

2019 JOINT FINAL ALLOCATION PLAN

Soil and Water Resource Management Grant Program and Nonpoint Source Program

The allocations identified in this plan provide counties and others with grant funding for conservation staff and support costs, landowner cost-sharing, and runoff management projects. The Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP) and the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) are making these allocations to protect Wisconsin's soil and water resources, consistent with the objectives in chs. 92 and 281, Wis. Stats.

DATCP is allocating grants to county land conservation committees (counties) and other project cooperators in 2019 through the Soil and Water Resource Management Program (Table A).

DNR is allocating grants to counties through the Targeted Runoff Management (TRM), the

NR 243 Notice of Discharge (NOD), and Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Construction (UNPS-Construction) programs (Table B).

For 2019, a total of \$20,929,915 is allocated based on the state budget for the 2017-19 biennium. Table C summarizes all allocations, by grantee. Organized by funding category, Chart 1 below summarizes grant fund requests, unmet funding requests, and allocation amounts. Chart 2 below shows the allocation categories by funding sources.

If required, these allocations may be adjusted based on reductions or lapses in appropriations or authorizations.

Chart 1: Grant Requests and Allocations

Funding Category	Total Requests	Unmet Requests	Allocation Amounts
DATCP ALLOCATIONS			
County Staff/Support	\$16,901,136	\$7,937,036	\$8,964,100
County LWRM Cost-Share (B)	\$7,631,750	\$4,176,750	\$3,455,000
Bond Cost-Share Reserve (B)	\$300,000	\$0	\$300,000
LWRM Cost-Share (SEG)	\$3,082,116	\$847,640	\$2,234,476
Project Contracts (SEG)	\$664,194	\$46,194	\$618,000
NMFE Training Grants (SEG)	\$182,524	\$0	\$182,524
SUBTOTAL	\$28,761,720	\$13,007,620	\$15,754,100
DNR ALLOCATIONS			
UNPS Planning	NA	NA	NA
UNPS Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0
TRM Construction	\$ 3,800,077	\$124,262	\$3,675,815
NOD Reserve (B)			\$ 1,500,000
SUBTOTAL	\$ 3,800,077	\$ 124,262	\$ 5,175,815
TOTAL			\$20,929,915

Abbreviations Used Above:

LWRM = Land & Water Resource Management Plan Implementation
 SEG = Segregated Revenue
 NA = Not Applicable or Available
 TRM = Targeted Runoff Management
 UNPS = Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Management
 B = Bond Revenue
 CP = Cropping Practices

Chart 2: Funding Sources

Staff and Support Grants

\$ 5,936,900 DATCP SEG from s. 20.115(7)(qe)

\$ 3,027,200 DATCP GPR from s. 20.115(7)(c)

\$ 8,964,100 DATCP Subtotal

\$ 86,000 DNR SEG from s. 20.370(6)(dq)

\$ 347,208 DNR Sec. 319 Account (federal)

\$ 433,208 DNR Subtotal

\$ 9,397,308 TOTAL Staff & Support Grants

Cost-Share Grants

\$ 3,455,000 DATCP Bond from s. 20.866(2)(we)

\$ 300,000 DATCP Bond (Reserve) from s. 20.866(2)(we)

\$ 2,234,476 DATCP SEG from s. 20.115(7)(qf)

\$ 5,989,476 DATCP Subtotal

\$ 4,019,647 DNR Bond Revenue from s. 20.866(2)(tf)

\$ 14,000 DNR SEG from s. 20.370(6)(aq)

\$ 708,960 DNR Sec. 319 Account (federal)

\$ 4,742,607 DNR Subtotal

\$10,732,083 TOTAL Cost-Share Grants

Nutrient Management Farmer Education (NMFE) & Other Project Cooperator (OPC) Grants

\$ 182,524 DATCP SEG (NMFE) from s. 20.115(7)(qf)

\$ 618,000 DATCP SEG (OPC) from s. 20.115(7)(qf)

\$ 800,524 TOTAL NMFE & Other Grants

\$20,929,915 GRAND TOTAL

Table A: DATCP Allocations

County	DATCP Staffing & Support Allocation	LWRM Plan Implementation Allocation		Total DATCP Allocation	County	DATCP Staffing & Support Allocation	LWRM Plan Implementation Allocation		Total DATCP Allocation
		Bond Cost-Sharing	SEG Cost-Sharing				Bond Cost-Sharing	SEG Cost-Sharing	
Adams	116,671	39,400	20,000	176,071	Oconto	139,166	60,000	0	199,166
Ashland	100,021	59,475	20,000	179,496	Oneida	94,591	46,475	0	141,066
Barron	123,651	66,750	40,000	230,401	Outagamie	170,329	60,750	75,000	306,079
Bayfield	108,687	60,900	40,000	209,587	Ozaukee	147,488	67,163	50,400	265,051
Brown	144,209	39,250	8,000	191,459	Pepin	123,232	40,000	40,000	203,232
Buffalo	108,727	54,250	20,000	182,977	Pierce	134,932	52,250	30,000	217,182
Burnett	102,353	25,000	22,000	149,353	Polk	157,613	27,250	0	184,863
Calumet	136,568	47,900	40,000	224,468	Portage	144,022	66,750	0	210,772
Chippewa	173,220	49,750	55,716	278,686	Price	87,502	35,400	0	122,902
Clark	116,341	54,250	80,000	250,591	Racine	134,085	67,163	45,000	246,248
Columbia	145,737	73,013	103,680	322,430	Richland	92,863	55,750	28,000	176,613
Crawford	101,146	51,750	14,000	166,896	Rock	156,474	60,513	75,000	291,987
Dane	174,201	45,250	75,000	294,451	Rusk	88,526	39,400	45,000	172,926
Dodge	133,254	29,250	10,000	172,504	Saint Croix	130,051	35,000	20,000	185,051
Door	139,936	51,900	28,000	219,836	Sauk	131,289	73,013	60,000	264,302
Douglas	114,013	13,400	0	127,413	Sawyer	87,007	40,000	8,000	135,007
Dunn	162,747	45,250	28,000	235,997	Shawano	114,972	31,250	20,000	166,222
Eau Claire	139,925	40,250	60,000	240,175	Sheboygan	140,635	60,750	20,000	221,385
Florence	75,000	50,475	0	125,475	Taylor	109,754	71,013	40,000	220,767
Fond du Lac	143,463	40,000	40,000	223,463	Trempealeau	120,768	66,750	60,000	247,518
Forest	83,857	11,975	0	95,832	Vernon	126,672	45,250	60,000	231,922
Grant	99,306	60,513	0	159,819	Vilas	112,572	21,975	0	134,547
Green	137,314	62,750	40,000	240,064	Walworth	142,772	55,750	20,000	218,522
Green Lake	138,388	60,900	28,000	227,288	Washburn	99,768	39,400	4,000	143,168
Iowa	113,219	45,250	60,000	218,469	Washington	124,610	48,400	10,000	183,010
Iron	102,925	40,000	0	142,925	Waukesha	166,794	41,900	0	208,694
Jackson	130,364	71,013	20,000	221,377	Waupaca	128,012	64,750	75,000	267,762
Jefferson	173,385	25,250	14,000	212,635	Waushara	124,768	50,000	25,000	199,768
Juneau	125,099	40,000	25,000	190,099	Winnebago	151,983	48,400	45,000	245,383
Kenosha	128,606	39,400	20,000	188,006	Wood	132,364	58,513	54,000	244,877
Kewaunee	133,201	55,750	20,000	208,951	Reserve		300,000		300,000
LaCrosse	157,839	48,400	20,000	226,239	SUBTOTAL	\$8,964,100	\$3,755,000	\$2,234,476	\$14,953,576
Lafayette	94,068	53,750	15,000	162,818					
Langlade	90,476	47,900	40,000	178,376					
Lincoln	83,481	13,400	0	96,881	OTHER PROJECT COOPERATOR (OPC) FUNDING				
Manitowoc	149,699	52,250	75,000	276,949	UW-CALS			390,000	
Marathon	138,908	78,250	103,680	320,838	Nutrient Management Farmer Education (NMFE)			182,524	
Marinette	120,678	51,900	45,000	217,578	WI Land + Water (WLWCA)			189,500	
Marquette	127,341	39,400	45,000	211,741	Standard Oversight Council (SOC)			35,000	
Menominee	75,000	20,000	0	95,000	Conservation Observance Day			3,500	
Milwaukee	75,000	20,000	0	95,000	SUBTOTAL				\$800,524
Monroe	110,462	48,513	50,000	208,975	TOTAL	\$8,964,100	\$3,755,000	\$3,035,000	\$15,754,100

