,058	39,064	38,534	113,534	29,614	0	113,534
Langlade	75,000	78,955	3,955	78,955	23,274	23,274	22,958	101,913	7,836	0	101,913
Lincoln	75,000	72,373	-	75,000	12,067	9,440	9,312	84,312	6,439	0	84,312
Manitowoc	75,000	115,152	40,152	115,152	74,591	74,591	73,578	188,730	31,162	0	188,730

Table A-1: Staff and Support Tier 1, Tier 2, Rounds One, Two, Three

County	Tier 1	Tier 2									2022 DATCP Staffing and Support Allocation
	Base Allocation	First Position at 100%(Round 1)	Round 1 Award	Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1)	Second Position at 70%(Round 2)	Eligible Round 2 Award	Round 2 Award at 99% of 70%	Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1 & 2)	Third Position at 50%(Round 3)	Round 3 Award No Funds Available	
Marathon	75,000	100,795	25,795	100,795	70,438	70,438	69,482	170,277	48,512	0	170,277
Marinette	75,000	92,055	17,055	92,055	60,194	60,194	59,377	151,432	42,410	0	151,432
Marquette	75,000	106,641	31,641	106,641	52,399	52,399	51,688	158,329	22,168	0	158,329
Menominee	75,000		-	75,000	59,018	-	-	75,000	20,649	0	75,000
Milwaukee	75,000		-	75,000	39,876	-	-	75,000	27,340	0	75,000
Monroe	75,000	103,004	28,004	103,004	59,195	59,195	58,391	161,395	33,300	0	161,395
Oconto	75,000	103,760	28,760	103,760	63,623	63,623	62,759	166,519	36,204	0	166,519
Oneida	75,000	76,073	1,073	76,073	43,887	43,887	43,291	119,364	6,720	0	119,364
Outagamie	75,000	131,409	56,409	131,409	82,646	82,646	81,524	212,933	48,073	0	212,933
Ozaukee	75,000	102,842	27,842	102,842	78,658	78,658	77,590	180,432	45,106	0	180,432
Pepin	75,000	56,534	-	75,000	69,689	51,223	50,528	125,528	16,690	0	125,528
Pierce	75,000	100,945	25,945	100,945	69,423	69,423	68,480	169,425	45,443	0	169,425
Polk	75,000	101,115	26,115	101,115	53,564	53,564	52,837	153,952	35,904	0	153,952
Portage	75,000	109,954	34,954	109,954	60,608	60,608	59,785	169,739	45,346	0	169,739
Price	75,000	65,244	-	75,000	42,059	32,303	31,864	106,864	10,514	0	106,864
Racine	75,000	110,771	35,771	110,771	70,603	70,603	69,644	180,415	35,426	0	180,415
Richland	75,000	77,117	2,117	77,117	44,584	44,584	43,979	121,096	24,476	0	121,096
Rock	75,000	109,664	34,664	109,664	69,406	69,406	68,464	178,128	42,382	0	178,128
Rusk	75,000	59,111	-	75,000	52,377	36,488	35,993	110,993	26,670	0	110,993
Saint Croix	75,000	100,365	25,365	100,365	58,112	58,112	57,323	157,688	34,723	0	157,688
Sauk	75,000	107,138	32,138	107,138	66,398	66,398	65,496	172,634	41,082	0	172,634
Sawyer	75,000	66,301	-	75,000	41,261	32,562	32,120	107,120	19,073	0	107,120
Shawano	75,000	99,003	24,003	99,003	48,558	48,558	47,899	146,902	27,894	0	146,902
Sheboygan	75,000	96,323	21,323	96,323	59,364	59,364	58,558	154,881	40,050	0	154,881
Taylor	75,000	92,127	17,127	92,127	47,494	47,494	46,849	138,976	31,635	0	138,976
Trempealeau	75,000	85,059	10,059	85,059	79,583	79,583	78,502	163,561	37,891	0	163,561
Vernon	75,000	95,571	20,571	95,571	57,044	57,044	56,269	151,840	37,445	0	151,840
Vilas	75,000	90,460	15,460	90,460	48,206	48,206	47,551	138,011	37,014	0	138,011
Walworth	75,000	114,492	39,492	114,492	79,386	79,386	78,308	192,800	55,314	0	192,800
Washburn	75,000	83,156	8,156	83,156	43,574	43,574	42,982	126,138	1,413	0	126,138
Washington	75,000	99,764	24,764	99,764	60,400	60,400	59,580	159,344	35,027	0	159,344
Waukesha	75,000	135,210	60,210	135,210	82,706	82,706	81,583	216,793	49,131	0	216,793
Waupaca	75,000	96,487	21,487	96,487	68,164	68,164	67,239	163,726	47,695	0	163,726
Waushara	75,000	111,897	36,897	111,897	63,259	63,259	62,400	174,297	47,983	0	174,297
Winnebago	75,000	114,863	39,863	114,863	64,990	64,990	64,108	178,971	44,893	0	178,971
Wood	75,000	109,095	34,095	109,095	57,171	57,171	56,395	165,490	31,463	0	165,490
Totals	5,400,000	6,812,403	1,641,479	7,041,479	4,212,573	4,043,420	3,988,521	11,030,000	2,425,680	-	11,030,000

Table B: Total DNR Final Allocations

County	Targeted Runoff Mgmt. BMP Construction	Local Assistance Funding for Large Scale TRM	Urban NPS & Storm Water Mgmt. BMP Construction	Urban NPS & Storm Water Mgmt. Planning	Total DNR Final Allocations
Adams	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ashland	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Barron	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bayfield	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Brown	\$270,000	\$108,000	\$0	\$0	\$378,000
Buffalo	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Burnett	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Calumet	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Chippewa	\$166,000	\$30,000	\$0	\$0	\$196,000
Clark	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Columbia	\$225,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$225,000
Crawford	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Dane	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Dodge	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Door	\$220,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$220,000
Douglas	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Dunn	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Eau Claire	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Florence	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Fond du Lac	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Forest	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Grant	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Green	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Green Lake	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Iowa	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Iron	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Jackson	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Jefferson	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Juneau	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Kenosha	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Kewaunee	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
LaCrosse	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lafayette	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Langlade	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lincoln	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Manitowoc	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Table B: Total DNR Final Allocations

