Dairy Producer Survey, 2020

In March 2020, approximately 7,081 surveys were mailed to dairy farmers across Wisconsin. The list of names was obtained from DATCP's Division of Food Safety. 2,871 surveys were returned, resulting in a response rate of 41%. The tables below are a summary of good responses. Because we do not know how non-respondents would have responded, we cannot generalize the survey results to all dairy farmers in Wisconsin. Most responses were received before the major impacts of Covid-19 were felt across the state. There were some comments about coronavirus, but it does not seem to have had much influence on the results. Results are presented by herd size and by region.

Respondents were asked to indicate the region where their cows are located, number of cows, rolling herd average, and average percent fat and protein. A few respondents did not provide their region so the total by region is lower than the 2,871 surveys returned. All regions and all herd sizes were well represented across the state. Many respondents did not know their rolling herd average (RHA), fat percent or protein percent. If they reported their production in pounds/head/day, it was multiplied by 305 to estimate a RHA. Many who did report their fat and protein percentages, were only able to report to one decimal place.

Responses b	v Regio	on, 2020
-------------	---------	----------

Herd Size	NW	NE	SW	SE	Total	% of respondents
			Number of	farms respo	onding	_
1-49	227	182	260	71	740	26
50-99	375	274	237	149	1,035	37
100-199	102	118	160	116	496	18
200-299	34	44	58	46	182	7
300-699	47	59	76	53	235	8
700+	31	32	22	38	123	4
All	816	709	813	473	2,811	100



Average RHA, Fat %, Protein %, 2020

Herd Size	RHA	Fat	Protein
	Pounds	Percent	
1-49	16,894	4.04	3.19
50-99	21,358	4.01	3.21
100-199	23,189	3.99	3.19
200-299	24,706	3.97	3.19
300-699	26,985	3.97	3.18
700+	28,456	3.98	3.20
All	21,669	4.01	3.19

Average RHA, Fat %, Protein %, 2020

	11,01,00 11111,1 100 ,0,1 1000111 ,0, 2020						
Region	RHA	Fat Protein					
	Pounds	Percent					
NW	21,224	4.03	3.22				
NE	22,235	4.00	3.19				
SW	20,741	4.02	3.19				
SE	23,228	3.97	3.17				
All	21,669	4.01	3.19				

Respondents were asked about practices such as custom heifer raising, using beef or sexed semen on their dairy herd, marketing, and raising feed. Larger herds were more likely to have their heifers custom raised, but the age at which the heifers left and returned to the farm did not vary much. Over half of respondents reported they use beef semen on their farm, but only use it on about one-quarter of their cows and one-third of their heifers. About one-quarter of farms feed bull calves or excess heifers to market weight. Most farms market their male calves through a sales barn at less than one week old. Larger farms were more likely to use sexed semen, with about one-third of farms using it statewide. Respondents reported raising 82% of the feed for their operations.

Custom Heifer Raising, 2020

Herd Size	Use heifer raising	If use heifer raiser, heifers raised in-state ¹	If use heifer raiser, heifers raised out-of-state ^{1, 2}	Average age leaving farm	Average age returning to farm
		Percent of farr	Age in	n months	
1-49	1	100	0	5.6	16.1
50-99	5	98	4	6.0	18.1
100-199	7	100	3	4.9	17.7
200-299	20	100	0	5.4	19.4
300-699	30	97	9	5.0	18.2
700+	59	68	51	4.5	18.8
All	10	90	17	5.1	18.4

¹Some operations had heifers custom raised both in- and out-of-state

Custom Heifer Raising, 2020

			i iiciici itaibiiig, 2020		
Region	Use heifer raising	If use heifer raiser, heifers raised in-state ¹	If use heifer raiser, heifers raised out-of-state ^{1, 2}	Average age leaving farm	Average age returning to farm
•		Percent of farr	Age in	n months	
NW	7	91	14	5.6	18.8
NE	9	90	25	4.0	17.5
SW	9	93	10	6.0	18.9
SE	15	86	22	4.8	18.5
All	10	90	17	5.1	18.4

¹Some operations had heifers custom raised both in- and out-of-state

Use of Beef Semen, 2020

Ose of Deer Schien, 2020					
	Currently use beef	If use beef semen,	If use semen,		
Herd Size	semen on at least	percent of cows	percent of heifers		
	part of dairy herd	bred to beef bulls	bred to beef bulls		
	Percent of farms	Percent	of herd		
1-49	34	32	49		
50-99	50	22	32		
100-199	58	24	29		
200-299	71	25	18		
300-699	77	31	17		
700+	93	44	12		
All	53	27	30		

