

2020 JOINT FINAL ALLOCATION PLAN Soil and Water Resource Management Grant Program and Nonpoint Source Program

The allocations identified in this plan provide counties and others with grant funding for conservation staff and support costs, landowner cost-sharing, and runoff management projects. The Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP) and the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) are making these allocations to protect Wisconsin's soil and water resources, consistent with the objectives in chs. 92 and 281, Wis. Stats.

DATCP is allocating grants to county land conservation committees (counties) and other project cooperators in 2020 through the Soil and Water Resource Management Program (Table A).

DNR is allocating grants to counties through the Targeted Runoff Management (TRM), the

NR 243 Notice of Discharge (NOD), and Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Planning Projects (UNPS-Planning) programs (Table B).

For 2020, a total of \$20,716,440 is allocated based on the state budget for the 2019-21 biennium. Table C summarizes all allocations, by grantee. Organized by funding category, Chart 1 below summarizes grant fund requests, unmet funding requests, and allocation amounts. Chart 2 below shows the allocation categories by funding sources.

If required, these allocations may be adjusted based on reductions or lapses in appropriations or authorizations.

Chart 1: Grant Requests and Allocations

| Funding Category | Total Requests | Unmet Requests | Allocation Amounts |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| DATCP ALLOCATIONS | | | |
| County Staff/Support | \$17,626,768 | \$8,187,668 | \$9,439,100 |
| County LWRM Cost-Share (B) | \$7,975,750 | \$4,585,750 | \$3,390,000 |
| Bond Cost-Share Reserve (B) | \$300,000 | \$0 | \$300,000 |
| LWRM Cost-Share (SEG) | \$3,081,616 | \$994,884 | \$2,086,732 |
| Project Contracts (SEG) | \$1,046,250 | \$158,099 | \$888,151 |
| NMFE Training Grants (SEG) | \$350,117 | \$0 | \$350,117 |
| SUBTOTAL | \$30,380,501 | \$13,926,401 | \$16,454,100 |
| DNR ALLOCATIONS | | | |
| UNPS Planning | \$149,730 | \$85,000 | \$64,730 |
| UNPS Construction | NA | NA | NA |
| TRM Construction | \$2,697,610 | \$0 | \$2,697,610 |
| NOD Reserve (B) | | | \$1,500,000 |
| SUBTOTAL | \$2,847,340 | \$85,000 | \$4,262,340 |
| TOTAL | | | \$20,716,440 |

Abbreviations Used Above:

LWRM = Land & Water Resource Management Plan Implementation
 SEG = Segregated Revenue
 NA = Not Applicable or Available
 TRM = Targeted Runoff Management
 UNPS = Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Management
 B = Bond Revenue
 CP = Cropping Practices

Chart 2: Funding Sources

Staff and Support Grants

| | |
|-------------|---------------------------------|
| \$6,411,900 | DATCP SEG from s. 20.115(7)(qe) |
| \$3,027,200 | DATCP GPR from s. 20.115(7)(c) |
| \$9,439,100 | DATCP Subtotal |

| | |
|-----------|--------------------------------|
| \$64,730 | DNR SEG from s. 20.370(6)(dq) |
| \$143,063 | DNR Sec. 319 Account (Federal) |
| \$207,793 | DNR Subtotal |

\$9,646,893 TOTAL Staff & Support Grants

Cost-Share Grants

| | |
|-------------|--|
| \$3,390,000 | DATCP Bond from s. 20.866(2)(we) |
| \$300,000 | DATCP Bond (Reserve) from s. 20.866(2)(we) |
| \$2,086,732 | DATCP SEG from s. 20.115(7)(qf) |
| \$5,776,732 | DATCP Subtotal |

| | |
|-------------|--|
| \$3,139,585 | DNR Bond Revenue from s. 20.866(2)(tf) |
| \$0 | DNR SEG from s. 20.370(6)(aq) |
| \$914,962 | DNR Sec. 319 Account (Federal) |
| \$4,054,547 | DNR Subtotal |

\$9,831,279 TOTAL Cost-Share Grants

Nutrient Management Farmer Education (NMFE) & Other Project Cooperator (OPC) Grants

| | |
|-------------|--|
| \$350,117 | DATCP SEG (NMFE) from s. 20.115(7)(qf) |
| \$888,151 | DATCP SEG (OPC) from s. 20.115(7)(qf) |
| \$1,238,268 | TOTAL NMFE & Other Grants |

\$20,716,440 GRAND TOTAL

Table A: DATCP Allocations

| County | DATCP Staffing & Support Allocation | LWRM Plan Implementation Allocation | | Total DATCP Allocation | County | DATCP Staffing & Support Allocation | LWRM Plan Implementation Allocation | | Total DATCP Allocation |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| | | Bond Cost-Sharing | SEG Cost-Sharing | | | | Bond Cost-Sharing | SEG Cost-Sharing | |
| Adams | 117,081 | 33,140 | 20,000 | 170,201 | Oconto | 142,662 | 56,100 | 0 | 198,762 |
| Ashland | 112,248 | 52,990 | 20,000 | 185,238 | Oneida | 99,771 | 50,850 | 0 | 150,621 |
| Barron | 131,682 | 69,850 | 35,000 | 226,432 | Outagamie | 178,579 | 41,990 | 75,000 | 295,569 |
| Bayfield | 115,626 | 57,490 | 35,000 | 208,116 | Ozaukee | 140,281 | 62,990 | 50,400 | 253,671 |
| Brown | 153,004 | 38,330 | 8,000 | 199,334 | Pepin | 107,394 | 45,260 | 35,000 | 187,654 |
| Buffalo | 115,814 | 52,120 | 20,000 | 187,934 | Pierce | 141,006 | 60,600 | 20,000 | 221,606 |
| Burnett | 96,102 | 25,000 | 30,000 | 151,102 | Polk | 162,030 | 36,250 | 0 | 198,280 |
| Calumet | 149,871 | 43,260 | 40,000 | 233,131 | Portage | 148,425 | 64,350 | 0 | 212,775 |
| Chippewa | 183,659 | 49,750 | 55,000 | 288,409 | Price | 92,390 | 45,260 | 0 | 137,650 |
| Clark | 136,301 | 56,620 | 75,000 | 267,921 | Racine | 148,554 | 57,490 | 35,000 | 241,044 |
| Columbia | 121,244 | 64,350 | 65,832 | 251,426 | Richland | 98,903 | 48,370 | 28,000 | 175,273 |
| Crawford | 108,509 | 56,100 | 8,000 | 172,609 | Rock | 163,594 | 48,580 | 75,000 | 287,174 |
| Dane | 188,043 | 52,120 | 95,000 | 335,163 | Rusk | 112,153 | 33,140 | 35,000 | 180,293 |
| Dodge | 145,929 | 34,000 | 10,000 | 189,929 | Saint Croix | 143,558 | 45,000 | 20,000 | 208,558 |
| Door | 143,964 | 50,990 | 28,000 | 222,954 | Sauk | 142,660 | 64,350 | 45,000 | 252,010 |
| Douglas | 110,336 | 13,140 | 0 | 123,476 | Sawyer | 93,194 | 40,000 | 8,000 | 141,194 |
| Dunn | 179,594 | 40,000 | 28,000 | 247,594 | Shawano | 126,812 | 40,330 | 10,000 | 177,142 |
| Eau Claire | 141,669 | 57,490 | 45,000 | 244,159 | Sheboygan | 152,280 | 52,870 | 20,000 | 225,150 |
| Florence | 75,000 | 43,120 | 0 | 118,120 | Taylor | 119,171 | 60,600 | 35,000 | 214,771 |
| Fond du Lac | 158,787 | 40,000 | 20,000 | 218,787 | Trempealeau | 131,181 | 64,350 | 95,000 | 290,531 |
| Forest | 102,969 | 11,000 | 0 | 113,969 | Vernon | 129,254 | 64,350 | 45,000 | 238,604 |
| Grant | 104,160 | 56,620 | 0 | 160,780 | Vilas | 125,100 | 33,080 | 0 | 158,180 |
| Green | 143,560 | 64,350 | 20,000 | 227,910 | Walworth | 144,868 | 48,370 | 20,000 | 213,238 |
| Green Lake | 159,436 | 57,490 | 30,000 | 246,926 | Washburn | 106,151 | 45,260 | 6,000 | 157,411 |
| Iowa | 123,519 | 50,000 | 35,000 | 208,519 | Washington | 136,558 | 37,220 | 10,000 | 183,778 |
| Iron | 108,529 | 50,850 | 0 | 159,379 | Waukesha | 176,709 | 31,220 | 0 | 207,929 |
| Jackson | 131,124 | 66,100 | 20,000 | 217,224 | Waupaca | 134,962 | 66,100 | 95,000 | 296,062 |
| Jefferson | 179,819 | 30,285 | 20,000 | 230,104 | Wausara | 135,525 | 50,000 | 25,000 | 210,525 |
| Juneau | 119,441 | 50,000 | 20,000 | 189,441 | Winnebago | 159,814 | 31,140 | 35,000 | 225,954 |
| Kenosha | 133,255 | 45,260 | 20,000 | 198,515 | Wood | 144,034 | 48,370 | 54,000 | 246,404 |
| Kewaunee | 149,985 | 52,990 | 20,000 | 222,975 | Reserve | | 300,000 | | 300,000 |
| LaCrosse | 155,386 | 33,140 | 20,000 | 208,526 | Sub-Totals | \$9,439,100 | \$3,690,000 | \$2,086,732 | \$15,215,832 |
| Lafayette | 96,012 | 52,120 | 22,500 | 170,632 | | | | | |
| Langlade | 92,890 | 45,260 | 40,000 | 178,150 | OTHER PROJECT COOPERATOR (OPC) FUNDING | | | | |
| Lincoln | 85,451 | 19,140 | 0 | 104,591 | Monroe County AEA Incentive Project | | | 41,250 | |
| Manitowoc | 158,309 | 60,600 | 55,000 | 273,909 | UW-CALS | | | 580,000 | |
| Marathon | 144,015 | 75,850 | 95,000 | 314,865 | Nutrient Management Farmer Education (NMFE) | | | 350,117 | |
| Marinette | 130,327 | 57,490 | 35,000 | 222,817 | WI Land + Water (WLWCA) | | | 225,401 | |
| Marquette | 133,415 | 37,220 | 70,000 | 240,635 | Standard Oversight Council (SOC) | | | 38,000 | |
| Menominee | 75,000 | 20,000 | 0 | 95,000 | Conservation Observance Day | | | 3,500 | |
| Milwaukee | 75,000 | 20,000 | 0 | 95,000 | Sub-Totals | | | | \$1,238,268 |
| Monroe | 115,582 | 40,538 | 50,000 | 206,117 | TOTAL | \$9,439,100 | \$3,690,000 | \$3,325,000 | \$16,454,100 |