County	Targeted Runoff Mgmt. BMP Construction	Local Assistance Funding for Large Scale TRM	Urban NPS & Storm Water Mgmt. BMP Construction	Urban NPS & Storm Water Mgmt. Planning	Total DNR Final Allocations
Marathon	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Marinette	\$225,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$225,000
Marquette	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Menominee	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Milwaukee	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$84,402	\$84,402
Monroe	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Oconto	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Oneida	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Outagamie	\$589,000	\$130,200	\$0	\$0	\$719,200
Ozaukee	\$306,763	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$306,763
Pepin	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Pierce	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Polk	\$224,550	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$224,550
Portage	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Price	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Racine	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Richland	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rock	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rusk	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Saint Croix	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sauk	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sawyer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Shawano	\$224,803	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$224,803
Sheboygan	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$66,000	\$66,000
Taylor	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Trempealeau	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Vernon	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Vilas	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Walworth	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Washburn	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Washington	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Waukesha	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Waupaca	\$589,287	\$171,428	\$0	\$0	\$760,715
Waushara	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Winnebago	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Wood	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
DNR NR243 NOD Reserve					\$1,295,120
Total	\$3,040,403	\$439,628	\$0	\$150,402	\$4,925,553

*The reserve amounts for TRM and UNPS Grants are estimated because the grants have not yet been awarded.

Table C: Summary of DATCP and DNR Allocations
COUNTY ALLOCATIONS

County	Staffing & Support from DATCP and DNR	Cost-Sharing from DATCP and DNR	Total Allocation of DATCP and DNR Funding	County	Staffing & Support from DATCP and DNR	Cost-Sharing from DATCP and DNR	Total Allocation of DATCP and DNR Funding
Adams	145,919	76,000	221,919	Marathon	170,277	170,500	340,777
Ashland	133,924	79,500	213,424	Marinette	151,432	343,900	495,332
Barron	156,942	69,500	226,442	Marquette	158,329	116,000	274,329
Bayfield	143,608	57,500	201,108	Menominee	75,000	20,000	95,000
Brown	278,166	336,000	614,166	Milwaukee	159,402	20,000	179,402
Buffalo	133,809	77,000	210,809	Monroe	161,395	98,500	259,895
Burnett	116,176	53,000	169,176	Oconto	166,519	46,000	212,519
Calumet	184,593	73,500	258,093	Oneida	119,364	30,500	149,864
Chippewa	251,047	303,000	554,047	Outagamie	343,133	703,000	1,046,133
Clark	160,733	139,500	300,233	Ozaukee	180,432	381,263	561,695
Columbia	147,703	369,368	517,071	Pepin	125,528	78,400	203,928
Crawford	133,436	62,500	195,936	Pierce	169,425	80,500	249,925
Dane	241,085	148,500	389,585	Polk	153,952	274,550	428,502
Dodge	170,772	70,500	241,272	Portage	169,739	57,000	226,739
Door	176,843	297,500	474,343	Price	106,864	41,000	147,864
Douglas	131,360	30,000	161,360	Racine	180,415	145,500	325,915
Dunn	187,850	79,500	267,350	Richland	121,096	74,500	195,596
Eau Claire	171,295	115,369	286,664	Rock	178,128	137,000	315,128
Florence	75,000	30,500	105,500	Rusk	110,993	73,500	184,493
Fond du Lac	177,701	60,000	237,701	Saint Croix	157,688	80,000	237,688
Forest	115,447	30,000	145,447	Sauk	172,634	125,500	298,134
Grant	123,295	64,500	187,795	Sawyer	107,120	36,000	143,120
Green	159,810	85,500	245,310	Shawano	146,902	299,803	446,705
Green Lake	189,822	79,500	269,322	Sheboygan	220,881	74,500	295,381
Iowa	149,831	90,000	239,831	Taylor	138,976	105,368	244,344
Iron	128,565	46,308	174,873	Trempealeau	163,561	90,500	254,061
Jackson	160,050	85,500	245,550	Vernon	151,840	124,500	276,340
Jefferson	183,258	47,000	230,258	Vilas	138,011	30,500	168,511
Juneau	144,217	58,000	202,217	Walworth	192,800	72,000	264,800
Kenosha	144,380	50,500	194,880	Washburn	126,138	47,000	173,138
Kewaunee	184,297	61,000	245,297	Washington	159,344	45,500	204,844
LaCrosse	182,584	69,500	252,084	Waukesha	216,793	30,000	246,793
Lafayette	113,534	80,000	193,534	Waupaca	335,154	724,787	1,059,941
Langlade	101,913	70,000	171,913	Waushara	174,297	66,000	240,297
Lincoln	84,312	42,000	126,312	Winnebago	178,971	110,000	288,971
Manitowoc	188,730	121,000	309,730	Wood	165,490	108,500	273,990
Marathon	170,277	170,500	340,777	DATCP NR243 Res.		300,000	300,000
Marinette	151,432	343,900	495,332	DNR NR243 Res.		1,295,120	1,295,120
Marquette	158,329	116,000	274,329				
Menominee	75,000	20,000	95,000	Sub-Totals	11,620,030	\$10,265,736	\$21,885,766
Milwaukee	159,402	20,000	179,402				
Monroe	161,395	98,500	259,895				
PROJECT COOPERATOR ALLOCATIONS							
UW-CALS			537,000	Nutrient Management Farmer Education			206,340
WI Land + Water (WLWCA)			230,000	Innovation Grants			267,882
Standard Oversight Council (SOC)			40,000				
Conservation Observation Day			3,500	Sub-Total Cooperator Allocation			\$1,359,561
UW-GNHS			37,566				
UW Ext - Cons. Training			22,273				
UW-SFAL			15,000				
PROGRAM ALLOCATION TOTALS					11,620,030	10,265,736	23,245,327

DATCP ALLOCATIONS

1. Staff and Support

The allocation under this category provides county staff and support funding. Grants awards are consistent with the terms of the 2022 grant application and instructions located at:

https://datcp.wi.gov/Pages/Programs_Services/SWRMSect6.aspx

A. Funds Available

The allocation amount listed on page one consists of annual appropriations of \$3,715,800 in GPR funds and \$7,314,200 in SEG funds “for support of local land conservation personnel under the soil and water resource management program.” DATCP has no underspending from prior years to increase this allocation.