Use of Beef Semen, 2020

OSC OF BEEF SCHICH, 2020						
	Currently use beef	If use beef semen,	If use semen,			
Region	semen on at least	percent of cows	percent of heifers			
_	part of dairy herd	bred to beef bulls	bred to beef bulls			
	Percent of farms	Percent	of herd			
NW	48	25	30			
NE	53	27	26			
SW	52	28	37			
SE	61	29	24			
All	53	27	30			

²Other states reported were CO, IA, IL, KS, MN, NE, ND, OH, TX

²Other states reported were CO, IA, IL, KS, MN, NE, ND, OH, TX

Feed Bull Calves or Excess Heifers to Market Weight, 2019

Herd Size	Feed to market weight
	Percent of farms
1-49	25
50-99	28
100-199	27
200-299	32
300-699	20
700+	20
All	26

Feed Bull Calves or Excess Heifers to Market Weight, 2019

Region	Feed to market weight
	Percent of farms
NW	24
NE	26
SW	30
SE	24
All	26

Marketing Male Calves, 20201

manifering maie curves, 2020						
Herd Size	Sales barn	Dealer	Directly to another farmer	Directly to a calf ranch		
	Percent of farms					
1-49	82	8	32	2		
50-99	81	6	32	2		
100-199	75	7	37	2		
200-299	79	11	34	4		
300-699	67	16	36	6		
700+	47	40	34	14		
All	77	9	34	3		

¹Some operations sold through more than one marketing channel.

Marketing Male Calves, 2020¹

Region	Sales barn	Dealer	Directly to another farmer	Directly to a calf ranch
		•	Percent of farms	-
NW	79	11	30	3
NE	82	10	24	3
SW	75	7	44	3
SE	71	10	38	3
All	77	9	34	3

Some operations sold through more than one marketing channel.

Weight at which Majority of Calves are Marketed, 2020

Herd Size	Less than 1 week old	150-300 lbs.	300-600 lbs.	600 lbs. or more
		Percent of f	farms	
1-49	56	20	12	12
50-99	72	10	5	13
100-199	70	8	7	15
200-299	77	8	5	10
300-699	84	4	4	8
700+	88	1	2	9
All	69	11	7	13

Weight at which Majority of Calves are Marketed, 2020

	****- 8 *****************************					
Region	Less than 1 week old	150-300 lbs.	300-600 lbs.	600 lbs. or more		
		Percent of t	farms			
NW	72	11	6	11		
NE	78	8	4	10		
SW	56	16	11	17		
SE	76	8	5	11		
All	69	11	7	13		

Use of Sexed Semen, 2020

	ose of sexed semen, 2020				
Herd Size	Use sexed semen	If use sexed semen, percent of cows bred with sexed semen	If use sexed semen, percent of heifers bred with sexed semen		
	Percent of farms	Percent	of herd		
1-49	12	31	56		
50-99	27	23	47		
100-199	35	21	55		
200-299	56	19	62		
300-699	65	24	65		
700+	82	25	79		
All	32	24	59		

Use of Sexed Semen, 2020

Region	Use sexed semen	If use sexed semen, percent of cows bred with sexed semen	If use sexed semen, percent of heifers bred with sexed semen
	Percent of farms	Percent of herd	
NW	27	21	55
NE	32	26	61
SW	30	25	61
SE	42	22	57
All	32	24	59

Feed, 2020

1004, 2020				
Herd Size	Raised	Purchased		
	Percen	t of feed		
1-49	81	19		
50-99	84	16		
100-199	84	16		
200-299	82	18		
300-699	79	21		
700+	73	27		
All	82	18		

Feed, 2020

1 000, 2020				
Region	Raised	Purchased		
	Percent of feed			
NW	83	17		
NE	82	18		
SW	82	18		
SE	81	19		
All	82	18		

Almost 90% of respondents were conventional farms, and two-thirds were sole proprietorships. Just under one-quarter reported that they will need additional labor within the next two years. Most indicated they would consider hiring/mentoring a military veteran, and just under half reported they would consider hiring a person who has been incarcerated. About one-fifth of respondents reported providing housing for employees.