Table A-1: Staff and Support Tier 1, Tier 2, Rounds One, Two and Three

| County | Tier 1 | | | | | | | Tier 2 | | | | | DATCP Staffing & Support Allocation |
|-------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| | Base Allocation | First Position at 100% (Round 1) | Round 1 Award | Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1) | Second Position at 70% (Round 2) | Round 2 Award at (70% of 70%) | Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1 & 2) | Third Position at 50% (Round 3) | Round 3 Award No Funds Available | | | | |
| Adams | 75,000 | 83,417 | 8,417 | 83,417 | 47,990 | 33,644 | 117,061 | 26,224 | 0 | 117,061 | | | |
| Ashland | 75,000 | 77,916 | 2,916 | 77,916 | 48,972 | 34,332 | 112,248 | 8,650 | 0 | 112,248 | | | |
| Barren | 75,000 | 88,897 | 13,897 | 88,897 | 60,987 | 42,985 | 131,582 | 40,736 | 0 | 131,582 | | | |
| Bayfield | 75,000 | 80,955 | 5,955 | 80,955 | 49,456 | 34,671 | 115,626 | 34,497 | 0 | 115,626 | | | |
| Brown | 75,000 | 106,267 | 31,267 | 106,267 | 66,666 | 46,737 | 153,004 | 41,763 | 0 | 153,004 | | | |
| Buffalo | 75,000 | 80,264 | 5,264 | 80,264 | 50,709 | 35,550 | 115,814 | 28,261 | 0 | 115,814 | | | |
| Burnett | 75,000 | 67,819 | 0 | 75,000 | 37,282 | 21,102 | 96,102 | 24,138 | 0 | 96,102 | | | |
| Calumet | 75,000 | 103,235 | 28,235 | 103,235 | 66,523 | 46,636 | 149,871 | 46,842 | 0 | 149,871 | | | |
| Chippewa | 75,000 | 126,672 | 51,672 | 126,672 | 81,287 | 56,987 | 183,659 | 50,238 | 0 | 183,659 | | | |
| Clark | 75,000 | 92,608 | 17,608 | 92,608 | 62,325 | 43,693 | 136,301 | 35,672 | 0 | 136,301 | | | |
| Columbia | 75,000 | 81,394 | 6,394 | 81,394 | 56,841 | 39,849 | 121,243 | 55,403 | 0 | 121,244 | | | |
| Crawford | 75,000 | 71,666 | 0 | 75,000 | 51,132 | 33,509 | 108,509 | 25,799 | 0 | 108,509 | | | |
| Dane | 75,000 | 130,102 | 55,102 | 130,102 | 82,648 | 57,941 | 188,043 | 53,883 | 0 | 188,043 | | | |
| Dodge | 75,000 | 102,530 | 27,530 | 102,530 | 61,905 | 43,399 | 145,929 | 39,082 | 0 | 145,929 | | | |
| Door | 75,000 | 97,148 | 22,148 | 97,148 | 66,779 | 46,816 | 143,964 | 43,626 | 0 | 143,964 | | | |
| Douglas | 75,000 | 76,142 | 1,142 | 76,142 | 48,775 | 34,194 | 110,336 | - | 0 | 110,336 | | | |
| Dunn | 75,000 | 127,984 | 52,984 | 127,984 | 73,618 | 51,610 | 179,594 | 49,886 | 0 | 179,594 | | | |
| Eau Claire | 75,000 | 98,155 | 23,155 | 98,155 | 62,069 | 43,514 | 141,669 | 40,025 | 0 | 141,669 | | | |
| Florence | 75,000 | 54,725 | 0 | 75,000 | 11,091 | 0 | 75,000 | 3,773 | 0 | 75,000 | | | |
| Fond du Lac | 75,000 | 110,976 | 35,976 | 110,976 | 68,198 | 47,811 | 158,787 | 46,409 | 0 | 158,787 | | | |
| Forest | 75,000 | 83,052 | 8,052 | 83,052 | 28,410 | 19,917 | 102,969 | 13,778 | 0 | 102,969 | | | |
| Grant | 75,000 | 69,259 | 0 | 75,000 | 47,335 | 29,160 | 104,160 | 32,562 | 0 | 104,160 | | | |
| Green | 75,000 | 106,840 | 31,840 | 106,840 | 52,378 | 36,720 | 143,650 | 35,400 | 0 | 143,650 | | | |
| Green Lake | 75,000 | 110,912 | 35,912 | 110,912 | 69,215 | 48,524 | 159,436 | 46,763 | 0 | 159,436 | | | |
| Iowa | 75,000 | 92,441 | 17,441 | 92,441 | 44,330 | 31,078 | 123,519 | 31,664 | 0 | 123,519 | | | |
| Iron | 75,000 | 72,858 | 0 | 75,000 | 49,988 | 33,529 | 108,529 | 6,418 | 0 | 108,529 | | | |
| Jackson | 75,000 | 90,963 | 15,963 | 90,963 | 57,287 | 40,161 | 131,124 | 0 | 0 | 131,124 | | | |
| Jefferson | 75,000 | 131,737 | 56,737 | 131,737 | 68,585 | 48,082 | 179,819 | 48,530 | 0 | 179,819 | | | |
| Juneau | 75,000 | 81,794 | 6,794 | 81,794 | 53,701 | 37,647 | 119,441 | 28,284 | 0 | 119,441 | | | |
| Kenosha | 75,000 | 111,806 | 36,806 | 111,806 | 30,596 | 21,449 | 133,255 | 13,600 | 0 | 133,255 | | | |
| Kewaunee | 75,000 | 105,631 | 30,631 | 105,631 | 63,267 | 44,354 | 149,955 | 37,331 | 0 | 149,955 | | | |
| LaCrosse | 75,000 | 109,259 | 34,259 | 109,259 | 65,797 | 46,127 | 155,386 | 46,998 | 0 | 155,386 | | | |
| Lafayette | 75,000 | 66,295 | 0 | 75,000 | 38,677 | 21,012 | 96,012 | 31,503 | 0 | 96,012 | | | |
| Langlade | 75,000 | 76,398 | 1,398 | 76,398 | 23,525 | 16,492 | 92,890 | 7,531 | 0 | 92,890 | | | |
| Lincoln | 75,000 | 77,667 | 2,667 | 77,667 | 11,103 | 7,794 | 85,451 | 3,750 | 0 | 85,451 | | | |
| Manitowoc | 75,000 | 108,454 | 33,454 | 108,454 | 71,114 | 49,855 | 158,309 | 50,723 | 0 | 158,309 | | | |