B. Grant Awards

Grants are awarded using the following formula:

Tier 1

DATCP is exercising its discretion under s. ATCP 50.32(5) to award each county a \$75,000 base grant.

Tier 2

DATCP will allocate the remaining \$5,630,000 using a modified version of the formula designed to meet the goal in s. 92.14(6)(b), Wis. Stats., of funding 100, 70 and 50 percent of the costs of three staff positions in each county. As modified, the formula allows counties to claim department heads, technicians and engineers as their first positions (entitled to 100 percent funding) only if they work over 95% on eligible conservation activities.

DATCP makes Tier 2 awards in three rounds in an attempt to meet the statutory goal. For round one, DATCP can fully fund county requests for their first position at the 100%

rate. Due to an increase in the allocation for the 2021-2023 budget cycle for round two DATCP can fund about 98.6% of the county requests for their second position at the 70% rate. DATCP has no funding to make awards in round three to fund a county’s third position at the 50% rate. Table A-1 (pages 3 and 4) provides round-by-round details of the Tier 2 allocation for each county.

Unmet Need for Staff and Support Funds

Despite an increase to the appropriation, DATCP would need an additional \$2.7 million appropriated to reach the goal in s. 92.14(6)(b), Wis. Stats. Even with increases in funding, counties are anticipated to shoulder a significant part of the burden paying staff. For example, in 2020, counties provided funding to pay 207 of the 370 conservation staff employed statewide.

Reallocation and Redirection

DATCP approves Menominee County’s request to reallocate up to \$8,000 to the Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin on the condition that county provides a report on the use of the reallocated funds.

Future Funding Directions

DATCP awards grants for a county’s first position only if the staff is actively engaged in qualified conservation activities. Also, DATCP requires annual work planning and reporting in order to qualify for DATCP funding. These requirements build county conservation capacity and better account for the performance of conservation activities using state funds. If sufficient additional staffing funding is made available in the future to fully fund the statutory goal in s. 92.14 (6)(b), DATCP may consider further adjustments to the grant formula to advance the goals of capacity building and accountability without compromising the basic funding for county staff.

In the future, DATCP could ensure that counties maintain adequate conservation delivery capacity by requiring that a county’s

second or third position be engaged in providing high level conservation support as a technician with conservation engineering practitioner certification or as a planner qualified to write nutrient management plans. Also, DATCP could preclude a county from claiming a department head as its second or third position if the county has listed a department head in its first position. To reward county performance, the staffing grant formula could be modified to provide additional payments for counties that are making reasonable progress in implementing their annual work plans or with track records of spending high levels of cost-sharing. If adjustments to the staffing formula are made in the future, DATCP will proceed with caution and only after input from counties, mindful of the challenges, even with increases in the appropriation.

2. Bond Revenue Cost-Sharing

The allocations under this category provide cost-sharing to resolve discharges on farms, address priority non-point runoff projects, and provide counties grants for landowner cost-sharing. Unless otherwise noted below, grant awards are consistent with the terms of the 2022 grant application and instructions (see page 8 for the link to these documents).

A. Bond Funds Available

The allocation amount listed on page one consists of \$3.5 million (half of DATCP's \$7.0 million authorization in the 2021-23 budget), with the following adjustment:

- Increase the amount by \$239,774 using unspent bond funds previously allocated.

B. Grant Awards

Bond Reserve Projects

DATCP will allocate \$300,000 to an engineering reserve primarily for the purpose of funding projects to address discharges on farms including regulatory animal waste response (NR 243) projects in cooperation with DNR. Some funds may be used for

priority projects related to extreme weather events or other non-runoff related projects. These projects are usually quite expensive and funds are awarded first come, first serve using a separate process that includes completing a form for engineering reserve projects and projects over \$50,000 and obtaining a recommendation from DATCP engineering staff.

Landowner Cost-Sharing

DATCP will allocate \$3,439,774 in bond funds to counties for landowner cost-sharing. DATCP makes county awards by first providing base funding, and then awarding funds based on criteria related to county performance and need.

After providing each county \$10,000 in base funding, DATCP awards the remaining \$2,719,774 using two performance-based criteria (a 3-year record of cumulative spending of cost-share funds, and a 3-year average of underspending of cost-share funds) and one needs-based criteria (farmland acres based on 2017 USDA Ag Census data). Minor manual adjustments are then made to the allocation, if needed.

Table A-2 shows each county's total award amount and the factors that contributed to the county's award.

Unmet Need for Bond Cost-Share Funds

DATCP's allocation provided 47% of the bond funds requested, leaving \$3,934,726 in unsatisfied county requests. A chronic shortfall in bond funds has practical implications for our capacity to implement state and local priorities including farm runoff standards. Of particular concern, cost-share dollars are not keeping pace with increased costs for conservation practices and expanded priorities reflected in new NR 151 targeted performance standards.

Future Funding Directions

In response to the impact of unusual weather events during 2018 and 2019, the SWRM program managers determined the best way

to ensure future allocations are not unfairly impacted is to eliminate the inclusion of extended underspending in the bond award calculations for grant cycles for 2021, 2022, 2023. After this three year period, the matter will be reassessed.