Farm Production Management System, 20201

Turm I roudetton Munagement System, 2020					
Herd Size	Conventional	Organic	Managed intensive grazing		
		Percen	t of farms		
1-49	79	20	24		
50-99	92	8	10		
100-199	93	5	6		
200-299	97	2	4		
300-699	97	3	1		
700+	99	1	0		
All	90	9	11		

¹Some operations selected more than one management system. A few operations specified they used managed intensive grazing, but did not specify whether they were also conventional or organic.

Farm Production Management System, 2020¹

		-		
Region	Conventional	Organic	Managed intensive grazing	
	Percent of farms			
NW	89	10	11	
NE	93	6	10	
SW	85	15	16	
SE	96	4	5	
All	90	9	11	

¹Some operations selected more than one management system. A few operations specified they used managed intensive grazing, but did not specify whether they were also conventional or organic.

Farm Business Arrangement, 2020

raim business mirangement, 2020					
Herd Size	Sole proprietorship	Partnership	Corporation	LLC/LLP	Other ¹
			Percent of farms		
1-49	90	6	2	2	0
50-99	79	9	4	8	0
100-199	57	8	9	25	1
200-299	37	13	13	37	0
300-699	24	4	18	54	<1
700+	12	3	21	64	0
All	67	8	7	18	<1

¹Other were university and prison farms.

Farm Business Arrangement, 2020

Turm Dubiness Illiangement, 2020					
Region	Sole proprietorship	Partnership	Corporation	LLC/LLP	Other ¹
	Percent of farms				
NW	75	7	8	10	<1
NE	69	5	5	21	0
SW	66	11	6	17	<1
SE	53	6	9	32	0
All	67	8	7	18	<1

¹Other were university and prison farms.

Labor, 2020

Labor, 2020					
Herd Size	Will need additional labor in the next two years	If need labor, would mentor/hire a military veteran	If need labor, would hire a person who has been incarcerated.		
•		Percent of farms			
1-49	10	48	28		
50-99	18	76	40		
100-199	30	92	51		
200-299	38	89	44		
300-699	44	96	63		
700+	55	100	68		
All	23	84	48		

Labor, 2020

Eubo1, 2020						
Region	Will need additional labor in the next two years	If need labor, would mentor/hire a military veteran	If need labor, would hire a person who has been incarcerated.			
		Percent of farms				
NW	20	81	49			
NE	24	91	44			
SW	24	76	43			
SE	26	91	61			
All	23	84	48			

Employee Housing, 2020

Herd Size	Provide housing for employees
	Percent of farms
1-49	7
50-99	11
100-199	18
200-299	27
300-699	51
700+	55
All	18

Employee Housing, 2020

Region	Provide housing for employees				
	Percent of farms				
NW	21				
NE	12				
SW	23				
SE	14				
All	18				

Respondents were asked how much money they had invested over the past five years in facility improvements or additions and how much they anticipated investing in the next five years. There was much uncertainty about the next five years. Many respondents wrote that it was dependent on milk prices or just put in a '?.' As one would expect during these times, the average investment in facilities per farm is expected to be lower in the upcoming five-year period.

Facility Improvements/Additions, 2015-2019

racinty improvements/Additions, 2015-2017						
Herd Size	Dairy housing facilities	Feed handling systems/storage facilities	Milking system/facility	Manure handling systems/storage facilities		
	Average investment per farm (dollars)					
1-49	5,600	2,400	1,400	1,200		
50-99	21,600	8,100	8,000	3,900		
100-199	73,000	19,100	32,600	14,200		
200-299	217,900	30,100	104,100	54,200		
300-699	456,600	71,100	179,900	97,100		
700+	828,900	205,600	341,200	379,100		
All	111,000	24,200	45,700	32,300		

Facility Improvements/Additions, 2015-2019

Region	Dairy housing facilities	Feed handling systems/storage facilities	Milking system/facility	Manure handling systems/storage facilities
		Average investment per farm (dollars)		systems/storage racinties
		Average investmen	t per farm (donars)	
NW	94,900	17,600	30,600	26,700
NE	128,100	28,800	49,000	35,100
SW	106,500	21,400	34,900	28,700
SE	125,300	35,000	85,300	45,800
All	111,000	24,200	45,700	32,300