Table A-1: Staff and Support Tier 1, Tier 2, Rounds One, Two and Three

| County | Tier 1 | | Tier 2 | | | | | | DATCP Staffing & Support Allocation | |
|-------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Base Allocation | First Position at 100% (Round 1) | Round 1 Award | Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1) | Second Position at 70% (Round 2) | Round 2 Award at (70% of 70%) | Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1 & 2) | Third Position at 50% (Round 3) | | Round 3 Award No Funds Available |
| Marathon | 75,000 | 97,004 | 22,004 | 97,004 | 67,058 | 47,011 | 144,015 | 46,992 | 0 | 144,015 |
| Marquette | 75,000 | 89,744 | 14,744 | 89,744 | 57,889 | 40,583 | 130,327 | 39,399 | 0 | 130,327 |
| Menominee | 75,000 | 101,487 | 26,487 | 101,487 | 45,543 | 31,928 | 133,415 | 17,238 | 0 | 133,415 |
| Milwaukee | 75,000 | 0 | 0 | 75,000 | 57,544 | 0 | 75,000 | 10,476 | 0 | 75,000 |
| Monroe | 75,000 | 84,747 | 9,747 | 84,747 | 43,984 | 30,835 | 115,582 | 25,833 | 0 | 75,000 |
| Oconto | 75,000 | 99,568 | 24,568 | 99,568 | 61,470 | 43,094 | 142,662 | 22,794 | 0 | 115,582 |
| Oneida | 75,000 | 69,719 | 0 | 75,000 | 40,615 | 24,771 | 99,771 | 33,931 | 0 | 142,662 |
| Outagamie | 75,000 | 125,970 | 50,970 | 125,970 | 75,042 | 52,609 | 178,579 | 7,696 | 0 | 99,771 |
| Ozaukee | 75,000 | 89,639 | 14,639 | 89,639 | 72,237 | 50,642 | 140,281 | 45,658 | 0 | 178,579 |
| Pepin | 75,000 | 63,910 | 0 | 75,000 | 57,298 | 32,394 | 107,394 | 41,537 | 0 | 140,281 |
| Polk | 75,000 | 94,558 | 19,558 | 94,558 | 66,254 | 46,448 | 141,006 | 20,168 | 0 | 107,394 |
| Portage | 75,000 | 114,510 | 39,510 | 114,510 | 67,784 | 47,520 | 162,030 | 42,501 | 0 | 141,006 |
| Price | 75,000 | 105,830 | 30,830 | 105,830 | 60,759 | 42,595 | 148,425 | 44,299 | 0 | 162,030 |
| Racine | 75,000 | 60,600 | 0 | 75,000 | 39,206 | 17,390 | 92,390 | 41,502 | 0 | 148,425 |
| Richland | 75,000 | 102,807 | 27,807 | 102,807 | 65,255 | 45,747 | 148,554 | 9,815 | 0 | 92,390 |
| Rock | 75,000 | 67,695 | 0 | 75,000 | 41,401 | 23,903 | 98,903 | 33,706 | 0 | 148,554 |
| Rusk | 75,000 | 119,726 | 44,726 | 119,726 | 62,574 | 43,868 | 163,594 | 24,128 | 0 | 98,903 |
| Saint Croix | 75,000 | 83,764 | 8,764 | 83,764 | 40,494 | 28,389 | 112,153 | 46,728 | 0 | 163,594 |
| Sauk | 75,000 | 96,300 | 21,300 | 96,300 | 67,410 | 47,258 | 143,558 | 7,568 | 0 | 112,153 |
| Sawyer | 75,000 | 99,012 | 24,012 | 99,012 | 62,260 | 43,648 | 142,660 | 23,978 | 0 | 143,558 |
| Shawano | 75,000 | 62,488 | 0 | 75,000 | 38,465 | 18,194 | 93,194 | 43,093 | 0 | 142,660 |
| Sheboygan | 75,000 | 88,658 | 13,658 | 88,658 | 54,423 | 38,154 | 126,812 | 18,441 | 0 | 93,194 |
| Taylor | 75,000 | 109,449 | 34,449 | 109,449 | 61,095 | 42,831 | 152,280 | 33,477 | 0 | 126,812 |
| Trempealeau | 75,000 | 87,491 | 12,491 | 87,491 | 45,189 | 31,680 | 119,171 | 39,570 | 0 | 152,280 |
| Vernon | 75,000 | 78,450 | 3,450 | 78,450 | 75,216 | 52,731 | 131,181 | 30,105 | 0 | 119,171 |
| Vilas | 75,000 | 91,180 | 16,180 | 91,180 | 54,309 | 38,074 | 129,254 | 34,358 | 0 | 131,181 |
| Walworth | 75,000 | 88,912 | 13,912 | 88,912 | 51,620 | 36,188 | 125,100 | 35,503 | 0 | 129,254 |
| Washington | 75,000 | 98,401 | 23,401 | 98,401 | 66,282 | 46,467 | 144,868 | 33,366 | 0 | 125,100 |
| Waukesha | 75,000 | 79,885 | 4,885 | 79,885 | 37,467 | 26,266 | 106,151 | 42,940 | 0 | 144,868 |
| Waupaca | 75,000 | 97,136 | 22,136 | 97,136 | 56,233 | 39,422 | 136,558 | 4,084 | 0 | 106,151 |
| Waushara | 75,000 | 124,100 | 49,100 | 124,100 | 75,042 | 52,609 | 176,709 | 34,302 | 0 | 136,558 |
| Winnebago | 75,000 | 91,166 | 16,166 | 91,166 | 62,472 | 43,796 | 134,962 | 44,431 | 0 | 176,709 |
| Wood | 75,000 | 94,090 | 19,090 | 94,090 | 59,104 | 41,435 | 135,525 | 42,671 | 0 | 134,962 |
| Totals | 5,400,000 | 6,535,396 | 1,383,362 | 6,783,362 | 3,976,940 | 2,655,737 | 9,439,099 | 43,359 | 0 | 135,525 |
| | | | | | | | | 44,076 | 0 | 159,814 |
| | | | | | | | | 33,352 | 0 | 144,034 |
| | | | | | | | | 2,272,817 | 0 | 9,439,100 |