3. SEG Fund Allocation

The allocations under this category provide funding for (1) landowner cost-sharing for soft practices including nutrient management (NM), (2) farmer and related training involving NM, (3) NM implementation support and other projects of statewide importance and 4) innovation projects. Unless otherwise noted below, grants awards are consistent with the terms of the 2022 grant application and instructions (see page 8 for the link to these documents).

A. Funds Available

The allocation amount listed on page one is a \$4,675,000 appropriation of SEG funds “for cost-sharing grants and contracts under the soil and water resource management program under s. 92.14” with the following adjustments:

- A decrease of \$1,000,000 as a result of a redirection of funds for producer-led watershed protection grants.
- A reserve of \$125,000 will be kept while DATCP investigates the opportunity to update grant-related technologies. If we are unable to move forward with the technology updates, these funds will be allocated as cost-share funds to existing grantees, or to completely fund innovative grants or other project cooperator grant requests which were not funded completely at this time.

Of the \$3,675,000 available for allocation, \$2,190,439 will be provided to counties for landowner cost-sharing, \$206,340 will be awarded for farmer NM training, \$267,882 will be given to counties for innovation grants and \$885,339 will be awarded to project cooperators for training and support services. The majority of funding awarded in this

category directly benefits farmers and other landowners by providing NM cost-sharing and farmer training.

Landowner Cost-Sharing

DATCP provides grants to counties primarily for cost-sharing NM plans at \$10 per acre for four years, the flat rate that covers the costs to meet the 2015 Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) 590 Standard. Some of these funds may be used to cost-share (a) cover crops and other cropping practices to implement a NM plan, and (b) for “hard practices” with DATCP approval if the county’s grant contract authorizes such use.

Sixty-one counties applied for \$2,846,439 in grants, and DATCP will award \$2,190,439 to applicants based on ranking determined by the following scoring criteria:

- Up to 20 points based on acres covered by Farmland Preservation Zoning and Agriculture Enterprise Areas.
- Up to 20 points based on the extent of impaired waters located in each county.
- Up to 30 points based on percent of acres in a county with NM plans (percentage of cropland covered by nutrient management plans updated by producers, landowners, and certified crop advisors and submitted to county land conservation offices).
- Up to 30 points based on a county’s total three-year positive spending on NM cost-sharing for the previous year.

DATCP relies on data in its possession to score county applications based on the four funding criteria. Counties are ranked according to their cumulative score (up to 100 points) and are organized into five groups for allocation purposes. Counties receive the highest maximum award for their grouping, unless a county requests a lower amount. The five award groups are as follows:

Group 1 (100 points)

Maximum Award: \$95,000

Maximum awards in the group: 2 of 2

Group 2 (75-99 points)

Maximum Award: \$75,000

Maximum awards in group: 7 of 14

Group 3 (50-74 points)

Maximum Award: \$65,000

Maximum awards in group: 5 of 24

Group 4 (25-49 points)

Maximum Award: \$35,000

Maximum awards in group: 5 of 15

Group 5 (less than 24 points)

Maximum Award: \$15,000

Maximum awards in group: 1 of 6

Funds were then manually adjusted in a few cases to provide additional SEG funding to counties who requested larger allocations and have demonstrated an ability to spend it. In no case did the award exceed a county's request or the maximum of \$95,000. Table A-3 enumerates each county's score, grouping, and grant award. The term "N/A" identifies the twelve counties that did not apply for funds. Table A (page 2) also reflects amounts allocated to each county under the "SEG Cost-Sharing" column. Counties who are able to attest to having 75 percent or more cropland covered by nutrient management plans may request to spend up to 50% of 2022 SEG funds on bondable practices in support of nutrient management plan implementation.

NMFE Training Grants

For 2022, DATCP fully funded all requests, in the amounts listed in Table A-4.

All grant recipients must sign a contract with DATCP that incorporates the requirements of s. ATCP 50.35 and commits the project to developing NM plans that meet the 2015 NRCS 590 standards.

Table A-4: NMFE Grant Awards	
Organization	Total Award
Buffalo Co.	\$17,600
Columbia Co	\$15,100
Douglas Co.	\$1,220
Kewaunee Co.	\$21,800
Manitowoc Co.	\$15,400
Marquette Co.	\$21,000
NWTC	\$15,370
Ozaukee Co	\$2,500
SWTC	\$20,000
Taylor (Mrthn, Clrk, Lcln, Wd)	\$32,850
Trempealeau Co./ WTC	\$20,000
Vernon Co. / WTC	\$22,000
Washington Co.	\$1,500
Total	\$206,340

Statewide Projects: Nutrient Management Implementation Support, Cooperators

In addition to supporting NMFE training, DATCP uses its SEG appropriation for projects that contribute to statewide conservation goals, meeting the following grant priorities in s. ATCP 50.30(3): fund cost-effective activities that address and resolve high priority problems; build a systematic and comprehensive approach to soil erosion and water quality problems; contribute to a coordinated soil and water resource management program and avoid duplication of effort. DATCP has targeted the following areas for funding: nutrient management implementation activities including SnapPlus, support for statewide training of conservation professionals, development of technical standards, and coordinated activities in AEA's and impaired waters. Four of the awards also include funds to purchase laptops for training.

In the cooperator subcategory of Nutrient Management Implementation Support, DATCP received an application from the UW-Madison College of Agricultural and Life Sciences UW-CALS for \$580,000 and a second application for \$23,155. DATCP will fund the UW-CALS request as follows: (1)

\$257,000 for maintaining and improving education and training (2) \$280,000 for SNAP Plus maintenance and development. The education and training request was reduced from the requested amount due to known underspending as a result of position vacancies. The development of the A2809 calculator will not be funded during this grant cycle.

Funding UW CALS / Nutrient and Pest Management Program supports the development of a digital, self-paced, interactive, interview-based NM planning workbook with an updated NM curriculum. The workbook will be obtained online or on a thumb drive, but will also be available as a printed document. The UW CALS project will also include the continued development of training videos to be linked into the interactive workbook and the SnapPlus NM software program.