Table A-1: Staff and Support Tier 1, Tier 2, Rounds One, Two and Three

County	Tier 2										DATCP Staffing & Support Allocation
	Tier 1 Base Allocation	First Position at 100% (Round 1)	Round 1 Award	Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1)	Second Position at 70% (Round 2)	Eligible Round 2 Award	Round 2 Award at (64% of 70%)	Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1 & 2)	Third Position at 50% (Round 3)	Round 3 Award Funds Available	
Adams	75,000	82,475	7,475	82,475	53,745	53,745	34,196	116,671	30,693	0	116,671
Ashland	75,000	67,657	0	75,000	46,669	46,669	25,021	100,021	7,663	0	100,021
Barron	75,000	86,005	11,005	86,005	59,168	59,168	37,646	123,651	38,983	0	123,651
Bayfield	75,000	78,877	3,877	78,877	46,852	46,852	29,810	108,687	14,238	0	108,687
Brown	75,000	103,640	28,640	103,640	63,762	63,762	40,569	144,209	40,027	0	144,209
Buffalo	75,000	77,580	2,580	77,580	48,954	48,954	31,147	108,727	27,279	0	108,727
Burnett	75,000	70,229	0	75,000	47,762	47,762	27,353	102,353	24,370	0	102,353
Calumet	75,000	96,862	21,862	96,862	62,406	62,406	39,706	136,568	43,707	0	136,568
Chippewa	75,000	122,381	47,381	122,381	79,903	79,903	50,839	173,220	49,202	0	173,220
Clark	75,000	78,463	3,463	78,463	59,532	59,532	37,878	116,341	33,986	0	116,341
Columbia	75,000	110,501	35,501	110,501	55,380	55,380	35,236	145,737	39,499	0	145,737
Crawford	75,000	67,422	0	75,000	48,671	48,671	26,146	101,146	24,773	0	101,146
Dane	75,000	122,944	47,944	122,944	80,561	80,561	51,257	174,201	56,736	0	174,201
Dodge	75,000	95,321	20,321	95,321	59,619	59,619	37,933	133,254	37,262	0	133,254
Door	75,000	97,119	22,119	97,119	67,296	67,296	42,817	139,936	43,712	0	139,936
Douglas	75,000	83,240	8,240	83,240	48,366	48,366	30,773	114,013	887	0	114,013
Dunn	75,000	120,246	45,246	120,246	66,798	66,798	42,501	162,747	46,279	0	162,747
Eau Claire	75,000	100,165	25,165	100,165	62,500	62,500	39,766	139,931	40,715	0	139,925
Florence	75,000	55,889	0	75,000	7,519	7,519	0	75,000	9,693	0	75,000
Fond du Lac	75,000	101,561	26,561	101,561	65,857	65,857	41,902	143,463	44,137	0	143,463
Forest	75,000	65,104	0	75,000	23,817	23,817	8,857	83,857	2,428	0	83,857
Grant	75,000	68,497	0	75,000	44,705	44,705	24,306	99,306	31,906	0	99,306
Green	75,000	105,674	30,674	105,674	49,729	49,729	31,640	137,314	34,295	0	137,314
Green Lake	75,000	98,232	23,232	98,232	63,113	63,113	40,156	138,388	42,248	0	138,388
Iowa	75,000	84,793	9,793	84,793	44,677	44,677	28,426	113,219	22,215	0	113,219
Iron	75,000	71,619	0	75,000	47,271	47,271	27,925	102,925	5,555	0	102,925
Jackson	75,000	93,038	18,038	93,038	58,665	58,665	37,326	130,364	48,001	0	130,364
Jefferson	75,000	130,220	55,220	130,220	67,842	67,842	43,165	173,385	12,500	0	173,385
Juneau	75,000	90,825	15,825	90,825	53,869	53,869	34,274	125,099	12,500	0	125,099
Kenosha	75,000	109,557	34,557	109,557	29,940	29,940	19,049	128,606	13,529	0	128,606
Kewaunee	75,000	96,121	21,121	96,121	58,279	58,279	37,080	133,201	35,487	0	133,201
LaCrosse	75,000	114,495	39,495	114,495	68,123	68,123	43,344	157,839	48,659	0	157,839
Lafayette	75,000	68,822	0	75,000	36,147	36,147	19,068	94,068	23,380	0	94,068
Langlade	75,000	75,455	455	75,455	23,608	23,608	15,021	90,476	7,561	0	90,476
Lincoln	75,000	76,564	1,564	76,564	10,872	10,872	6,917	83,481	5,000	0	83,481
Manitowoc	75,000	104,949	29,949	104,949	70,333	70,333	44,750	149,699	50,179	0	149,699