Table B: DNR Allocations

| County | Targeted Runoff Mgmt. BMP Construction | Local Assistance Funding for "Large Scale" TRM | Urban NPS & Storm Water Mgmt. BMP Construction | Urban NPS & Storm Water Mgmt. Planning | Total DNR Final Allocations |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------|
| Adams | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ashland | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Barron | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bayfield | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Brown | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Buffalo | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Burnett | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Calumet | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Chippewa | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Clark | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Columbia | \$326,789 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$326,789 |
| Crawford | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Dane | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Dodge | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Door | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Douglas | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Dunn | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Eau Claire | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Florence | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Fond du Lac | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Forest | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Grant | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Green | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Green Lake | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Iowa | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Iron | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Jackson | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Juneau | \$127,500 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$127,500 |
| Kenosha | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Kewaunee | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| LaCrosse | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Lafayette | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Langlade | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Lincoln | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Manitowoc | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Table B: DNR Allocations

| County | Targeted Runoff Mgmt. BMP Construction | Local Assistance Funding for "Large Scale" TRM | Urban NPS & Storm Water Mgmt. BMP Construction | Urban NPS & Storm Water Mgmt. Planning | Total DNR Final Allocations |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------|
| Marathon | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$64,730 | \$64,730 |
| Marinette | \$94,026 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$94,026 |
| Marquette | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Menominee | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Milwaukee | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Monroe | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Oconto | \$125,607 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$125,607 |
| Oneida | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Outagamie | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ozaukee | \$225,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$225,000 |
| Pepin | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Polk | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Portage | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Price | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Racine | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Richland | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Rock | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Rusk | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Saint Croix | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Sauk | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Sawyer | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Shawano | \$225,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$225,000 |
| Sheboygan | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Taylor | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Trempealeau | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Vernon | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Vilas | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Walworth | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Washburn | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Washington | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Waukesha | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Waupaca | \$884,625 | \$88,463 | \$0 | \$0 | \$973,088 |
| Waushara | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Winnebago | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Wood | \$546,000 | \$54,600 | \$0 | \$0 | \$600,600 |
| DNR NR243 NOD Reserve | | | | | \$1,500,000 |
| Total | \$2,554,547 | \$143,063 | \$0 | \$64,730 | \$4,262,340 |

Table C: Summary of DATCP and DNR Allocations

| County | Staffing & Support from DATCP and DNR | Cost-Sharing from DATCP and DNR | Total Allocation of DATCP and DNR Funding | County | Staffing & Support from DATCP and DNR | Cost-Sharing from DATCP and DNR | Total Allocation of DATCP and DNR Funding |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Adams | 117,061 | 53,140 | 170,201 | Oconto | 142,662 | 181,707 | 324,369 |
| Ashland | 112,248 | 72,990 | 185,238 | Oneida | 99,771 | 50,850 | 150,621 |
| Barron | 131,582 | 94,850 | 226,432 | Outagamie | 178,579 | 116,990 | 295,569 |
| Bayfield | 115,626 | 92,490 | 208,116 | Ozaukee | 140,281 | 338,390 | 478,671 |
| Brown | 153,004 | 46,330 | 199,334 | Pepin | 107,394 | 80,260 | 187,654 |
| Buffalo | 115,814 | 72,120 | 187,934 | Pierce | 141,006 | 80,600 | 221,606 |
| Burnett | 96,102 | 55,000 | 151,102 | Polk | 162,030 | 36,250 | 198,280 |
| Calumet | 149,871 | 83,260 | 233,131 | Portage | 148,425 | 64,350 | 212,775 |
| Chippewa | 183,659 | 104,750 | 288,409 | Price | 92,390 | 45,260 | 137,650 |
| Clark | 136,301 | 131,620 | 267,921 | Racine | 148,554 | 92,490 | 241,044 |
| Columbia | 121,244 | 456,971 | 578,215 | Richland | 98,903 | 76,370 | 175,273 |
| Crawford | 108,509 | 64,100 | 172,609 | Rock | 163,594 | 123,580 | 287,174 |
| Dane | 188,043 | 147,120 | 335,163 | Rusk | 112,153 | 68,140 | 180,293 |
| Dodge | 145,929 | 44,000 | 189,929 | Saint Croix | 143,558 | 65,000 | 208,558 |
| Door | 143,964 | 78,990 | 222,954 | Sauk | 142,660 | 106,850 | 249,510 |
| Douglas | 110,336 | 13,140 | 123,476 | Sawyer | 93,194 | 48,000 | 141,194 |
| Dunn | 179,594 | 68,000 | 247,594 | Shawano | 126,812 | 275,330 | 402,142 |
| Eau Claire | 141,669 | 99,990 | 241,659 | Sheboygan | 152,280 | 72,870 | 225,150 |
| Florence | 75,000 | 43,120 | 118,120 | Taylor | 119,171 | 95,600 | 214,771 |
| Fond du Lac | 158,787 | 60,000 | 218,787 | Trempealeau | 131,181 | 159,350 | 290,531 |
| Forest | 102,969 | 11,000 | 113,969 | Vernon | 129,254 | 106,850 | 236,104 |
| Grant | 104,160 | 56,620 | 160,780 | Vilas | 125,100 | 33,080 | 158,180 |
| Green | 143,560 | 84,350 | 227,910 | Walworth | 144,868 | 68,370 | 213,238 |
| Green Lake | 159,436 | 87,490 | 246,926 | Washburn | 106,151 | 51,260 | 157,411 |
| Iowa | 123,519 | 92,500 | 216,019 | Washington | 136,558 | 47,220 | 183,778 |
| Iron | 108,529 | 50,850 | 159,379 | Waukesha | 176,709 | 31,220 | 207,929 |
| Jackson | 131,124 | 86,100 | 217,224 | Waupaca | 223,425 | 1,045,725 | 1,269,150 |
| Jefferson | 179,819 | 50,285 | 230,104 | Waushara | 135,525 | 75,000 | 210,525 |
| Juneau | 119,441 | 197,500 | 316,941 | Winnebago | 159,814 | 66,140 | 225,954 |
| Kenosha | 133,255 | 65,260 | 198,515 | Wood | 198,634 | 648,370 | 847,004 |
| Kewaunee | 149,985 | 72,990 | 222,975 | DATCP NR243 Res. | - | 300,000 | 300,000 |
| LaCrosse | 155,386 | 53,140 | 208,526 | DNR NR243 Res. | - | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |
| Lafayette | 96,012 | 74,620 | 170,632 | Sub-Totals | \$9,646,893 | \$9,831,279 | \$19,478,172 |
| Langlade | 92,890 | 85,260 | 178,150 | OTHER PROJECT FUNDING: | | | |
| Lincoln | 85,451 | 19,140 | 104,591 | Monroe County AEA Incentive Pilot | | 41,250 | |
| Manitowoc | 158,309 | 115,600 | 273,909 | UW CALS | | 580,000 | |
| Marathon | 208,745 | 170,850 | 379,595 | NMFE | | 350,117 | |
| Marinette | 130,327 | 186,516 | 316,843 | WLWCA/SOC | | 263,401 | |
| Marquette | 133,415 | 107,220 | 240,635 | Conservation Observation Day | | 3,500 | |
| Menominee | 75,000 | 20,000 | 95,000 | Sub-Totals | | | \$1,238,268 |
| Milwaukee | 75,000 | 20,000 | 95,000 | TOTAL | \$9,646,893 | \$11,069,547 | \$20,716,440 |
| Monroe | 115,582 | 90,535 | 206,117 | | | | |

DATCP ALLOCATIONS

1. Staff and Support

The allocation under this category provides county staff and support funding. Grants are awarded consistent with the terms of the 2020 grant application and instructions located at: https://datcp.wi.gov/Pages/Programs_Services/SWRMSect6.aspx

A. Funds Available

The allocation amount listed on page one consists of annual appropriations of \$3,027,200 in GPR funds and \$6,411,900 in SEG funds "for support of local land conservation personnel under the soil and water resource management program." DATCP has no underspending from prior years to increase this allocation.

B. Grant Awards

Grants are awarded using the following formula:

Tier 1

DATCP is exercising its discretion under s. ATCP 50.32(5) to award each county a \$75,000 base grant.

Tier 2

DATCP will allocate the remaining \$4,039,100 using a modified version of the formula designed to meet the goal in s. 92.14(6)(b), Wis. Stats., of funding 100, 70 and 50 percent of the costs of three staff positions in each county. As modified, the formula allows counties to claim department heads, technicians and engineers as their first positions (entitled to 100 percent funding) only if they work over 95% on eligible conservation activities.

DATCP makes Tier 2 awards in three rounds in an attempt to meet the statutory goal. For round one, DATCP can fully fund county requests for their first position at the 100% rate. However, for round two, DATCP can only

fund about 70% of the county requests for their second position at the 70% rate. DATCP has no funding to make awards in round three to fund a county's third position at the 50% rate. Table A-1 (pages 3 and 4) provides round-by-round details of the Tier 2 allocation for each county.