In the general category of project cooperator, DATCP will provide the following funding:

- Wisconsin Land and Water Conservation Association (WI Land+Water) is awarded \$230,000. The funds are intended to build statewide capacity to deliver and coordinate conservation training including implementation of recommendations of the statewide interagency training committee (SITCOM) and the Producer-Led Watershed Protection Grants Annual Workshop. Funding also supports activities to promote accountability among county conservation programs.
- The Standards Oversight Council (SOC) is awarded the full \$40,000 requested which fairly recognizes the higher costs for maintaining statewide capacity to develop and maintain technical standards for conservation programs and the specific support for DATCP standards.
- Up to \$3,500 is awarded to the host county for costs related to Conservation Observance Day.

DATCP received four other applications for cooperator funds:

- USGS – Airborne Electromagnetic Survey, Phase 2. Request: \$150,000. Award: \$0. While an excellent project, this project was not funded due to limited funds availability and this not having a regulatory requirement. Additionally, this project recently receive funding from an NRCS Conservation Innovation Grant.
- UW-Extension – Natural Resource Educators. Request: \$22,273. Award: \$22,273. This award will provide regional support to the producer-led watershed groups.
- UW-GNHS – Depth to Bedrock Mapping. Request: \$37,566, Award: \$37,566. This project is required in order to house verifications of depth to bedrock.
- UW-SFAL – Transition of Lab Services. Request: \$49,420. Award: \$15,000. This project will support the NM soil lab certification program.

Innovation Grants

With the 2022 SWRM grant application, counties were invited to submit Innovation Grant requests for new ways to approach land and water conservation. Seventeen applications were received from counties and \$494,282 SEG funds were requested. A total of \$267,882 is awarded as follows:

Innovation Grant	Amount
Buffalo County LCRMD	\$50,000
Dane County LWRD	\$20,000
Eau Claire LCD	\$3,000
Fond du Lac LWCD	\$35,000
Iowa County LCD	\$22,500
Manitowoc SWCD	\$17,500
Marathon County CPZ	\$50,000
Ozaukee County LWMD	\$25,000
Polk County LWR	\$8,000
Vernon County LWCD	\$11,882
Waupaca County LWCD	\$25,000
TOTAL AWARDED	\$267,882

Projects were scored by five raters on a 20 point scale that considered alignment with the program goals, a logical plan, the proposed budget and previous funding. Four Innovation Grant proposals are fully funded based on the level of innovation: Buffalo County, Iowa County, Marathon County, and Vernon County. These projects are not only innovative but also could provide models for other counties and programs moving forward. Two requests were for less than \$5,000, and therefore were fully funded: Eau Claire County and Manitowoc County Interseeding. Six further applications were partially funded due to scoring lower in the rankings and the competition for funding. We attempt to assure funding levels were adequate to still be valid for the project. These projects are located in: Dane County, Fond du Lac County, Manitowoc County, Ozaukee County, Polk County, and Waupaca County.

DATCP received proposals for five Innovation grant projects which it decided not to fund via the SEG innovation program. DATCP will not fund the Chippewa County (\$50,000) or Racine County (\$25,000) nutrient management planning innovation requests, however we did increase the SEG cost-share awards for these counties as they have shown to be good stewards of the SEG grant awards, working to increase NMP in their counties. DATCP will not be funding the Iron County Kaari Watershed Restoration through the SEG Innovation Grants, but will be working with the County for a solution through various funding methods. Manitowoc County began a project last year to repair and replace damage drainage tiles in an effort to decrease sediment from these sources into waterways. Again, due to the increase in applicants, and the fact that funding is available via the bond cost-share allocation to address tile repair, DATCP will not fund this project through the Innovation Grants this year. Finally, DATCP will not fund the Rusk County request for a drone. While the project is intriguing, this grant program does not currently allow funding for equipment.

The 2022 cooperator awards are documented in the lower section of Table A (page 2). All

award recipients are required to sign grant contracts that incorporate the requirements of s. ATCP 50.35, and include significant accountability measures.

Unmet Need for Cost-Share Funding

DATCP will provide about 77% of the SEG funding requested by counties for cost-sharing, which is \$656,000 less than the requested amounts. While additional cost-share funding could have been allocated, the average total spent by counties annually over the past several year is significantly less than what was allocated. The department hopes that the additional flexibility provided in spending the funds will increase the amount of cost-sharing spent by counties.

Future Funding Directions

DATCP continues to consider how it can best utilize its SEG funding to improve conservation and implement conservation practices. DATCP has consistently fallen short of meeting the demand for cost-sharing bondable practices, and diversion of SEG dollars may help fill the gap. DATCP has permitted this on a minor level to the few counties with over 75% of cropland acres in NM plans (using a former calculation of the acres covered by NM plans); however, the department may want to open this up to all or a larger number of counties.

There are other emerging areas or practices where SEG funds could be used or targeted to implement conservation practices and improve soil health and watershed management, including things like harvestable buffers, small grains projects, cropping practices that improve climate resiliency, precision agriculture, and carbon credit processing.

To the extent that DATCP will spend SEG funding to support nutrient management (NM) planning and implementation, DATCP will use feedback from counties and other stakeholders to determine which, if any, of the following strategies are possible and could be used:

- Change to a two-year grant award with two one-year allocations awarded at the same time, allowing for greater flexibility to counties for planning.
- Allow cost-sharing for cropping practices for farms without a NM plan, but with a farm assessment.
- Set a maximum allocation from the SEG fund dedicated to NMFE annually.
- Create a soil health program that includes targeted funding specifically for soil health practices.
- Create Soil Health outreach module, to be taught alongside or in addition to the Nutrient Management Planning modules.
- Create a mentorship program to facilitate learning and better understanding of Nutrient Management between producers and their plan writers.
- Set aside funds to support SWRM program technology. With an aging database paired with ever-changing program needs, DATCP is seeking technological support and solutions more frequently. Funding a modern database system would also allow DATCP

to track and target its funding more effectively, and potentially allow for tracking of the conservation impacts of the program across the state.