Table A-1: Staff and Support Tier 1, Tier 2, Rounds One, Two and Three

County	Tier 2										DATCP Staffing & Support Allocation
	Tier 1 Base Allocation	First Position at 100% (Round 1)	Round 1 Award	Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1)	Second Position at 70% (Round 2)	Eligible Round 2 Award	Round 2 Award at (64% of 70%)	Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1 & 2)	Third Position at 50% (Round 3)	Round 3 Award No Funds Available	
Marathon	75,000	96,143	21,143	96,143	67,213	67,213	42,765	138,908	47,517	0	138,908
Marquette	75,000	81,306	6,306	81,306	61,874	61,874	39,372	120,678	39,047	0	120,678
Marquette	75,000	94,153	19,153	94,153	52,161	52,161	33,188	127,341	14,335	0	127,341
Menominee	75,000	0	0	75,000	54,401	54,401	0	75,000	6,954	0	75,000
Milwaukee	75,000	0	0	75,000	47,234	47,234	0	75,000	15,937	0	75,000
Monroe	75,000	82,929	7,929	82,929	43,273	43,273	27,533	110,462	22,369	0	110,462
Ontonio	75,000	100,429	25,429	100,429	60,883	60,883	38,737	139,166	32,732	0	139,166
Oneida	75,000	66,714	0	75,000	39,077	30,791	19,591	94,591	7,352	0	94,591
Outagamie	75,000	123,490	48,490	123,490	73,617	73,617	46,839	170,329	43,931	0	170,329
Ozaukee	75,000	106,029	31,029	106,029	65,161	65,161	41,459	147,488	39,285	0	147,488
Pepin	75,000	90,707	15,707	90,707	51,120	51,120	32,525	123,232	23,703	0	123,232
Pierce	75,000	93,463	18,463	93,463	65,176	65,176	41,469	134,932	41,344	0	134,932
Polk	75,000	110,298	35,298	110,298	74,365	74,365	47,315	157,613	42,526	0	157,613
Portage	75,000	105,620	30,620	105,620	60,357	60,357	38,402	144,022	40,584	0	144,022
Price	75,000	57,404	0	75,000	37,245	19,649	12,502	87,502	9,190	0	87,502
Racine	75,000	95,802	20,802	95,802	60,169	60,169	38,283	134,085	34,107	0	134,085
Richland	75,000	63,247	0	75,000	39,828	28,075	17,863	92,863	22,240	0	92,863
Rock	75,000	117,367	42,367	117,367	61,464	61,464	39,107	156,474	43,893	0	156,474
Rusk	75,000	56,925	0	75,000	39,333	21,258	13,526	88,526	8,105	0	88,526
Saint Croix	75,000	89,530	14,530	89,530	63,686	63,686	40,521	130,051	34,558	0	130,051
Sauk	75,000	95,282	20,282	95,282	56,592	56,592	36,007	131,289	34,700	0	131,289
Sawyer	75,000	58,468	0	75,000	35,403	18,871	12,007	87,007	19,325	0	87,007
Shawano	75,000	82,451	7,451	82,451	51,113	51,113	32,521	114,972	32,013	0	114,972
Sheboygan	75,000	102,921	27,921	102,921	59,275	59,275	37,714	140,635	38,478	0	140,635
Taylor	75,000	75,072	72	75,072	54,510	54,510	34,682	109,754	29,132	0	109,754
Trempealeau	75,000	74,713	0	75,000	72,220	71,933	45,768	120,768	32,715	0	120,768
Vernon	75,000	97,006	22,006	97,006	46,626	46,626	29,666	126,672	30,821	0	126,672
Vilas	75,000	83,555	8,555	83,555	45,606	45,606	29,017	112,572	26,775	0	112,572
Walworth	75,000	99,608	24,608	99,608	67,841	67,841	43,164	142,772	46,292	0	142,772
Washburn	75,000	76,030	1,030	76,030	37,311	37,311	23,738	99,768	7,858	0	99,768
Washington	75,000	91,776	16,776	91,776	51,605	51,605	32,834	124,610	33,220	0	124,610
Waukesha	75,000	120,172	45,172	120,172	73,275	73,275	46,622	166,794	42,897	0	166,794
Waupaca	75,000	89,617	14,617	89,617	60,346	60,346	38,395	128,012	41,046	0	128,012
Waushara	75,000	90,286	15,286	90,286	54,195	54,195	34,482	124,768	38,390	0	124,768
Winnebago	75,000	113,226	38,226	113,226	60,915	60,915	38,757	151,983	43,088	0	151,983
Wood	75,000	103,908	28,908	103,908	44,724	44,724	28,456	132,364	31,698	0	132,364
Totals	5,400,000	6,358,189	1,245,479	6,645,479	3,871,504	3,644,171	2,318,627	8,964,106	2,134,941	0	8,964,100

Table B: DNR Allocations

County	Targeted Runoff Mgmt. BMP Construction	Local Assistance Funding for "Large Scale"	Urban NPS & Storm Water Mgmt. BMP Construction	Urban NPS & Storm Water Mgmt. Planning	Total DNR Allocations
Adams	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ashland	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Barron	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bayfield	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Brown	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buffalo	\$150,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$150,000
Burnett	\$150,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$150,000
Calumet	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Chippewa	\$105,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$105,000
Clark	\$50,400	\$121,074	\$0	\$0	\$171,474
Columbia	\$150,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$150,000
Crawford	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Dane	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Dodge	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Door	\$408,618	\$40,862	\$0	\$0	\$449,480
Douglas	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Dunn	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Eau Claire	\$215,499	\$135,225	\$0	\$0	\$350,724
Florence	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Fond du Lac	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Forest	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Grant	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Green	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Green Lake	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Iowa	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Iron	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Jackson	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Jefferson	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Juneau	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$100,000
Kenosha	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Kewaunee	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
LaCrosse	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lafayette	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Langlade	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lincoln	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Manitowoc	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Table B: DNR Allocations

County	Targeted Runoff Mgmt. BMP Construction	Local Assistance Funding for "Large Scale"	Urban NPS & Storm Water Mgmt. BMP Construction	Urban NPS & Storm Water Mgmt. Planning	Total DNR Allocations
Marathon	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Marinette	\$300,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300,000
Marquette	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Menominee	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Milwaukee	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Monroe	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Oconto	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Oneida	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Outagamie	\$909,090	\$90,909	\$0	\$0	\$999,999
Ozaukee	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Pepin	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Pierce	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Polk	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Portage	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Price	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Racine	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Richland	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rock	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rusk	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Saint Croix	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sauk	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sawyer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Shawano	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sheboygan	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Taylor	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Trempealeau	\$644,000	\$45,138	\$0	\$0	\$689,138
Vernon	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Vilas	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Walworth	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Washburn	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Washington	\$60,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$60,000
Waukesha	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Waupaca	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Waushara	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Winnebago	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Wood	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TRM & UNPS Reserves	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
DNR NR243 NOD Reserve					\$1,500,000
Total	\$3,242,607	\$433,208	\$0	\$0	\$5,175,815