Unmet Need for Staff and Support Funds

Despite an increase in appropriations, DATCP would need an additional \$3.4 million in appropriations to reach the goal in s. 92.14(6)(b), Wis. Stats. Even with increases in funding, counties are anticipated to shoulder a significant part of the burden paying staff. For example, in 2018, counties provided funding to pay 211 of the 365 conservation staff employed statewide.

Reallocation and Redirection

DATCP approves Menominee County's request to reallocate up to \$8,000 to the Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin on the condition that county provides a report on the use of the reallocated funds.

Future Funding Directions

As noted in the 2019 allocation, DATCP has initiated changes to move away from the needs-based approach that focuses on providing counties funding to cover the costs of its positions. DATCP now awards grants for a county's first position only if the staff is actively engaged in qualified conservation activities. Also, DATCP has tightened requirements for annual work planning and reporting, which are conditions for DATCP funding. These modifications were intended to stimulate counties building county conservation capacity and to better account for their performance. In light of the biennial budget's increased funding for staffing grants, DATCP has the opportunity to consider further adjustments in the grant formula to advance the goals of capacity building and accountability without compromising the basic funding for county staff.

In the future, DATCP could ensure that counties maintain adequate conservation delivery capacity by requiring that a county's second or third position be engaged in providing high level conservation support as a technician with conservation engineering practitioner certification or as planner qualified to write nutrient management plans. Also, DATCP could preclude a county from claiming a department head as its second or third position if the county has listed a department head in its first position. To reward county performance, the staffing grant formula could be modified to provide additional payments for counties that are making reasonable progress in implementing their annual work plans or with track records of spending high levels of cost-sharing. In moving forward, DATCP will proceed with caution, mindful of the challenges of tinkering with the staffing allocation, even with additional increases in the appropriation.

2. Bond Revenue Cost-Sharing

The allocations under this category provide cost-sharing to resolve discharges on farms (awarded to counties from a reserve), and provide counties grants for landowner cost-sharing. Unless otherwise noted below, grants are awarded consistent with the terms of the 2020 grant application and instructions (see page 8 for the link to these documents).

A. Bond Funds Available

The allocation amount listed on page one consists of \$3.5 million (half of DATCP's \$7.0 million authorization in the 2019-21 budget), with the following adjustment:

- Increase the amount by \$190,000 using unspent bond funds previously allocated.

B. Grant Awards

Bond Reserve Projects

DATCP will allocate \$300,000 to a reserve for the purpose of funding projects to address discharges on farms including regulatory animal waste response (NR 243) projects

approved in cooperation with DNR. DATCP has scaled back its reserve to reflect changes in demand for the funds. These funds are awarded using separate processes: (1) selection based on a separate application, <http://dnr.wi.gov/Aid/NOD.html>, for farm projects issued a notice of discharge or notice of intent, (2) a recommendation from DATCP engineering staff concerning a farm discharge, especially to address increased costs for managing runoff from feedlots and feed storage.

Landowner Cost-Sharing

After setting aside a \$300,000 reserve, DATCP will allocate \$3,390,000 to counties for landowner cost-sharing. DATCP makes county awards by first providing base funding, and then awarding funds based on criteria related to county performance and need. This approach is designed to better meet the statewide priorities set in s. ATCP 50.30(2) including the need to address farms with water quality issues and support farmer participation in the farmland preservation program (FPP). After providing each county \$10,000 in base funding, DATCP awards the remaining \$2,670,000 using two performance-based criteria (a 3-year record of cumulative spending of cost-share funds, and a 3-year average of underspending of cost-share funds) and two needs-based criteria (farmland acres based on 2017 USDA Ag Census data and base adjustment to help counties receive funding closer to their requests).

Table A-2 (page 14) shows each county's total award amount and the factors that contributed to the county's award.

Unmet Need for Bond Cost-Share Funds

DATCP's allocation provided 43% of the funds requested, leaving \$4,585,750 in unsatisfied county requests. This shortfall in bond funds has practical implications for our capacity to implement state and local priorities including farm runoff standards. Of particular concern, cost-share dollars are not keeping pace with increased costs for conservation practices and

expanded priorities reflected in new NR 151 targeted performance standards.

Future Funding Directions

DATCP discontinued including grant funds received via a notice of intent or notice of discharge project in the allocation calculations in the 2019 Allocation Plan. Having followed this request, DATCP has noted that the removal of these funds from positive spending has a detrimental impact on county allocations. Administratively, the time required to track these funds outside of the SWRM database has proven burdensome. Therefore, starting with the 2021 allocation, grant funds received via the notice of discharge and notice of intent program will be included in the Allocation.

3. SEG Fund Allocation

The allocations under this category provide funding for (1) landowner cost-sharing, (2) farmer and related training involving nutrient management (NM), and (3) NM implementation support and other projects of statewide importance. Unless otherwise noted below, grants are awarded consistent with the terms of the 2020 grant application and instructions (see page 8 for the link to these documents).

A. Funds Available

The allocation amount listed on page one consists of \$4,425,000 appropriation in SEG funds "for cost-sharing grants and contracts under the soil and water resource management program under s. 92.14" with the following adjustments:

- A decrease of \$750,000 as a result of a redirection of funds for producer-led watershed protection grants.
- A decrease of \$350,000 for a DATCP reserve to develop a SWRM grant and conservation practice database or fund statewide priorities that may include soil testing support, harvestable buffers, or other statewide conservation support needs.

Of the \$3,325,000 available for allocation, \$2,086,732 will be provided to counties for landowner cost-sharing, \$350,117 will be awarded for farmer NM training, and \$888,151 will be awarded to project cooperators including a \$3,500 award for Conservation Observance Day. The majority of funding awarded in this category directly benefits farmers and other landowners by providing NM cost-sharing and farmer training.

Landowner Cost-Sharing

DATCP provides grants to counties primarily for cost-sharing NM plans at \$10 per acre for four years, the flat rate that covers the costs to meet the 2015 Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) 590 Standard. Some of these funds may be used to cost-share (a) cover crops and other cropping practices to implement a NM plan, and (b) for "hard practices" with DATCP approval if the county's grant contract authorizes such use.

Fifty-seven counties applied for \$3,081,616 in grants, and DATCP will award \$2,086,732 to applicants based on ranking determined by the following scoring criteria:

- Up to 20 points for having one or more Agricultural Enterprise Areas within the county.
- Up to 20 points based on the extent of impaired waters located in each county.
- Up to 30 points based on percent of acres in a county with NM plans (established by checklist submissions to DATCP in the prior year).
- Up to 30 points based on a county's total positive spending on NM cost-sharing and NMFE for the previous year.

DATCP relies on data in its possession to score county applications based on the six funding criteria. Counties are ranked according to their cumulative score (up to 100 points) and are organized into four groups for allocation purposes. Counties receive the highest maximum award for their grouping, unless a county requests a lower amount. The four award groups are as follows:

Group 1 (80-100 points)

Maximum Award: \$95,000

Maximum awards in the group: 4 of 4

Group 2 (65-79 points)

Maximum Award: \$75,000

Maximum awards in group: 3 of 7

Group 3 (50-64 points)

Maximum Award: \$55,000

Maximum awards in group: 2 of 19

Group 4 (less than 50 points)

Maximum Award: \$35,000

Maximum awards in group: 8 of 27

Table A-3 (page 15) enumerates each county's score, grouping, and grant award. The term "N/A" identifies the 15 counties that did not apply for funds. Table A (page 2) also reflects amounts allocated to each county under the "SEG Cost-Sharing" column. Adams, Brown, Calumet, Door, Fond du Lac, Kewaunee, and Manitowoc Counties have 75 percent or more of cropland covered by nutrient management plans and qualify to spend up to 50% of 2020 SEG funds on bondable practices. See 2018 Update, <https://datcp.wi.gov/Documents/NMUpdate2018.pdf>

NMFE Training Grants

For 2020, DATCP will fully fund all requests, in the amounts listed in Table A-4 below.