Regarding the allocation of SEG funds specifically for nutrient management cost-sharing, DATCP remains interested in refining the formula for awarding county cost-sharing and the policies surrounding its use. For example, DATCP needs to respond to concerns about the criterion related to nutrient management plan coverage in a county. The criteria needs to better capture NM plan coverage in a county to reflect acres under plans, not just the percentage of land in a county under NM plans.

Before making major changes to what is funded and how it is distributed, DATCP will engage key stakeholders to develop a workable approach. The counties and producer led groups can share insights on approaches to effectively target cost-sharing and increase farmer participation.

Table A-2: County Bond Cost-Share Awards

County	Bond				County	Bond			
	18-20 Cumulative Average Under-Spending*	2017 Census Acres**	18-20 Cumulative Total Dollars Spent***	Award		18-20 Cumulative Average Under-Spending*	2017 Census Acres**	18-20 Cumulative Total Dollars Spent***	Award
Adams	0%	117,206	\$134,190	\$41,000	Marathon	0%	473,147	\$255,420	\$75,500
Ashland	0%	52,428	\$164,017	\$49,500	Marinette	0%	133,068	\$384,913	\$63,900
Barron	0%	305,604	\$150,211	\$59,500	Marquette	0%	113,183	\$106,868	\$41,000
Bayfield	0%	81,041	\$199,129	\$49,500	Menominee	1%	290	\$50,575	\$20,000
Brown	0%	192,007	\$139,571	\$46,000	Milwaukee	0%	6,990	\$7,092	\$20,000
Buffalo	2%	293,130	\$190,463	\$57,000	Monroe	1%	300,659	\$132,450	\$48,500
Burnett	2%	89,237	\$55,460	\$33,000	Oconto	0%	189,898	\$141,604	\$46,000
Calumet	1%	153,858	\$119,876	\$43,500	Oneida	0%	34,670	\$88,239	\$30,500
Chippewa	1%	356,176	\$188,741	\$62,000	Outagamie	9%	236,963	\$184,190	\$49,000
Clark	0%	451,035	\$191,784	\$64,500	Ozaukee	0%	59,299	\$170,063	\$49,500
Columbia	0%	304,058	\$163,530	\$69,368	Pepin	0%	106,881	\$96,762	\$43,400
Crawford	0%	210,550	\$162,484	\$54,500	Pierce	0%	233,188	\$213,541	\$60,500
Dane	1%	506,688	\$133,751	\$53,500	Polk	0%	256,114	\$161,167	\$50,000
Dodge	0%	405,992	\$68,817	\$50,500	Portage	2%	280,410	\$153,507	\$57,000
Door	0%	114,508	\$153,479	\$49,500	Price	0%	89,203	\$136,273	\$41,000
Douglas	32%	69,759	\$22,455	\$25,000	Racine	0%	127,496	\$227,769	\$55,500
Dunn	0%	348,301	\$153,975	\$59,500	Richland	0%	220,843	\$163,549	\$54,500
<i>Eau Claire</i>	0%	172,256	\$99,289	\$50,369	Rock	1%	353,505	\$156,509	\$62,000
Florence	0%	18,609	\$96,350	\$30,500	Rusk	1%	136,062	\$102,110	\$38,500
<i>Fond du Lac</i>	3%	317,371	\$118,632	\$40,000	Saint Croix	0%	279,191	\$82,534	\$45,000
Forest	42%	38,084	\$21,305	\$20,000	Sauk	0%	298,906	\$200,885	\$65,500
Grant	0%	600,324	\$151,332	\$64,500	Sawyer	2%	46,009	\$78,514	\$28,000
Green	0%	292,368	\$202,553	\$65,500	Shawano	5%	247,241	\$95,567	\$35,000
Green Lake	0%	126,751	\$171,438	\$49,500	Sheboygan	0%	195,938	\$151,980	\$54,500
Iowa	0%	360,134	\$125,053	\$45,000	Taylor	0%	225,856	\$221,496	\$70,368
Iron	0%	9,200	\$141,437	\$45,869	Trempealeau	0%	329,916	\$277,350	\$70,500
Jackson	0%	248,342	\$363,565	\$65,500	Vernon	0%	337,086	\$192,974	\$59,500
<i>Jefferson</i>	9%	221,355	\$93,271	\$35,000	Vilas	0%	5,652	\$69,047	\$30,500
Juneau	2%	175,417	\$74,678	\$38,000	Walworth	2%	192,422	\$174,797	\$52,000
Kenosha	6%	77,782	\$135,403	\$35,500	Washburn	0%	73,773	\$139,175	\$41,000
Kewaunee	0%	170,405	\$149,089	\$46,000	Washington	0%	126,146	\$54,597	\$35,500
LaCrosse	0%	144,334	\$168,980	\$49,500	Waukesha	7%	97,460	\$78,032	\$30,000
Lafayette	0%	342,518	\$175,907	\$60,000	Waupaca	0%	201,603	\$221,592	\$60,500
<i>Langlade</i>	7%	116,386	\$93,099	\$30,000	<i>Waushara</i>	0%	135,306	\$120,493	\$41,000
Lincoln	0%	78,293	\$107,899	\$41,000	Winnebago	6%	162,052	\$84,723	\$35,000
Manitowoc	0%	231,609	\$136,996	\$46,000	Wood	0%	220,891	\$160,025	\$54,500
					TOTAL				\$3,439,774

Each County was given a base of \$10,000 to help counties receive closer to their requested amount. The following criteria were also applied to finalize a county's BOND award.

*Graduated awards based on 3-yr avg underspending, excluding extended underspending, year 2 of 3: 0% = \$10,500, 1.0-4.99% = \$8,000, 5-10% = \$5,000, >10% = \$0.