Table C: Summary of DATCP and DNR Allocations

County	Staffing & Support from DATCP and DNR	Cost-Sharing from DATCP and DNR	Total Allocation of DATCP and DNR Funding	County	Staffing & Support from DATCP and DNR	Cost-Sharing from DATCP and DNR	Total Allocation of DATCP and DNR Funding
Adams	116,671	59,400	176,071	Oconto	139,166	60,000	199,166
Ashland	100,021	79,475	179,496	Oneida	94,591	46,475	141,066
Barron	123,651	106,750	230,401	Outagamie	261,238	1,044,840	1,306,078
Bayfield	108,687	100,900	209,587	Ozaukee	147,488	117,563	265,051
Brown	144,209	47,250	191,459	Pepin	123,232	80,000	203,232
Buffalo	108,727	224,250	332,977	Pierce	134,932	82,250	217,182
Burnett	102,353	197,000	299,353	Polk	157,613	27,250	184,863
Calumet	136,568	87,900	224,468	Portage	144,022	66,750	210,772
Chippewa	173,220	210,466	383,686	Price	87,502	35,400	122,902
Clark	237,415	184,650	422,065	Racine	134,085	112,163	246,248
Columbia	145,737	326,693	472,430	Richland	92,863	83,750	176,613
Crawford	101,146	65,750	166,896	Rock	156,474	135,513	291,987
Dane	174,201	120,250	294,451	Rusk	88,526	84,400	172,926
Dodge	133,254	39,250	172,504	Saint Croix	130,051	55,000	185,051
Door	180,798	488,518	669,316	Sauk	131,289	133,013	264,302
Douglas	114,013	13,400	127,413	Sawyer	87,007	48,000	135,007
Dunn	162,747	73,250	235,997	Shawano	114,972	51,250	166,222
Eau Claire	275,150	315,749	590,899	Sheboygan	140,635	80,750	221,385
Florence	75,000	50,475	125,475	Taylor	109,754	111,013	220,767
Fond du Lac	143,463	80,000	223,463	Trempealeau	165,906	770,750	936,656
Forest	83,857	11,975	95,832	Vernon	126,672	105,250	231,922
Grant	99,306	60,513	159,819	Vilas	112,572	21,975	134,547
Green	137,314	102,750	240,064	Walworth	142,772	75,750	218,522
Green Lake	138,388	88,900	227,288	Washburn	99,768	43,400	143,168
Iowa	113,219	105,250	218,469	Washington	124,610	118,400	243,010
Iron	102,925	40,000	142,925	Waukesha	166,794	41,900	208,694
Jackson	130,364	91,013	221,377	Waupaca	128,012	139,750	267,762
Jefferson	173,385	39,250	212,635	Waushara	124,768	75,000	199,768
Juneau	125,099	165,000	290,099	Winnebago	151,983	93,400	245,383
Kenosha	128,606	59,400	188,006	Wood	132,364	112,513	244,877
Kewaunee	133,201	75,750	208,951	DATCP Reserve		300,000	300,000
LaCrosse	157,839	68,400	226,239	DNR NR243 Res.		1,500,000	1,500,000
Lafayette	94,068	68,750	162,818	SubTotals	\$9,397,308	\$10,732,083	\$20,129,391
Lafayette	94,068	68,750	162,818				
Langlade	90,476	87,900	178,376	OTHER PROJECT FUNDING:			
Lincoln	83,481	13,400	96,881				
Manitowoc	149,699	127,250	276,949				
Marathon	138,908	181,930	320,838	UW CALS		390,000	
Marinette	120,678	396,900	517,578	NMFE		182,524	
Marquette	127,341	84,400	211,741	WLWCA/SOC		224,500	
Menominee	75,000	20,000	95,000	Conservation Observation Day		3,500	
Milwaukee	75,000	20,000	95,000	SUBTOTAL			800,524
Monroe	110,462	98,513	208,975	TOTAL			\$20,929,915

DATCP ALLOCATIONS

1. Staff and Support

The allocation under this category provides county staff and support funding. Grants are awarded consistent with the terms of the 2019 grant application and instructions located at: https://datcp.wi.gov/Pages/Programs_Services/SWRMSect6.aspx

A. Funds Available

The allocation amount listed on page one consists of annual appropriations of \$3,027,200 in GPR funds and \$5,936,900 in SEG funds "for support of local land conservation personnel under the soil and water resource management program." DATCP has no underspending from prior years to increase this allocation.

B. Grant Awards

Grants are awarded on the following formula:

Tier 1

DATCP is exercising its discretion under s. ATCP 50.32(5) to award each county a \$75,000 base grant.

Tier 2

DATCP will allocate the remaining \$3,564,100 using a modified version of the formula designed to meet the goal in s. 92.14(6)(b), Wis. Stats., of funding 100, 70 and 50 percent of the costs of three staff positions in each county. As modified, the formula allows counties to claim department heads, technicians and engineers as their first positions (entitled to 100 percent funding) only if they work over 95% on eligible conservation activities.

DATCP makes Tier 2 awards in three rounds in an attempt to meet the statutory goal. For round one, DATCP can fully fund county requests for their first position at the 100% rate. However, for round two, DATCP can only fund about 64% of the county requests for

their second position at the 70% rate. DATCP has no funding to make awards in round three to fund a county's third position at the 50% rate. Table A-1 (pages 3 and 4) provides round-by-round details of the Tier 2 allocation for each county.

Unmet Need for Staff and Support Funds

Despite an increase in appropriations, DATCP would need an additional \$3.4 million in appropriations to reach the goal in s. 92.14(6)(b), Wis. Stats. At current funding levels, counties shoulder a large portion of the burden of staffing costs, providing resources to pay 206 of the 355 conservation staff employed statewide.

Reallocation and Redirection

DATCP approves Menominee County's request to reallocate up to \$8,000 to the Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin on the condition that county provides a report on the use of the reallocated funds.

Future Funding Directions

Historically DATCP's staffing grants have been awarded based on county need as documented by recent expenditures for staff. In the past four years, DATCP initiated changes in funding staff that account in some manner for county performance. As part of the 2015 allocation plan, DATCP began to limit its 100 percent funding for a county's first position to staff who actively carry out conservation efforts; namely, county conservationists or department heads, technicians and engineers who work full-time (defined as over 95 percent) on conservation activities. This modification was intended to encourage counties to build their staff capacity to better deliver cost-share, farmland preservation and other conservation programs. During the same period, DATCP tightened requirements for annual work planning and reporting, which are conditions for DATCP funding. DATCP adopted these accountability measures as a funding prerequisite to secure better documentation of planned conservation activities (including

anticipated outcomes). In the event of future actions to link grant funding and county performance, it may make sense to create incentives for counties to hire dedicated conservation professionals. For example, DATCP could look at different levels of the Tier 1 base allocation to reward counties for hiring a full-time county conservationists or staff specializing in nutrient management planning. Approaching the issue from the standpoint of cost-share expenditures, DATCP could consider rewarding counties that have track records of spending high levels of cost-sharing. In moving forward, DATCP will proceed with caution, mindful of the challenges in tinkering with the grant formula at a time when resources are inadequate to meet our statutory goal, and aware of the need to consult with the counties and the LWCB.

2. Bond Revenue Cost-Sharing

The allocations under this category provide cost-sharing to resolve discharges on farms (awarded to counties from a reserve), and provide counties grants for landowner cost-sharing. Unless otherwise noted below, grants are awarded consistent with the terms of the 2019 grant application and instructions (see page 8 for the link to these documents).