Anticipated Facility Improvements/Additions, 2020-2024

Herd Size	Dairy housing facilities	Feed handling systems/storage facilities	Milking system/facility	Manure handling systems/storage facilities		
	Average investment per farm (dollars)					
1-49	3,700	1,500	1,400	900		
50-99	11,200	5,100	4,100	3,800		
100-199	45,500	12,200	22,400	15,400		
200-299	80,500	20,700	26,900	24,900		
300-699	167,700	97,200	101,300	120,600		
700+	570,800	165,100	260,200	346,700		
All	56,800	20,900	27,000	30,900		

Anticipated Facility Improvements/Additions, 2020-2024

Region	Dairy housing facilities	Feed handling	Milking system/facility	Manure handling		
Region	Buily housing fuerities	systems/storage facilities	winking system/raemty	systems/storage facilities		
	Average investment per farm (dollars)					
NW	41,000	11,600	15,300	16,200		
NE	80,200	25,200	30,200	31,800		
SW	39,100	24,600	24,300	33,500		
SE	81,700	25,500	48,700	52,000		
All	56,800	20,900	27,000	30,900		

Respondents were asked about conservation practices and alternative manure handling on their farms. Almost 60% use cover crops and 70% us grass waterways. Fifteen percent use composting, while sand separators, digesters, and nutrient recovery systems are primarily used on larger farms.

Conservation Practices, 2020

	Conscivation Fractices, 2020						
Herd Size	Cover crops	Diversion	Buffer	No-till	Contour	Inter-	Grass
Tielu Size	Cover crops	ponds	zones	zones No-un	strips	seeding	waterways
	Percent of farms indicating they use this practice						
1-49	54	3	23	27	29	25	64
50-99	55	5	23	48	30	17	70
100-199	63	6	28	65	39	17	72
200-299	64	8	30	65	37	18	73
300-699	73	11	38	70	37	23	76
700+	79	16	53	72	29	30	85
All	59	6	27	50	32	20	70

Conservation Practices, 2020

Conseivation Fractices, 2020							
Region	Cover crops	Diversion	Buffer	No-till	Contour	Inter-	Grass
Kegion	Cover crops	ponds	zones	NO-till	strips	seeding	waterways
	Percent of farms indicating they use this practice						
NW	58	7	25	45	25	23	76
NE	56	3	27	45	13	21	56
SW	66	8	28	54	62	19	80
SE	56	4	28	58	23	16	64
All	59	6	27	50	32	20	70

Alternative Manure Handling, 2020

michalive Manufe Handing, 2020					
Herd Size	Composting	Sand separator	Digester ¹	Nutrient recovery system ²	
	Percent of farms indicating they use this method				
1-49	18	0	<1	2	
50-99	15	0	<1	3	
100-199	11	0	<1	4	
200-299	11	0	1	5	
300-699	11	3	<1	3	
700+	18	33	9	12	
All	15	2	1	3	

¹Some of the smaller farms are likely utilizing a digest, although it may not be on their farm.

Alternative Manure Handling, 2020

Region	Composting	Sand separator	Digester	Nutrient recovery system	
	Percent of farms indicating they use this method				
NW	19	2	<1	2	
NE	13	1	<1	3	
\mathbf{SW}	15	1	1	3	
SE	12	3	1	5	
All	15	2	1	3	

Respondents were asked about whether their operation will still be farming in five years and about challenges in the dairy industry. Over 80% reported that they will still be farming in five years. Many wrote comments indicating that this is dependent on milk prices, but that they were hopeful to still be farming. About two-thirds plan on milking the same number of cows, and about three-quarters will have the same number of cropland acres. Extreme weather was the most frequently chosen challenge to continuing milking, while balancing milk supply/demand is anticipated to be the top dairy industry disrupter over the next five years.

Will Operation Still be Farming in Five years, 2020

	F	, === = = : = 3 = == = = = = =
Herd Size	Yes	No
	Percent	of farms
1-49	77	23
50-99	81	19
100-199	88	12
200-299	87	13
300-699	94	6
700+	99	1
All	83	17

Will Operation Still be Farming in Five years, 2020

Herd Size	Yes	No
	Percent	of farms
NW	83	17
NE	82	18
SW	85	15
SE	83	17
All	83	17

²Some of the smaller farms are likely recovering nutrients from their manure, although they may not have an official "nutrient management system."