| Table A-4: NMFE Grant Awards (in dollars) | | |
|---|----------|---------|
| Organization | Tier 1 | Tier 2 |
| Buffalo Co. | \$12,500 | |
| Calumet | | \$1,105 |
| Columbia Co. | \$12,720 | |
| CVTC | \$20,000 | |
| Dane Co. | \$12,650 | \$2,100 |
| Dodge Co. | \$10,000 | |
| Eau Claire Co. | \$3,009 | |
| Juneau Co. | \$10,500 | |
| Kewaunee Co. | \$19,700 | |
| Lafayette Co. | \$7,150 | |
| Langlade Co. | \$10,412 | |
| Manitowoc Co. | \$15,400 | |

| | | |
|--|------------------|----------------|
| Mid-state Technical College | \$24,200 | |
| Marquette Co. | \$20,000 | |
| NWTC | \$19,881 | |
| Ozaukee Co | | \$2,500 |
| Polk Co | \$17,000 | |
| SWTC | \$20,000 | |
| Taylor(Marathon, Clark, Lincoln, Wood) | \$53,350 | |
| Trempealeau Co./WTC | \$20,000 | |
| Vernon Co. | \$20,000 | |
| Washington Co. | | \$2,500 |
| Wausara Co. | \$13,440 | |
| Total | \$341,912 | \$8,205 |

All grant recipients must sign a contract with DATCP that incorporates the requirements of s. ATCP 50.35 and commits the project to developing NM plans that meet the 2015 NRCS 590 standards.

Statewide Projects: Nutrient Management Implementation Support, Cooperators

In addition to supporting NMFE training, DATCP uses its SEG appropriation for projects that contribute to statewide conservation goals, meeting the following grant priorities in s. ATCP 50.30(3): fund cost-effective activities that address and resolve high priority problems; build a systematic and comprehensive approach to soil erosion and water quality problems; contribute to a coordinated soil and water resource management program and avoid duplication of effort. DATCP has targeted the following areas for funding: nutrient management implementation activities including SnapPlus, support for statewide training of conservation professionals, development of technical standards, and coordinated activities in AEAs and impaired waters.

In the cooperator subcategory of Nutrient Management Implementation Support, DATCP received one application from the UW-Madison College of Agricultural and Life Sciences (UW-CALS) with different options for

funding ranging from a low of \$390,000 to a high of \$ 696,849. DATCP will fund the UW-CALS request as follows: (1) \$280,000 for maintaining and improving SnapPlus, and (2) \$300,000 for outreach, education and training provided by the Nutrient and Pest Management Program. Funding this project supports tools and information needed by government agencies and farmers to implement the nutrient management standard and the Phosphorus Index. UW-CALS will need to negotiate the details of a final work plan to reflect this funding and will need to provide detailed reports of work performed as a condition of reimbursement.

In the general category of project cooperator, DATCP will provide the following funding. Wisconsin Land and Water Conservation Association (WI Land+Water) is awarded \$225,401. The funds are intended to build statewide capacity to deliver and coordinate conservation training including implementation of recommendations of the statewide interagency training committee (SITCOM) and the Producer-Led Watershed Protection Grants Annual Workshop. Funding also supports activities to promote accountability among county conservation programs.

The Standards Oversight Council (SOC) is awarded \$38,000 which fairly recognizes the higher costs for maintaining statewide capacity to develop and maintain technical standards for conservation programs and the specific support for DATCP standards.

Up to \$3,500 is awarded to the host county for costs related to Conservation Observance Day.

DATCP will partially fund a request to increase participation in Monroe County's two Agricultural Enterprise Areas through awarding incentives to landowners who sign FPP agreements at \$41,250.

The 2020 cooperator awards are documented in the lower right-hand corner of Table A (page 2). All award recipients are required to sign grant contracts that incorporate the

requirements of s. ATCP 50.35, and include significant accountability measures.

Unmet Need for Cost-Share Funding

DATCP will provide about 68% of the SEG funding requested by counties for cost-sharing, which is \$994,884 less than the requested amounts.

While requests for nutrient management grant funds total over \$3 million most years, the average total spent is closer to \$1.9 million annually. DATCP is awarding less direct cost-share to better use nutrient management funds where they are most needed.

Future Funding Directions

With additional SEG appropriations, DATCP plans to consider how it can best implement conservation practices. On a fundamental level, DATCP needs to consider whether additional SEG dollars should be set aside to cost-share conservation practices historically funded by bond dollars. DATCP has consistently fallen short of meeting the demand for cost-sharing bondable practices, and diversion of SEG dollars may help fill the gap.

To the extent that DATCP will spend additional funding to support nutrient management (NM) planning, we are at an important crossroads in terms of the manner in which we provide financial support for NM implementation. Based on feedback from counties and other stakeholders, DATCP will consider combining cost-sharing with other strategies to effectively implement nutrient management. Some of the proposals received by DATCP involve:

- Use additional funds to hire agronomists to provide education in targeted areas;
- Expand the number of agronomists available to support NM planning (especially if DATCP does not target part of staffing grants to accomplish the same goal);

- Develop partnerships to expand NM training with the goal of smaller class sizes and specialized training;
- Build outreach to the private sector to make improvements in plans;
- Increase capacity to monitor and review the quality of NM plans and provide feedback;
- Build a stronger connection to the co-ops, consultants and fertilizer dealers to promote nutrient management;
- Better incorporate nutrient management planning to DATCP programs such as producer led watershed protection.

Regarding the allocation of SEG funds specifically for nutrient management cost-sharing, DATCP remains interested in refining the formula for awarding county cost-sharing. For example, DATCP needs to respond to concerns about the criterion related to nutrient management plan coverage in a county. The criteria needs to better capture NM plan coverage in a county to reflect acres under plans, not just the percentage of land in a county under NM plans.

Before making major changes, DATCP will engage key stakeholders to develop a workable approach. The counties and producer led groups can share insights on approaches to effectively target cost-sharing and increase farmer participation.