A. Bond Funds Available

The allocation amount listed on page one consists of \$3.5 million (half of DATCP's \$7.0 million authorization in the 2017-19 budget), with the following adjustment:

- Increase the amount by \$255,000 using unspent bond funds previously allocated.

B. Grant Awards

Bond Reserve Projects

DATCP will allocate \$300,000 to a reserve for the purpose of funding projects to address discharges on farms including regulatory animal waste response (NR 243) projects approved in cooperation with DNR. DATCP has scaled back its reserve to reflect changes

in demand for the funds. These funds are awarded using separate processes: (1) selection based on a separate application, <http://dnr.wi.gov/Aid/NOD.html>, for farm projects issued a notice of discharge or notice of intent, (2) a recommendation from DATCP engineering staff concerning a farm discharge, especially to address increased costs for managing runoff from feedlots and feed storage.

Landowner Cost-Sharing

After setting aside a \$300,000 reserve, DATCP will allocate \$3,455,000 to counties for landowner cost-sharing. DATCP makes county awards by first providing base funding, and then awarding funds based on criteria related to county performance and need. This approach is designed to better meet the statewide priorities set in s. ATCP 50.30(2) including the need to address farms with water quality issues and support farmer participation in the farmland preservation program (FPP). After providing each county \$10,000 in base funding, DATCP awards the remaining \$2,735,000 using two performance-based criteria (a 3-year record of cumulative spending of cost-share funds, and a 3-year of average of 20% or less underspending of cost-share funds) and two needs-based criteria (farmland acres based on 2012 USDA Ag Census data and base adjustment to help counties receive funding closer to their requests). In calculating the counties' performance based awards, DATCP will no longer include NOD/NOI awards with the exception of a transition period in the 2019 Allocation Plan.

Table A-2 (page 13) shows each county's total award amount and the factors that contributed to the county's award.

Unmet Need for Bond Cost-Share Funds

DATCP's allocation provided 45% of the funds requested, leaving \$4,176,750 in unsatisfied county requests. This shortfall in bond funds has practical implications for our capacity to implement state and local priorities including farm runoff standards. Of particular concern,

cost-share dollars are not keeping pace with increased costs for conservation practices and expanded priorities reflected in new NR 151 targeted performance standards.

3. SEG Fund Allocation

The allocations under this category provide funding for (1) landowner cost-sharing, (2) farmer and related training involving nutrient management (NM), and (3) NM implementation support and other projects of statewide importance. Unless otherwise noted below, grants are awarded consistent with the terms of the 2019 grant application and instructions (see page 8 for the link to these documents).

A. Funds Available

The allocation amount listed on page one consists of \$3,825,000 appropriation in SEG funds "for cost-sharing grants and contracts under the soil and water resource management program under s. 92.14" with the following adjustments:

- A decrease of \$750,000 as a result of a redirection of funds for producer-led watershed protection grants.
- A decrease of \$40,000 for a reserve to develop a database to track the location and benefits of conservation practices.
- An increase of an unknown amount (held in reserve) derived from unspent funds from the producer-led watershed protection grants.

Of the \$3,035,000 available for allocation, \$2,234,476 will be provided to counties for landowner cost-sharing, \$182,524 will be awarded for farmer NM training, and \$618,000 will be awarded to project cooperators including a \$3,500 award for Conservation Observance Day. The majority of funding awarded in this category directly benefits farmers and other landowners by providing NM cost-sharing and farmer training.

Landowner Cost-Sharing

DATCP provides grants to counties primarily for cost-sharing NM plans at \$10 per acre for

four years, the revised flat rate that covers the costs to meet the 2015 Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) 590 Standard. Some of these funds may be used to cost-share (a) cover crops and other cropping practices to implement a NM plan, and (b) for "hard practices" with DATCP approval if the county's grant contract authorizes such use.

Fifty-seven counties applied for \$3,082,116 in grants, and DATCP will award \$2,234,476 based on the following funding criteria:

- (1) The size of county Agricultural Enterprise Areas (AEAs).
- (2) The extent of impaired waters and beaches.
- (3) The number of NM checklists submitted to DATCP in 2017.
- (4) County acres in farmland.
- (5) Cumulative spending over three years.
- (6) NMFE grants received in 2017 and 2018.

The first two criteria implement the priority identified s. 92.14 (6)(c), Stats., to award funds for projects located in AEAs and those in or near impaired water bodies. Criteria nos. (4) and (6) are new, while criterion no. (5) represents a slight modification to better focus on a county's record of positive spending and not reward counties that transfer funds.

DATCP relies on data in its possession to score county applications based on the six funding categories. Counties are ranked according to their cumulative score (up to 100 points) and are organized into four groups for allocation purposes. Counties receive the highest maximum award for their grouping, unless a county requests a lower amount. The four award groups are as follows:

Group 1 (80-100 points)

Maximum Award: \$103,680

Maximum awards in the group: 2 of 6

Group 2 (65-79 points)

Maximum Award: \$75,000

Maximum awards in group: 4 of 8

Group 3 (50-64 points)

Maximum Award: \$60,000

Maximum awards in group: 4 of 18

Group 4 (less than 50 points)

Maximum Award: \$45,000

Maximum awards in group: 5 of 25

Table A-3 (page 14) enumerates each county's score, grouping, and grant award. The term "N/A" identifies the 15 counties that did not apply for funds. Table A (page 2) also reflects amounts allocated to each county under the "SEG Cost-Sharing" column. Adams, Brown, Calumet, Door, Kewaunee, Manitowoc, and Outagamie Counties qualify to spend up to 50% of 2019 SEG funds on waterways and other bondable practices with DATCP approval.

NMFE Training Grants

For 2019, DATCP will fully fund all requests, in the amounts listed in Table A-4 below.

Organization	Tier	Grant Award
Buffalo Co.	1	\$18,500
Columbia Co.	1	\$6,000
Dane Co.	1	\$12,750
Door Co.	1	\$8,100
Kewaunee Co.	1	\$10,900
Langlade Co.	1	\$10,360
Lincoln Co.	2	\$2,500
Manitowoc Co.	1	\$15,400
NWTC	1	\$9,829
Oconto Co.	1	\$3,475
Ozaukee Co.	2	\$2,500
SWTC	1	\$19,910
Taylor Co. (+ Marathon, Clark, Lincoln, Wood)	1	\$19,800
Trempealeau Co.	1	\$20,000
Vernon Co.	1	\$20,000
Washington Co.	2	\$2,500
Total		\$182,524

All grant recipients must sign a contract with DATCP that incorporates the requirements of s. ATCP 50.35 and commits the project to developing NM plans that meet the 2015 NRCS 590 standards.

