



State of Wisconsin  
Governor Tony Evers

---

**Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection**  
Secretary Randy Romanski

**Verification of Depth to Bedrock Technical Standard (DATCP 01) and ATCP 50**

March 24, 2022  
9:00 am – 11:00 am

Zoom Link:

<https://www.zoomgov.com/j/1610112425?pwd=Skh6c0dDWTRyTUVMMmtiSjZiMnlXZz09>

Meeting ID: 161 011 2425

Passcode: 069489

+1 551 285 1373 US

**Agenda**

1. Meeting Objective
2. Discuss topics related to use of Verification of Depth to Bedrock technical standard (DATCP 01) and incorporation into ATCP 50
  - a. Overview presentation
  - b. Discussion (Questions on next page)
3. Wrap-up and next steps
  - a. Key take-aways
  - b. Upcoming meeting topics
    - i. Relationship of Silurian bedrock performance standard and use of DATCP 01 to other state programs (Tentative: early April)

**Reference Materials**

- [DATCP Website: ATCP 50: Soil and Water Resource Management Program Rulemaking 2021](#)
- [Wis. Admin. Code NR 151.075](#)
- [Verification of Depth to Bedrock Technical Standard \(DATCP 01\)](#)

*Wisconsin - America's Dairyland*

2811 Agriculture Drive • PO Box 8911 • Madison, WI 53708-8911 • Wisconsin.gov

An equal opportunity employer

## Discussion Questions

The discussion at the meeting will seek feedback on the topics below. Although response to some questions may only be applicable to a specific stakeholder group, discussion of these topics by all during the meeting is encouraged.

1. For what purpose do you anticipate that landowners will voluntarily seek to verify the depth to bedrock in their field?
  - a. To determine/verify the accuracy of existing mapped depths: specifically - the 2 foot boundary to increase land available to receive manure
  - b. To determine/verify the accuracy of existing mapped depths: specifically - the 5 foot boundary to increase capacity of land to receive manure
  - c. To determine/verify the accuracy of existing mapped depths: for some other purpose
  - d. To distinguish between the 2-3 feet and 3-5 feet depths where data only shows depth to bedrock of < 5ft
  - e. Where a NM plan review indicates the need for verification
  - f. When bedrock features are at the surface but not shown in the mapped data
  - g. Never
2. Have you heard from landowners interested in voluntarily performing verification of depth to bedrock?
3. If clarification is needed in the rule related to spreading restrictions in the 2-5 foot depth and the 5 – 20 foot depth, what considerations should be addressed?
4. When cost-sharing is provided to use the DATCP 01 technical standard—whether voluntarily or as part of an offer of cost-sharing for compliance - what costs should be cost-shareable?
  - a. Landowner time
  - b. Consultant/qualified individual time (preparing verification plan)
  - c. Equipment costs & equipment operator time (Intrusive method)
  - d. Equipment costs & equipment operator time (Geophysical method)
  - e. Other (put in chat)
5. If verification of depth to bedrock using DATCP 01 is voluntarily completed, do you plan to offer cost-sharing?
6. What strategies should be considered to provide funding to use DATCP 01? (examples may include a per acre rate, a flat rate, etc)
7. Do you anticipate that you, or someone from the organization/county you represent, will seek certification to become a “qualified individual” to perform the verification of depth to bedrock process outlined in DATCP 01?
8. Are you aware of any landowner interest in becoming qualified to do their own depth to bedrock verification?
9. What sections of the Silurian bedrock performance standard or topics related to implementation of the Silurian bedrock performance standard would you like to discuss at a future meeting?