Table A-2: County Bond Cost-Share Awards

| County | Bond | | | | County | Bond | | | |
|--------------|--|---------------------|---|----------|-------------|--|---------------------|---|-------------|
| | 16-18 Cumulative Average Under-Spending* | 2017 Census Acres** | 16-18 Cumulative Total Dollars Spent*** | Award | | 16-18 Cumulative Average Under-Spending* | 2017 Census Acres** | 16-18 Cumulative Total Dollars Spent*** | Award |
| Adams | 7.6% | 117,206 | \$113,747 | \$33,140 | Marathon | 0.0% | 473,147 | \$388,144 | \$75,850 |
| Ashland | 0.0% | 52,428 | \$126,070 | \$52,990 | Marquette | 0.0% | 133,068 | \$161,550 | \$57,490 |
| Barron | 0.1% | 305,604 | \$131,996 | \$59,850 | Menominee | 3.9% | 113,183 | \$100,688 | \$37,220 |
| Bayfield | 0.0% | 81,041 | \$161,714 | \$57,490 | Milwaukee | 2.7% | 290 | \$43,474 | \$20,000 |
| Brown | 6.2% | 192,007 | \$84,873 | \$38,330 | Monroe | 0.0% | 6,990 | \$1,050 | \$20,000 |
| Buffalo | 3.0% | 293,130 | \$125,883 | \$52,120 | Monroe | 18.7% | 300,659 | \$163,254 | \$40,535 |
| Burnett | 1.8% | 89,237 | \$64,673 | \$26,000 | Oconto | 0.0% | 189,898 | \$148,455 | \$56,100 |
| Calumet | 0.7% | 153,858 | \$88,538 | \$43,260 | Oneida | 0.0% | 34,670 | \$112,600 | \$50,850 |
| Chippewa | 1.5% | 356,176 | \$117,790 | \$49,750 | Outagamie | 9.3% | 236,963 | \$143,754 | \$41,990 |
| Clark | 2.6% | 451,035 | \$182,770 | \$56,620 | Ozaukee | 0.0% | 59,299 | \$268,711 | \$62,990 |
| Columbia | 0.0% | 304,058 | \$194,130 | \$64,350 | Pepin | 0.5% | 106,881 | \$108,198 | \$45,260 |
| Crawford | 0.3% | 210,550 | \$115,052 | \$56,100 | Pierce | 0.0% | 233,188 | \$195,318 | \$60,600 |
| Dane | 0.6% | 506,688 | \$113,462 | \$52,120 | Polk | 14.3% | 256,114 | \$110,264 | \$36,250 |
| Dodge | 12.2% | 405,992 | \$61,181 | \$34,000 | Portage | 0.0% | 280,410 | \$171,568 | \$64,350 |
| Door | 0.0% | 114,508 | \$86,631 | \$60,990 | Price | 1.7% | 89,203 | \$114,953 | \$45,260 |
| Douglas | 59.8% | 89,759 | \$25,595 | \$13,140 | Racine | 0.0% | 127,498 | \$187,133 | \$57,490 |
| Dunn | 8.1% | 348,301 | \$144,809 | \$40,000 | Richland | 0.6% | 220,843 | \$126,309 | \$48,370 |
| Eau Claire | 0.0% | 172,256 | \$164,098 | \$57,490 | Rock | 3.8% | 353,505 | \$158,852 | \$48,580 |
| Florence | 0.5% | 18,609 | \$114,175 | \$43,120 | Rusk | 8.5% | 136,062 | \$109,999 | \$33,140 |
| Fond du Lac | 4.8% | 317,371 | \$162,339 | \$40,000 | Saint Croix | 2.1% | 279,191 | \$63,416 | \$45,000 |
| Forest | 25.0% | 38,084 | \$20,348 | \$11,000 | Sauk | 0.0% | 298,906 | \$189,638 | \$64,350 |
| Grant | 3.2% | 600,324 | \$194,971 | \$56,620 | Sawyer | 1.4% | 46,009 | \$98,016 | \$40,000 |
| Green | 0.0% | 292,368 | \$190,950 | \$64,350 | Shawano | 4.7% | 247,241 | \$114,909 | \$40,330 |
| Green Lake | 0.0% | 126,751 | \$176,359 | \$57,490 | Sheboygan | 0.7% | 195,938 | \$190,563 | \$52,870 |
| Iowa | 1.6% | 360,134 | \$99,358 | \$50,000 | Taylor | 0.0% | 225,856 | \$198,601 | \$60,600 |
| Iron | 0.0% | 9,200 | \$139,000 | \$60,850 | Trempealeau | 0.4% | 329,916 | \$187,953 | \$64,350 |
| Jackson | 0.0% | 248,342 | \$269,087 | \$66,100 | Vernon | 0.0% | 337,086 | \$173,937 | \$64,350 |
| Jefferson | 19.1% | 221,355 | \$86,945 | \$30,285 | Vilas | 5.3% | 5,652 | \$92,283 | \$33,080 |
| Juneau | 0.1% | 175,417 | \$79,632 | \$50,000 | Walworth | 1.5% | 192,422 | \$147,119 | \$48,370 |
| Kenosha | 3.1% | 77,782 | \$146,896 | \$45,260 | Washburn | 2.1% | 73,773 | \$132,448 | \$45,260 |
| Kewaunee | 0.4% | 170,405 | \$118,576 | \$62,990 | Washington | 5.1% | 126,146 | \$126,285 | \$37,220 |
| LaCrosse | 8.1% | 144,334 | \$128,328 | \$33,140 | Waukesha | 5.9% | 97,460 | \$56,716 | \$31,220 |
| Lafayette | 1.2% | 342,518 | \$125,188 | \$52,120 | Waupaca | 0.0% | 201,603 | \$207,669 | \$66,100 |
| Langlade | 1.0% | 116,386 | \$106,057 | \$45,260 | Waushara | 0.0% | 135,306 | \$162,346 | \$50,000 |
| Lincoln | 25.3% | 78,293 | \$81,615 | \$19,140 | Winnebago | 8.6% | 162,052 | \$81,218 | \$31,140 |
| Manitowoc | 0.0% | 231,609 | \$152,787 | \$60,600 | Wood | 1.8% | 220,891 | \$149,182 | \$48,370 |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | | \$3,390,000 |

Each County was given a base of \$10,000 to help counties receive closer to their requested amount. The following criteria were also applied to finalize a county's BOND award.

*Graduated awards based on 3-yr avg underspending: 0% = \$31,850, 1%-3.75% = \$24,120, 3.76-6.2% = \$18,080, 6.21-14.3% = \$12,000, 14.31-20% = \$8,035, >20% = \$0.

**Graduated awards based on 2017 Census acres: 275,000 or more = \$10,000, 175,000-274,999 = \$6,250, 50,000-174,999 = \$3,140, 1001-49,999 = \$1,000, <1,000 = \$0.

***Graduated awards based on 3-yr cumulative spending: >\$275K = \$24,000, \$200K-\$275K = \$18,000, \$150K-\$200K = \$12,000, \$100K-\$150K = \$8,000, \$75K-\$100K = \$6,000, \$30K-\$75K = \$2,000, <\$30,000 = \$0

County Name in Italics = County transferred funds awarded in prior grant year

Shaded award amounts= County awarded the amount of its request, which was less than the maximum grant award.

Table A-3: County SEG Cost-Share Awards

| County | Ranking and Award | | | County | Ranking and Award | | |
|---|-------------------|----------|----------|--|-------------------|----------|---------------------|
| | Score | Grouping | Award | | Score | Grouping | Award |
| <i>Adams</i> | 40 | 4 | \$20,000 | Marathon | 90 | 1 | \$95,000 |
| Ashland | 35 | 4 | \$20,000 | Marquette | 45 | 4 | \$35,000 |
| <i>Barron</i> | 25 | 4 | \$35,000 | Menominee | 65 | 2 | \$70,000 |
| Bayfield | 40 | 4 | \$35,000 | Milwaukee | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Brown | 50 | 3 | \$8,000 | Monroe | 15 | 0 | NA |
| <i>Buffalo</i> | 30 | 4 | \$20,000 | Oconto | 50 | 3 | \$50,000 |
| Burnett | 15 | 4 | \$30,000 | Onieda | 50 | 0 | NA |
| Calumet | 65 | 2 | \$40,000 | <i>Outagamie</i> | 35 | 0 | NA |
| Chippewa | 50 | 3 | \$55,000 | Ozaukee | 70 | 2 | \$75,000 |
| <i>Clark</i> | 75 | 2 | \$75,000 | Pepin | 55 | 3 | \$50,400 |
| Columbia | 65 | 2 | \$65,832 | Pierce | 40 | 4 | \$35,000 |
| <i>Crawford</i> | 15 | 4 | \$8,000 | Polk | 35 | 4 | \$20,000 |
| Dane | 85 | 1 | \$95,000 | Portage | 25 | 0 | NA |
| Dodge | 70 | 2 | \$10,000 | Price | 20 | 0 | NA |
| Door | 45 | 4 | \$28,000 | Racine | 10 | 0 | NA |
| Douglas | 10 | 0 | NA | Richland | 30 | 4 | \$35,000 |
| Dunn | 40 | 4 | \$28,000 | Rock | 25 | 4 | \$28,000 |
| Eau Claire | 50 | 3 | \$42,500 | Rusk | 75 | 2 | \$75,000 |
| Florence | 0 | 0 | NA | Saint Croix | 30 | 4 | \$35,000 |
| <i>Fond du Lac</i> | 55 | 3 | \$20,000 | Sauk | 30 | 4 | \$20,000 |
| Forest | 5 | 0 | NA | Sawyer | 50 | 3 | \$42,500 |
| Grant | 30 | 0 | NA | Shawano | 10 | 4 | \$8,000 |
| Green | 40 | 4 | \$20,000 | Sheboygan | 50 | 3 | \$10,000 |
| Green Lake | 50 | 3 | \$30,000 | Taylor | 50 | 3 | \$20,000 |
| Iowa | 35 | 3 | \$42,500 | Trempealeau | 45 | 4 | \$35,000 |
| Iron | 35 | 0 | NA | Vernon | 80 | 1 | \$95,000 |
| <i>Jackson</i> | 25 | 4 | \$20,000 | Vilas | 50 | 3 | \$42,500 |
| <i>Jefferson</i> | 55 | 3 | \$20,000 | Walworth | 0 | 0 | NA |
| <i>Juneau</i> | 35 | 4 | \$20,000 | Washburn | 45 | 4 | \$20,000 |
| <i>Kenosha</i> | 10 | 4 | \$20,000 | Washington | 10 | 4 | \$6,000 |
| Kewaunee | 45 | 4 | \$20,000 | Waukesha | 50 | 3 | \$10,000 |
| <i>La Crosse</i> | 50 | 3 | \$20,000 | Waupaca | 35 | 0 | NA |
| Lafayette | 55 | 3 | \$22,500 | Waushara | 80 | 1 | \$95,000 |
| <i>Langlade</i> | 60 | 3 | \$40,000 | Winnebago | 25 | 4 | \$25,000 |
| Lincoln | 20 | 0 | NA | Wood | 45 | 4 | \$35,000 |
| Manitowoc | 55 | 3 | \$55,000 | | 55 | 3 | \$54,000 |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | \$ 2,086,732 |
| County Name in Italics = County transferred funds awarded in prior grant year NA= County did not apply for SEG funds | | | | Shaded award amounts = County awarded the amount of its request, which was less than the maximum grant award | | | |