Statewide Projects: Nutrient Management Implementation Support, Cooperators

In addition to supporting NMFE training, DATCP uses its SEG appropriation for projects that contribute statewide conservation goals, meeting the following grant priorities in s. ATCP 50.30(3): fund cost-effective activities that address and resolve high priority problems; build a systematic and comprehensive approach to soil erosion and water quality problems; contribute to a coordinated soil and water resource management program and avoid duplication of effort. DATCP has targeted the following areas for funding: nutrient management implementation activities including SnapPlus, support for statewide training of conservation professionals, development of technical standards, and coordinated activities in AEAs and impaired waters.

In the cooperator subcategory of Nutrient Management Implementation Support, DATCP received one application from the UW-Madison College of Agricultural and Life Sciences (UW-CALS) for \$390,000. DATCP will fund the full amount of the UW-CALS request as follows: (1) \$220,000 for maintaining and improving SnapPlus, and (2) \$170,000 for outreach, education and training provided by the Nutrient and Pest Management Program. Funding this project supports tools and information needed by government agencies and farmers to implement the nutrient management standard and the Phosphorus Index.

In the general category of project cooperator, DATCP will provide the following funding. Wisconsin Land and Water Conservation Association (WI Land+Water) is awarded \$189,500, which is significantly less than \$212,175 requested for 2019. The funds are intended to build statewide capacity to deliver and coordinate conservation training including implementation of recommendations of the statewide interagency training committee (SITCOM) and the Producer-Led Watershed Protection Grants Annual Workshop. Funding also supports activities to promote accountability among county conservation programs.

Standards Oversight Council (SOC) is awarded \$35,000 which fairly recognizes the higher costs for maintaining statewide capacity to develop and maintain technical standards for conservation programs.

Up to \$3,500 is awarded to the host county for costs related to Conservation Observance Day. DATCP has raised this award to cover increased event costs.

DATCP will not fund a \$24,019 request to support professional training submitted by University of Wisconsin Extension based on the proposal's limited benefits in supporting DATCP's statewide training goals.

The 2019 cooperator awards are documented in the lower right-hand corner of Table A (page 2). All award recipients are required to sign grant contracts that incorporate the requirements of s. ATCP 50.35, and include significant accountability measures.

Unmet Need for Cost-Share Funding

DATCP will provide about 72% of the SEG funding requested by counties for cost-sharing, which is \$847,640 less than the requested amounts.

Future Funding Directions

While making adjustments to better address fairness of its cost-share awards, DATCP will press forward to identify strategies and funding criteria to advance state priorities.

Faced with limited access and growing demand for cost-sharing, counties are understandably seeking to maximize their funding by raising concerns about the fairness of DATCP's formulae for awarding grants. As discussed in the accompanying Environmental Assessment, DATCP responded to county concerns by (1) revising its treatment of NOI grants in determining county spending of bond cost-share grants, and (2) changing the scoring criteria for nutrient management (NM) cost-sharing to emphasize positive spending.

DATCP will examine changes in its grant criteria to better implement state priorities and make other improvements. Starting with the approach for prioritization of NM cost-sharing required by s. 92.14 (6)(c), DATCP needs to determine the process for updating 2016 data on impaired waters and beaches and may make refinements to account for acres under FPP agreements within AEAs. DATCP will evaluate other aspects of its SEG funding formula to better DATCP's goals of promoting NM planning, simplifying administration, and ensuring effective use of grant funds. A major concern involves the number of counties that either do not apply for funding or request amounts lower than the maximum awards. A related concern involves county spending on practices other than NM when many counties have only a third of their cropland acres covered by NM plans. DATCP will look at criteria that increase county incentives to apply for and spend SEG grants on NM plans. DATCP will also consider how existing criteria including scoring reflect county capacity and commitment to cost-share NM plans. For example, DATCP may review whether newer criteria involving farmland acres and NMFE participation accurately gauge a county's capacity and commitment. DATCP will consider simplifying the SEG funding formula, including reducing the number of criteria.

Regarding bond funds, DATCP remains interested in refining its funding formula to better support cost-sharing on farms, while possibly applying the priorities identified in s. 92.14 (6)(c). In reducing the reserve for discharge projects, DATCP is recognizing that its approach may not be the most effective.

Before making major changes, DATCP will engage key stakeholders to develop a workable approach. The counties and producer led groups can share insights on approaches to effectively target cost-sharing and increase farmer participation.

Table A-2: County Bond Cost-Share Awards

County	Bond				County	Bond			
	15-17 Cumulative Average Under-Spending*	2012 Census Acres**	15-17 Cumulative Total Dollars Spent***	Award		15-17 Cumulative Average Under-Spending*	2012 Census Acres**	15-17 Cumulative Total Dollars Spent***	Award
Adams	8%	118,393	\$142,262	\$39,400	Marathon	0%	479,045	\$382,430	\$78,250
Ashland	0%	45,815	\$152,722	\$59,475	Marinette	0%	132,074	\$142,485	\$51,900
Barron	0%	309,750	\$166,360	\$66,750	Marquette	6%	120,185	\$139,902	\$39,400
Bayfield	0%	71,824	\$162,115	\$60,900	<i>Menominee</i>	2%	561	\$35,437	\$20,000
Brown	7%	181,197	\$83,852	\$39,250	<i>Milwaukee</i>	0%	4,563	\$0	\$20,000
Buffalo	2%	305,302	\$161,650	\$54,250	Monroe	11%	337,895	\$246,746	\$48,513
Burnett	1%	83,608	\$45,001	\$25,000	Oconto	0%	189,389	\$165,831	\$60,000
Calumet	1%	142,374	\$120,990	\$47,900	Oneida	0%	34,926	\$84,708	\$46,475
Chippewa	1%	384,621	\$95,762	\$49,750	Outagamie	1%	250,748	\$155,648	\$60,750
Clark	3%	458,221	\$181,925	\$54,250	Ozaukee	0%	64,987	\$227,400	\$67,163
Columbia	0%	307,973	\$221,108	\$73,013	Pepin	0%	103,604	\$135,186	\$40,000
Crawford	1%	216,584	\$117,018	\$51,750	Pierce	2%	245,974	\$193,019	\$52,250
Dane	5%	504,420	\$128,849	\$45,250	<i>Polk</i>	17%	255,917	\$81,516	\$27,250
Dodge	13%	402,041	\$89,191	\$29,250	Portage	0%	278,673	\$178,618	\$66,750
<i>Door</i>	0%	131,955	\$131,530	\$51,900	Price	2%	92,295	\$89,122	\$35,400
Douglas	27%	70,578	\$44,249	\$13,400	Racine	0%	109,964	\$204,491	\$67,163
Dunn	9%	372,259	\$121,725	\$45,250	Richland	0%	227,833	\$144,959	\$55,750
Eau Claire	12%	203,705	\$192,296	\$40,250	Rock	2%	353,793	\$205,591	\$60,513
Florence	0%	13,392	\$141,302	\$50,475	Rusk	7%	133,601	\$142,435	\$39,400
Fond du Lac	8%	315,553	\$119,963	\$40,000	Saint Croix	2%	267,685	\$100,437	\$35,000
<i>Forest</i>	22%	30,258	\$32,719	\$11,975	Sauk	0%	332,649	\$203,269	\$73,013
Grant	6%	587,587	\$227,657	\$60,513	Sawyer	1%	43,554	\$108,533	\$40,000
Green	1%	302,295	\$178,750	\$62,750	Shawano	17%	261,141	\$108,630	\$31,250
Green Lake	0%	154,595	\$185,742	\$60,900	Sheboygan	1%	190,155	\$183,615	\$60,750
Iowa	8%	350,813	\$124,529	\$45,250	Taylor	0%	217,012	\$248,746	\$71,013
Iron	0%	10,207	\$89,513	\$40,000	<i>Trempealeau</i>	0%	323,157	\$179,204	\$66,750
Jackson	0%	239,936	\$252,825	\$71,013	Vernon	4%	345,892	\$145,473	\$45,250
<i>Jefferson</i>	13%	227,901	\$61,091	\$25,250	Vilas	11%	6,881	\$93,702	\$21,975
<i>Juneau</i>	0%	180,039	\$160,901	\$40,000	Walworth	0%	187,711	\$149,831	\$55,750
<i>Kenosha</i>	3%	76,632	\$128,848	\$39,400	Washburn	2%	87,387	\$119,767	\$39,400
<i>Kewaunee</i>	0%	176,735	\$148,527	\$55,750	Washington	5%	133,432	\$167,495	\$48,400
LaCrosse	10%	158,718	\$161,616	\$48,400	<i>Waukesha</i>	1%	92,211	\$58,693	\$41,900
<i>Lafayette</i>	1%	368,501	\$128,876	\$53,750	Waupaca	0%	215,330	\$184,908	\$64,750
<i>Langlade</i>	0%	113,881	\$85,647	\$47,900	Waushara	0%	145,210	\$135,721	\$50,000
<i>Lincoln</i>	25%	76,844	\$71,802	\$13,400	Winnebago	8%	155,520	\$167,336	\$48,400
Manitowoc	5%	230,735	\$180,075	\$52,250	Wood	2%	222,730	\$236,614	\$58,513