**Graduated awards based on 2017 Census acres: 350,000 or more=\$25,000; 250,000-349,999=\$20,000; 150,000-249,999=\$15,000, 50,000-149,999=\$10,000, <50,000=\$5,000.

***Graduated awards based on 3-yr cumulative spending: \$250K+ = \$30,000, \$200K-249,999=\$25,000, \$150K-\$199,999 = \$19,000, \$100K-\$149,999 = \$10,500, <\$100,000 = \$5,000

County Name in Italics = County transferred funds awarded in prior grant year

County Name Shaded: County awarded the amount of its request, which was less than the maximum grant award.

Table A-3: County SEG Cost-Share Awards

County	Ranking and Award			County	Ranking and Award		
	Score	Grouping	Award		Score	Grouping	Award
Adams	35	4	\$35,000	Marathon	100	1	\$95,000
Ashland	45	4	\$30,000	Marinette	60	3	\$55,000
<i>Barron</i>	70	3	\$10,000	Marquette	80	2	\$75,000
<i>Bayfield</i>	45	4	\$8,000	Menominee	0	0	NA
Brown	70	3	\$20,000	Milwaukee	0	0	NA
Buffalo	50	3	\$20,000	Monroe	65	3	\$50,000
Burnett	35	4	\$20,000	Oconto	0	0	NA
Calumet	75	2	\$30,000	Oneida	0	0	NA
Chippewa	60	3	\$75,000	<i>Outagamie</i>	60	3	\$65,000
<i>Clark</i>	90	2	\$75,000	<i>Ozaukee</i>	75	2	\$25,000
Columbia	95	2	\$75,000	Pepin	40	4	\$35,000
Crawford	30	4	\$8,000	Pierce	45	4	\$20,000
Dane	100	1	\$95,000	Polk	0	0	NA
Dodge	75	2	\$20,000	Portage	0	0	NA
Door	70	3	\$28,000	Price	0	0	NA
Douglas	10	5	\$5,000	Racine	50	3	\$90,000
Dunn	55	3	\$20,000	Richland	45	4	\$20,000
Eau Claire	65	3	\$65,000	Rock	95	2	\$75,000
Florence	0	0	NA	Rusk	40	4	\$35,000
<i>Fond du Lac</i>	90	2	\$20,000	Saint Croix	40	4	\$35,000
Forest	5	5	\$10,000	<i>Sauk</i>	80	2	\$60,000
Grant	0	0	NA	Sawyer	10	5	\$8,000
Green	60	3	\$20,000	<i>Shawano</i>	65	3	\$40,000
Green Lake	80	2	\$30,000	Sheboygan	75	2	\$20,000
Iowa	65	3	\$45,000	Taylor	45	4	\$35,000
Iron	5	5	\$439	<i>Trempealeau</i>	60	3	\$20,000
<i>Jackson</i>	25	4	\$20,000	Vernon	70	3	\$65,000
<i>Jefferson</i>	65	3	\$12,000	Vilas	0	0	NA
<i>Juneau</i>	35	4	\$20,000	<i>Walworth</i>	65	3	\$20,000
<i>Kenosha</i>	15	5	\$15,000	Washburn	5	5	\$6,000
Kewaunee	70	3	\$15,000	Washington	55	3	\$10,000
La Crosse	70	3	\$20,000	Waukesha	0	0	NA
Lafayette	60	3	\$20,000	Waupaca	90	2	\$75,000
Langlade	70	3	\$40,000	Waushara	35	4	\$25,000
Lincoln	25	4	\$1,000	Winnebago	75	2	\$75,000
Manitowoc	95	2	\$75,000	Wood	65	3	\$54,000
TOTAL							\$2,190,439
County Name in Italics = County transferred funds awarded in prior grant year NA= County did not apply for SEG funds				County Name Shaded = County awarded the amount of its request, which was less than the maximum grant award			

DNR ALLOCATIONS

DNR's portion of this final allocation provides funding to counties through three programs:

- 1) Targeted Runoff Management (TRM),
- 2) Notice of Discharge (NOD), and
- 3) Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Planning (UNPS-Planning).

Table B shows the final allocation to each county grantee for TRM and UNPS-Planning. Additionally, NOD reserves are established as specific county allocations are unknown at this time.

FUNDING SOURCES

Allocations for TRM projects and NOD projects are from bond revenue appropriated under s. 20.866(2)(tf), Wis. Stats., Federal Clean Water Act Section 319 funds, and segregated funds appropriated under s. 20.370(6)(aq), Wis. Stats.

Allocations to counties for UNPS-Construction projects, when requested, are from segregated funds appropriated under s. 20.866(2)(th), Wis. Stats.

Allocations to counties for UNPS-Planning projects, when requested, are from segregated funds appropriated under s. 20.370(6)(dq), Wis. Stats.

Note: DNR will also provide TRM grants and UNPS-Planning grants to non-county grantees. Wisconsin Statutes do not require that non-county grantees be listed in this allocation plan.

- For all grant programs, funds will be considered "committed" when a grantee has returned to the DNR a signed copy of the grant agreement.
- For the TRM program, grant agreements not signed by the deadline may be rescinded by DNR, and the associated grant funds may be used to fund other eligible projects in rank order based on project scores. If, for any reason, funds committed through this

allocation plan become available after March 31, 2022, these funds may be held to fund projects selected in the next grant cycle.

1. TRM Final Allocation

The DNR allocates up to \$3,480,031 to counties for cost sharing of TRM projects during calendar year 2022. This amount is adequate to fully fund the estimated state share for 10 out of 17 eligible county Small-Scale TRM applications. Additionally, this amount is adequate to fully fund of the estimated state share for 4 out of the 9 eligible county Large-Scale TRM applications. As shown in Chart 1, there is \$3,391,495 of unmet needs for county TRM projects.

The maximum cost-share amount that can be awarded for a single Small-Scale TRM project is \$225,000. The maximum cost-share amount that can be awarded for a single Large-Scale TRM project is \$600,000.