DNR ALLOCATIONS

DNR's portion of this final allocation provides funding to counties through three programs:

- 1) Targeted Runoff Management (TRM),
- 2) Notice of Discharge (NOD), and
- 3) Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Construction (UNPS-Planning).

Table B shows the final allocation to each county grantee for TRM and UNPS-Planning. Additionally, NOD reserves are established as specific county allocations are unknown at this time.

FUNDING SOURCES

Allocations for TRM projects and NOD projects are from bond revenue appropriated under s. 20.866(2)(f), Wis. Stats., and Federal Clean Water Act Section 319.

Allocations to counties for UNPS-Construction projects, when requested, are from bond revenue appropriated under s. 20.866(2)(h), Wis. Stats.

Allocations to counties for UNPS-Planning projects, when requested, are from segregated funds appropriated under s. 20.370(6)(dq), Wis. Stats.

Note: DNR will also provide TRM grants and UNPS-Planning grants to non-county grantees. Wisconsin Statutes do not require that non-county grantees be listed in this allocation plan.

- For all grant programs, funds will be considered "committed" when a grantee has returned to the DNR a signed copy of the grant agreement.
- For the TRM program, grant agreements not signed by the deadline may be rescinded by DNR, and the associated grant funds may be awarded to other eligible projects in rank order based on project scores. If, for any reason, funds committed through this allocation plan become available after

March 31, 2020, these funds may be held to fund projects selected in the next grant cycle.

1. TRM Final Allocation

The DNR allocates up to \$2,697,610 to counties for cost sharing of TRM projects during calendar year 2020. This amount is adequate to fully fund the estimated state share of all seven eligible county Small-Scale TRM applications. Additionally, this amount is adequate to fully fund the estimated state share for the two eligible county Large-Scale TRM applications. As shown in Chart 1, there are no unmet needs for county TRM projects.

The maximum cost-share amount that can be awarded for a single Small-Scale TRM project is \$225,000. The maximum cost-share amount that can be awarded for a single Large-Scale TRM project is \$1,000,000.

TRM allocations made through this plan will be reimbursed to grantees during calendar years 2020 through 2021 for Small-Scale projects and through 2022 for Large-Scale projects. Project applications are screened, scored, and ranked in accordance with s. 281.65(4c), Wis. Stats. Adjustments to grant award amounts may occur to account for eligibility of project components, cost-share rates, or ch. NR 151 enforcement action at the time that DNR negotiates the actual grant agreement with an applicant.

2. UNPS Final Allocation

CONSTRUCTION. UNPS-Construction grant applications were not solicited in 2019 for the 2020 award cycle. DNR has implemented an alternating schedule for both UNPS-Planning and UNPS-Construction grants. The UNPS-Construction grant application will be available in early 2020 for calendar year 2021 awards.

PLANNING. There were two county applicants for UNPS-Planning grants for the 2020 award cycle. Table B contains a lump-sum allocation of \$64,730 for the higher ranked of these two applications. The DNR will not solicit UNPS-Planning grant applications in 2020. These

grants will next be available in 2021 for 2022 grant awards. The maximum cost-share amount that can be awarded for a UNPS-Planning grant is \$85,000.

The DNR will also provide UNPS-Planning grants to non-county applicants. Wisconsin Statutes do not require that non-county grantees be listed in this allocation plan.

The UNPS-Planning awards made through this plan will be reimbursed to grantees during calendar years 2020 and 2021. Project applications have been screened, scored, and ranked in accordance with s. 281.66, Wis. Stats.

3. Notice of Discharge Program

A. Background

DNR issues notices of discharge (NOD) and notices of intent (NOI) under ch. NR 243, Wis. Adm. Code; this code regulates animal feeding operations. DNR has authority under s. 281.65(4e), Wis. Stats., to provide grant assistance for NOD and NOI projects outside the competitive TRM process. DNR is authorized to award grants to governmental units, which in turn enter into cost-share agreements with landowners that have received an NOD or NOI.

Cost-share assistance is provided to landowners to meet the regulatory requirements of an NOD issued under ch. NR 243, Wis. Adm. Code. In some cases, cost-share assistance must be offered before enforcement action can be taken. In other cases, DNR is not required to provide cost sharing but may do so at its discretion. DNR has several permitting and enforcement options available under ch. NR 243 if landowners should fail to meet the conditions of an NOD.

B. NOD Final Allocation

This Final Allocation Plan establishes a reserve of \$1,500,000 of bond revenue for NOD projects during calendar year 2020. The reserve includes funds for structural practices in eligible locations. DNR may use its

discretion to increase this reserve, if needed, and if funds are available. To receive an NOD grant, a governmental unit must submit an application to DNR that describes a specific project and includes documentation that an NOD or NOI has either already been issued or will be issued by DNR concurrent with the grant award. Once DNR issues a grant to the governmental unit to address an NOD or NOI, DNR will designate a portion of the NOD reserve specifically for that project.

Since DATCP also administers funds to correct NOIs, DNR and DATCP will consult on each NOD application to ensure that the two agencies are making the most efficient use of the available funds to address these problem sites.

DNR will require that county grantees commit funds to a cost-share agreement with the landowner within a time-frame that is consistent with the compliance schedule in the NOD. The county grantee shall use the grant award to reimburse the landowner for costs incurred during the grant period, which may extend beyond calendar year 2020. If the landowner fails to install practices listed in the cost-share agreement within the timeframe identified in the NOD, DNR will terminate its grant with the county, leaving the landowner to correct the problems identified in the NOD without the benefit of state cost sharing.

Fund balances from terminated NOD grants and projects completed under budget may be returned to the NOD reserve account and made available to other NOD applicants. Reserve funds remaining at the end of calendar year 2020 may either be carried over for the calendar year 2021 NOD reserve account or may be allocated for calendar year 2020 or 2021 TRM projects.

DNR and DATCP issue a joint report annually to the LWCB on progress in administering NOD funds.

The DATCP portion of the final plan updates the Funds Available section of the SEG Fund Allocation to include a brief listing of statewide priority focuses if funding is made available.

The DNR's portion of the final plan includes the following changes from the preliminary allocation plan:

- Updated Charts 1 and 2 to reflect currently available funding to County projects.
- Updated Tables B and C in the final plan to reflect DNR's funding decisions for county TRM and UNPS grant applications.

DATCP has determined that the action described in this allocation plan for the 2020 soil and water resource management grant program shown in Table A conforms to the applicable DATCP provisions of s. 92.14, Wis. Stats, and ATCP 50, Wis. Administrative Code. DATCP reserves the right to reallocate grant funds unexpended by recipients.

Dated this 10 day of October, 2019

STATE OF WISCONSIN
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, TRADE
AND CONSUMER PROTECTION


Bradley Pfaff, Secretary

DNR has determined that the actions described in this allocation plan for the 2020 allocations of DNR funds shown in Table B conforms with the provisions of ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats.

Dated this 14 day of October, 2019

STATE OF WISCONSIN
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES


Preston D. Cole, Secretary