Background
Under Chapter 98.05(2), Wis. Stats., sealers and inspectors:

"shall inspect and test any weights and measures or commodities which are sold or used commercially as often as necessary to secure compliance with this chapter, and may seize as evidence, or reject and mark or tag as "rejected" those which are incorrect."

Possessing this authority, inspectors have a variety of enforcement tools available to ensure compliance.

Policy
The following enforcement actions should be considered when a vehicle-tank meter is found to be inaccurate or incorrect:

**Action Pending:**
The device has errors in excess of applicable tolerance limits; under-registration/over-delivering product. It is the owner’s discretion to repair the device or not.

**Reject 7-days:**
1. Errors in excess of applicable tolerance limits; over-registration/under-delivering product.
2. Faulty air eliminator causing over-registration errors in excess of applicable tolerance limits.
3. Air eliminator vent line not made of suitable rigid material.
4. Failure of volume preset (when equipped) to stop delivery of product within 1/2 minimum graduation (typically 1/2 of 1/10 gal.) of preset volume.
5. Failure of the anti-drain valve.
6. The register is not equipped with ticket printer.

**Reject 30-days:**
The device has a specification issue that needs attention prior to the next inspection and requires a longer period of time for scheduling of a service company. Additional time can be given on an individual basis as advised by the inspector.

**Red Tag:**
1. Failure to correct previously identified violations.
2. The device is not licensed and does not have a current annual private service company test report.
3. Over-registration errors exceeding twice the applicable tolerance limit.
4. The device exceeds tolerance limits and has broken or missing security seals.
5. Any condition which, based on the inspector's judgment, is a safety hazard.
6. A combination of violations, either performance or specifications, which would indicate
the owner does not maintain the equipment sufficiently to ensure accuracy and
correctness and poses a significant economic loss to consumers.

**Warning Letter** - Should be considered when:
1. Sharing of information and education has previously been exercised and has failed to
achieve compliance.
2. Upon re-inspection, the same or similar deficiencies are found.
3. Deficiencies found are so egregious that taking the device out of service is not sufficient as
the only enforcement action taken.

**Formal Legal Action** - One should consult with his/her supervisor to consider formal legal
action whenever any of the following conditions exist:
1. A re-inspection of a previously rejected device is in use and indicates the same deficiency.
2. A re-inspection after a warning letter indicates the deficiency still exists and the device is
in use.
3. A history of prior violations exists and investigation reveals conditions which would
warrant rejecting the device and ordering it out of service.
4. Evidence reveals an unwillingness to comply with regulations.
5. Conditions systematically facilitate fraud.

*Effective Date: August 19, 1997*