TOTAL \$3,455,000

Each County was given a base of \$10,000 to help counties receive closer to their requested amount. The following criteria were also applied to finalize a county's BOND award.

*Graduated awards based on 3-yr avg underspending: 0% = \$32,500, 1% = \$28,500, 2- 10% = \$20,000, 11-20% = \$8,000, >20% = \$0.

**Graduated awards based on 2012 Census acres: 275,000 or more=\$8,250, 175,000-274,999=\$6,250, 50,000-174,999=\$2,400, 1001 - 49,999=\$975, <1,000=\$0.

***Graduated awards based on 3-yr cumulative spending: >\$275K = \$27,500, \$200K-\$275K = \$22,262.50, \$150K-\$200K = \$16,000, \$100K-\$150K = \$7,000, \$75K-\$100K = \$3,000, \$25K-\$75K = \$1,000, <\$25,000 = \$0

County Name in Italics = County transferred funds awarded in prior grant year

Shaded award amounts= County awarded the amount of its request, which was less than the maximum grant award.

Table A-3: County SEG Cost-Share Awards

County	Ranking and Award			County	Ranking and Award		
	Score	Grouping	Award		Score	Grouping	Award
<i>Adams</i>	36	4	\$20,000	Marathon	95	1	\$103,680
<i>Ashland</i>	40	4	\$20,000	Marinette	43	4	\$45,000
<i>Barron</i>	45	4	\$40,000	Marquette	43	4	\$45,000
<i>Bayfield</i>	46	4	\$40,000	Menominee	0	0	NA
<i>Brown</i>	51	3	\$8,000	Milwaukee	17	0	NA
<i>Buffalo</i>	50	3	\$20,000	Monroe	68	2	\$50,000
<i>Burnett</i>	21	4	\$22,000	Oconto	53	0	NA
<i>Calumet</i>	68	2	\$40,000	Onieda	7	0	NA
<i>Chippewa</i>	63	3	\$55,716	Outagamie	75	2	\$75,000
<i>Clark</i>	90	1	\$80,000	Ozaukee	58	3	\$50,400
<i>Columbia</i>	85	1	\$103,680	Pepin	36	4	\$40,000
<i>Crawford</i>	33	4	\$14,000	Pierce	48	4	\$30,000
<i>Dane</i>	90	1	\$75,000	Polk	46	0	NA
<i>Dodge</i>	80	1	\$10,000	Portage	40	0	NA
<i>Door</i>	43	4	\$28,000	Price	18	0	NA
<i>Douglas</i>	18	0	NA	Racine	31	4	\$45,000
<i>Dunn</i>	58	3	\$28,000	Richland	38	4	\$28,000
<i>Eau Claire</i>	60	3	\$60,000	Rock	68	2	\$75,000
<i>Florence</i>	12	0	NA	<i>Rusk</i>	28	4	\$45,000
<i>Fond du Lac</i>	68	2	\$40,000	Saint Croix	43	4	\$20,000
<i>Forest</i>	17	0	NA	Sauk	70	2	\$60,000
<i>Grant</i>	50	0	NA	<i>Sawyer</i>	13	4	\$8,000
<i>Green</i>	55	3	\$40,000	Shawano	58	3	\$20,000
<i>Green Lake</i>	41	4	\$28,000	Sheboygan	53	3	\$20,000
<i>Iowa</i>	50	3	\$60,000	Taylor	48	4	\$40,000
<i>Iron</i>	27	0	NA	Trempealeau	55	3	\$60,000
<i>Jackson</i>	43	4	\$20,000	Vernon	55	3	\$60,000
<i>Jefferson</i>	63	3	\$14,000	Vilas	2	0	NA
<i>Juneau</i>	53	3	\$25,000	Walworth	35	4	\$20,000
<i>Kenosha</i>	19	4	\$20,000	<i>Washburn</i>	23	4	\$4,000
<i>Kewaunee</i>	53	3	\$20,000	Washington	46	4	\$10,000
<i>La Crosse</i>	63	3	\$20,000	Waukesha	44	0	NA
<i>Lafayette</i>	80	1	\$15,000	Waupaca	65	2	\$75,000
<i>Langlade</i>	56	3	\$40,000	Waushara	41	4	\$25,000
<i>Lincoln</i>	29	0	NA	<i>Winnebago</i>	48	4	\$45,000
<i>Manitowoc</i>	65	2	\$75,000	Wood	60	3	\$54,000
TOTAL							\$ 2,234,476
County Name in Italics = County transferred funds awarded in prior grant year				Shaded award amounts = County awarded the amount of its request, which was less than the maximum grant award			
NA= County did not apply for SEG funds							

DNR ALLOCATIONS

DNR's portion of this final allocation provides funding to counties through three programs:

- 1) Targeted Runoff Management (TRM),
- 2) Notice of Discharge (NOD), and
- 3) Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Construction (UNPS-Construction).