Cows Milked in Five Years, 2020

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	COWS MINICU III I I	(C 1 cars, 2020	
Herd Size	None, will sell cows	Fewer cows	About the same number of cows	More cows
		Pero	cent of farms	
1-49	23	3	65	9
50-99	20	4	68	8
100-199	13	6	70	11
200-299	12	4	64	20
300-699	4	4	73	19
700+	2	2	59	37
All	17	4	67	12

Cows Milked in Five Years, 2020

Region	None, will sell cows	Fewer cows	About the same number of cows	More cows
		Perc	eent of farms	
NW	17	3	68	12
NE	16	5	66	13
SW	17	4	69	10
SE	18	4	65	13
All	17	4	67	12

Cropland Acres in Five Years, 2020

Cropiana ricres m rive rears, 2020							
Herd Size	None	Fewer acres	About the same number of acres	More acres			
		Per	cent of farms				
1-49	5	4	84	7			
50-99	3	4	80	13			
100-199	4	3	68	25			
200-299	6	3	62	29			
300-699	1	4	58	37			
700+	3	0	39	58			
All	4	4	73	19			

Cropland Acres in Five Years, 2020

Region	None	Fewer acres	About the same number of acres	More acres
		Pero	cent of farms	
NW	3	4	76	17
NE	4	4	72	20
SW	4	4	75	17
SE	4	3	70	23
All	4	4	73	19

Primary Challenges to Continuing Milking, 2020¹

Herd Size	Inability to find labor	Access to capital	Aging facilities	Access to land	Extreme weather conditions	Reg- ulations	Manure mgmt/ disposal	Lack of public/ private services in area	Manage day-to-day expenses	Manage long-term debt
					Percent	of farms				
1-49	10	5	40	11	46	41	7	2	46	27
50-99	16	5	43	16	50	37	10	1	39	26
100-199	28	7	35	25	46	34	20	1	36	24
200-299	35	6	29	25	44	33	21	1	38	31
300-699	46	8	30	21	32	44	33	1	30	23
700+	50	8	15	24	35	68	47	1	13	12
All	21	6	38	18	46	39	15	1	39	25

¹Respondents could choose up to 3 challenges

Primary Challenges to Continuing Milking, 2020¹

Region	Inability to find labor	Access to capital	Aging facilities	Access to land	Extreme weather conditions	Reg- ulations	Manure mgmt/ disposal	Lack of public/ private services in area	Manage day-to-day expenses	Manage long-term debt
	Percent of farms									
NW	18	7	38	15	41	40	13	2	42	27
NE	22	5	39	18	52	43	16	1	31	21
SW	19	5	34	17	44	35	15	2	46	30
SE	30	5	43	23	49	40	20	1	27	19
All	21	6	38	18	46	39	15	1	39	25

¹Respondents could choose up to 3 challenges

Top Dairy Industry Disrupters in Next Five Year, 20201

Top Daily industry Disrupters in Next Five Tear, 2020								
Herd Size	Challenging	Plant based	Animal	Regulations	Groundwater	Balancing milk	Trade	
Ticiu Size	weather patterns	foods	welfare	Regulations	concerns	supply/demand	policies	
				Percent of farms	3			
1-49	32	21	37	53	16	72	37	
50-99	32	23	41	49	16	70	43	
100-199	35	23	40	44	18	70	52	
200-299	28	27	38	52	13	73	55	
300-699	29	19	36	57	21	72	51	
700+	20	17	44	75	26	61	43	
All	31	22	39	51	17	70	44	

¹Respondents could choose up to 3 disrupters.

Top Dairy Industry Disrupters in Next Five Year, 2020¹

	Top Dairy industry Disrupters in Next Five Tear, 2020								
Region	Challenging	Plant based	Animal	Regulations	Groundwater	Balancing milk	Trade		
Region	weather patterns	foods	welfare	Regulations	concerns	supply/demand	policies		
				Percent of farms	S				
NW	24	27	43	52	14	71	44		
NE	35	18	39	55	18	68	43		
SW	35	21	35	47	18	73	44		
SE	33	23	42	49	18	69	47		
All	31	22	39	51	17	70	44		

¹Respondents could choose up to 3 disrupters.

Almost 10% of respondents have felt the need to access mental services in the past year due to farming challenges. This need was for both respondents and their family members. Six percent received mental health services.