TRM allocations made through this plan will be reimbursed to grantees during calendar years 2022 through 2023 for Small-Scale projects and through 2024 for Large-Scale projects. Project applications are screened, scored, and ranked in accordance with s. 281.65(4c), Wis. Stats. Adjustments to grant amounts may occur to account for eligibility of project components, cost-share rates, or ch. NR 151 enforcement action at the time that DNR negotiates the actual grant agreement with an applicant.

2. UNPS Final Allocation

CONSTRUCTION. UNPS-Construction grant applications were not solicited in 2021 for the 2022 award cycle. DNR has implemented an alternating schedule for both UNPS-Planning and UNPS-Construction grants. The UNPS-Construction grant application will be available in early 2022 for 2023 awards.

PLANNING. The DNR allocates up to \$150,402 to counties for cost sharing of UNPS projects during calendar year 2022. This amount is adequate to fully fund the estimated

state share for two of the three eligible county UNPS Planning grant applications.

The maximum cost-share amount that can be awarded for a UNPS-Planning grant is \$85,000.

The DNR will also provide UNPS-Planning grants to non-county applicants. Wisconsin Statutes do not require that non-county grantees be listed in this allocation plan.

The UNPS-Planning awards made through this plan will be reimbursed to grantees during calendar years 2022 and 2023. Project applications have been screened, scored, and ranked in accordance with s. 281.66, Wis. Stats.

3. Notice of Discharge Program

A. Background

DNR issues notices of discharge (NOD) and notices of intent (NOI) under ch. NR 243, Wis. Adm. Code; this code regulates animal feeding operations. DNR has authority under s. 281.65(4e), Wis. Stats., to provide grant assistance for NOD and NOI projects outside the competitive TRM process. DNR is authorized to award grants to governmental units, which in turn enter into cost-share agreements with landowners that have received an NOD or NOI.

Cost-share assistance is provided to landowners to meet the regulatory requirements of an NOD issued under ch. NR 243, Wis. Adm. Code. In some cases, cost-share assistance must be offered before enforcement action can be taken. In other cases, DNR is not required to provide cost sharing but may do so at its discretion. DNR has several permitting and enforcement options available under ch. NR 243 if landowners should fail to meet the conditions of the NOD.

B. NOD Final Allocation

This Final Allocation Plan establishes a reserve of \$1,295,120 for NOD projects during calendar year 2022. The reserve includes

funds for structural practices in eligible locations. DNR may use its discretion to increase this reserve if needed. To receive a grant award, a governmental unit must submit an application to DNR that describes a specific project and includes documentation that an NOD or NOI has either already been issued or will be issued by DNR concurrent with the grant award. Once DNR issues a grant to the governmental unit to address an NOD or NOI, DNR will designate a portion of the reserve specifically for that project.

Since DATCP also administers funds to correct NODs, DNR and DATCP will consult on each NOD application to ensure that the two agencies are making the most efficient use of the available funds to address these problem sites.

DNR will require that county grantees commit funds to a cost-share agreement with the landowner within a timeframe that is consistent with the compliance schedule in the NOD. The county grantee shall use the grant award to reimburse the landowner for costs incurred during the grant period, which may extend beyond calendar year 2022. If the landowner fails to install practices listed in the cost-share agreement within the timeframe identified, DNR will terminate its grant with the county, leaving the landowner to correct the problems identified in the NOD without the benefit of state cost sharing.

Fund balances from terminated NOD grants and projects completed under budget may be returned to the reserve account and made available to other NOD applicants. Reserve funds remaining at the end of calendar year 2022 may either be carried over for the calendar year 2023 NOD reserve account or may be allocated for calendar year 2022 or 2023 TRM projects.

DNR and DATCP issue a joint report annually to the LWCB on progress in administering NOD funds.

SUMMARY OF CHANGES TO THE 2022 JOINT PRELIMINARY ALLOCATION PLAN

The DATCP portion of the final plan includes the following changes from the preliminary allocation plan:

- Bayfield County recognized an error in the historical staffing data used to compute the staffing allocation which resulted in a decrease in their staffing award from the preliminary plan.
- As a result, counties were eligible to receive a slight increase to their staffing awards with the exception of those who had reached the maximum award available.

The DNR portion of the final includes the following changes from the preliminary allocation plan:

- The requested amounts for both Ozaukee County Small-Scale TMDL TRM grants were decreased at the request of the County.
- The total award of both Ozaukee County Small-Scale TMDL TRM grants was below the 20% allowable allocation by category.
- This allowed the DNR to allocate funds towards one additional Small-Scale TMDL TRM grant.

A requirement in s. NR 153.20(2)(d)3.b., Wis. Adm. Code, states that no one applicant may receive multiple grants that exceed 20% of the total available funding in a given project category. Applicants on the ranked list whose total funding requests exceed 20% of the total available funding will be awarded funds for the projects that do not exceed 20% and the balance of the applicant's requests will be moved to the bottom of the ranked list; additional funding is provided only after all other eligible projects have first been funded.

FINAL ACTION

DATCP has determined that the action described in this allocation plan for the 2022 soil and water resource management grant program shown in Table A conforms to the applicable DATCP provisions of s. 92.14, Wis. Stats, and ATCP 50, Wis. Administrative Code. DATCP reserves the right to reallocate grant funds unexpended by recipients.

10/25/2021 | 5:54 PM CDT

Dated this ____ day of _____, 2021

STATE OF WISCONSIN
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, TRADE
AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

DocuSigned by:
Eric Eberberger
78F03A7BE7624C8...
Randy Romanski, Secretary

DNR has determined that the actions described in this allocation plan for the 2022 allocations of DNR funds shown in Table B conforms with the provisions of ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats.

Dated this ¹⁴ day of October, 2021

STATE OF WISCONSIN
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

DocuSigned by:
Todd Ambros
6793A7BA4Z7C4CD...
for Preston D. Cole, Secretary