Table B shows the final allocation to each county grantee for TRM and UNPS-Construction. Additionally, NOD reserves are established as specific county allocations are unknown at this time.

FUNDING SOURCES

Allocations for TRM projects and NOD projects are from bond revenue appropriated under s. 20.866(2)(f), Wis. Stats., Federal Clean Water Act Section 319, and segregated funds appropriated under s. 20.370(6)(aq), Wis. Stats.

Allocations to counties for UNPS-Construction projects, when requested, are from segregated funds appropriated under s. 20.866(2)(th), Wis. Stats.

Allocations to counties for UNPS-Planning projects, when requested, are from segregated funds appropriated under s. 20.370(6)(dq), Wis. Stats.

Note: DNR will also provide TRM grants and UNPS-Construction grants to non-county grantees. Wisconsin Statutes do not require that non-county grantees be listed in this allocation plan.

- For all grant programs, funds will be considered "committed" when a grantee has returned to the DNR a signed copy of the grant agreement.
- For the TRM program, grant agreements not signed by the deadline may be rescinded by DNR, and the associated grant funds may be used to fund other eligible projects in rank order based on project scores. If, for any reason, funds committed through this

allocation plan become available after March 31, 2020, these funds may be held to fund projects selected in the next grant cycle.

1. TRM Final Allocation

The DNR allocates up to \$3,675,815 to counties for cost sharing of TRM projects during calendar year 2019. This amount is adequate to fully fund the estimated state share of all eight eligible county Small-Scale TRM applications. Additionally, this amount is adequate to fully fund the estimated state share for five out of the seven eligible county Large-Scale TRM applications and partially fund the remaining two Large-Scale projects. As shown in Chart 1, there is \$124,262 of unmet needs for county TRM projects.

The maximum cost-share amount that can be awarded for a single Small-Scale TRM project is \$150,000. The maximum cost-share amount that can be awarded for a single Large-Scale TRM project is \$1,000,000.

TRM allocations made through this plan will be reimbursed to grantees during calendar years 2019 through 2021. Project applications are screened, scored, and ranked in accordance with s. 281.65(4c), Wis. Stats. Adjustments to grant amounts may occur to account for eligibility of project components, cost-share rates, or ch. NR 151 enforcement action at the time that DNR negotiates the actual grant agreement with an applicant.

2. UNPS Final Allocation

PLANNING. UNPS-Planning grant applications were not solicited in 2018 for the 2019 award cycle. DNR has implemented an alternating schedule for both UNPS-Planning and UNPS-Construction grants. The UNPS-Planning grant application will be available in early 2019 for 2020 awards.

CONSTRUCTION. No counties applied for UNPS-Construction grants for the 2019 award cycle. Thus, Table B contains an allocation of \$0 for UNPS Construction grants. The DNR will not solicit UNPS-Construction grant

applications in 2019. These will next be available in 2020 for 2021 grant awards. The maximum cost-share amount that can be awarded for a UNPS-Construction grant is \$200,000 (\$150,000 maximum for BMP construction + \$50,000 maximum for property acquisition).

The DNR will also provide UNPS-Construction grants to non-county applicants. Wisconsin Statutes do not require that non-county grantees be listed in this allocation plan.

The UNPS-Construction awards made through this plan will be reimbursed to grantees during calendar years 2019 and 2020. Project applications have been screened, scored, and ranked in accordance with s. 281.66, Wis. Stats.

3. Notice of Discharge Program

A. Background

DNR issues notices of discharge (NOD) and notices of intent (NOI) under ch. NR 243, Wis. Adm. Code; this code regulates animal feeding operations. DNR has authority under s. 281.65(4e), Wis. Stats., to provide grant assistance for NOD and NOI projects outside the competitive TRM process. DNR is authorized to award grants to governmental units, which in turn enter into cost-share agreements with landowners that have received an NOD or NOI.

Cost-share assistance is provided to landowners to meet the regulatory requirements of an NOD issued under ch. NR 243, Wis. Adm. Code. In some cases, cost-share assistance must be offered before enforcement action can be taken. In other cases, DNR is not required to provide cost sharing but may do so at its discretion. DNR has several permitting and enforcement options available under ch. NR 243 if landowners should fail to meet the conditions of the NOD.

B. NOD Final Allocation

This Final Allocation Plan establishes a reserve of \$1,500,000 for NOD projects during

calendar year 2019. The reserve includes funds for structural practices in eligible locations. DNR may use its discretion to increase this reserve if needed. To receive a grant award, a governmental unit must submit an application to DNR that describes a specific project and includes documentation that an NOD or NOI has either already been issued or will be issued by DNR concurrent with the grant award. Once DNR issues a grant to the governmental unit to address an NOD or NOI, DNR will designate a portion of the reserve specifically for that project.

Since DATCP also administers funds to correct NODs, DNR and DATCP will consult on each NOD application to ensure that the two agencies are making the most efficient use of the available funds to address these problem sites.

DNR will require that county grantees commit funds to a cost-share agreement with the landowner within a time-frame that is consistent with the compliance schedule in the NOD. The county grantee shall use the grant award to reimburse the landowner for costs incurred during the grant period, which may extend beyond calendar year 2019. If the landowner fails to install practices listed in the cost-share agreement within the timeframe identified, DNR will terminate its grant with the county, leaving the landowner to correct the problems identified in the NOD without the benefit of state cost sharing.

Fund balances from terminated NOD grants and projects completed under budget may be returned to the reserve account and made available to other NOD applicants. Reserve funds remaining at the end of calendar year 2018 may either be carried over for the calendar year 2019 NOD reserve account or may be allocated for calendar year 2019 or 2020 TRM projects.

DNR and DATCP issue a joint report annually to the LWCB on progress in administering NOD funds.

**SUMMARY OF CHANGES TO THE 2019
JOINT PRELIMINARY ALLOCATION PLAN**

DATCP's portion of the final plan has no change from the preliminary allocation plan.

The DNR's portion of the final plan includes the following changes from the preliminary allocation plan:

- Updated Charts 1 and 2 to reflect currently available funding for County projects.
- Updated Tables B and C in the final plan to reflect DNR's funding decisions for county TRM grant applications.

FINAL ACTION

DATCP has determined that the action described in this allocation plan for the 2019 soil and water resource management grant program shown in Table A conforms to the applicable DATCP provisions of s. 92.14, Wis. Stats, and ATCP 50, Wis. Administrative Code. DATCP reserves the right to reallocate grant funds unexpended by recipients.

Dated this 2nd day of October, 2018

STATE OF WISCONSIN
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, TRADE
AND CONSUMER PROTECTION


Sheila E. Harsdorf, Secretary

DNR has determined that the actions described in this allocation plan for the 2019 allocations of DNR funds shown in Table B conforms with the provisions of ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats.

Dated this 16 day of oct, 2018

STATE OF WISCONSIN
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES


Daniel L. Meyer, Secretary