Mental Health, 2020

	Western Hearth, 2020							
Herd Size	Felt need to access mental health services in past year due to farming challenges	Need to access mental health services was for respondent ¹	Need to access mental health services was for other family member(s) ¹	Respondent or family member received mental health services in the past year				
		Percent	of farms					
1-49	7	70	28	6				
50-99	8	71	34	6				
100-199	10	76	49	5				
200-299	16	66	41	9				
300-699	14	70	36	8				
700+	9	73	36	8				
All	9	71	36	6				

¹A few respondents indicated they had felt the need to access mental health services but did not specify if this need was for themselves or family member(s).

Mental Health, 2020

	Western Health 2020						
Region	Felt need to access mental health services in past year due to farming challenges	Need to access mental health services was for respondent ¹	Need to access mental health services was for other family member(s) ¹	Respondent or family member received mental health services in the past year			
	Percent of farms						
NW	10	73	36	7			
NE	9	74	37	6			
SW	10	70	36	6			
SE	8	69	36	4			
All	9	71	36	6			

¹A few respondents indicated they had felt the need to access mental health services but did not specify if this need was for themselves or family member(s).

About one-quarter of respondents indicated their milk purchaser has adopted a supply management plant. Over half of respondents reported utilizing at least one risk management program, with ARC/PLC and dairy margin coverage being the most common. Almost half of respondents receive no income from off-farm employment and very few farms have diversified into agri-tourism, specialty crops or value-added products to provide more income.

Supply Management, 2020

	Supply Management, 2020
Herd Size	Milk purchaser has adopted a supply management plan
	Percent of farms
1-49	26
50-99	21
100-199	25
200-299	29
300-699	20
700+	26
All	24

Supply Management, 2020

Region	Milk purchaser has adopted a supply management plan
	Percent of farms
NW	14
NE	19
SW	33
SE	31
All	24

Risk Management Programs, 2020

Risk Withagement 110g1this, 2020						
Herd Size	Dairy margin	Milk	Crop insurance	Dairy revenue	Y ARU/PIL	
Tiera Bize	coverage	contracting	Crop mourance	protection	THIC TEC	inputs
	Percent of farms indicating they use this program					
1-49	32	4	18	7	33	17
50-99	53	4	38	14	55	35
100-199	69	8	59	26	71	48
200-299	77	15	70	37	77	63
300-699	73	29	76	50	78	72
700+	75	50	81	70	69	79
All	54	9	43	21	56	39

Risk Management Programs, 2020

Region	Dairy margin coverage	Milk contracting	Crop insurance	Dairy revenue protection	ARC/PLC	Locking in inputs
		Percen	t of farms indicati	ng they use this pr	ogram	
NW	48	8	37	17	48	38
NE	55	8	43	22	57	37
SW	54	9	47	22	56	34
SE	67	15	51	27	69	54
All	54	9	43	21	56	39

Family Income from Off-farm Employment, 2020

ranniy income irom Oir-iaim Employment, 2020							
Herd Size	None	1-25%	26-50%	51-75%	More than 75%		
	Percent of farms						
1-49	38	30	15	11	6		
50-99	53	27	10	6	4		
100-199	51	26	12	6	5		
200-299	53	26	11	4	6		
300-699	55	22	9	7	7		
700+	64	20	11	3	2		
All	49	27	12	7	5		

Family Income from Off-farm Employment, 2020

	ranniy income from Off-farm Employment, 2020					
Region	None	1-25%	26-50%	51-75%	More than 75%	
	Percent of farms					
NW	51	28	10	7	4	
NE	50	26	12	7	5	
SW	47	27	12	8	6	
SE	49	26	13	7	5	
All	49	27	12	7	5	

Diversification in Past Two Years to Provide More Income, 2020

Herd Size	Agri-tourism Specialty cr		Value-added products			
	Percent of farms indicating they diversified in this way					
1-49	1	10	8			
50-99	1	4	7			
100-199	1	4	5			
200-299	1	5	8			
300-699	1	4	4			
700+	0	5	10			
All	1	6	7			

Diversification in Past Two Years to Provide More Income, 2020

Region	Agri-tourism	Agri-tourism Specialty crops			
	Percent of farms indicating they diversified in this way				
NW	1	4	6		
NE	1	6	7		
SW	1	9	8		
SE	2	4	7		
All	1	6	7		

The person most responsible for day-to-day decision making on the farm were asked to answer questions on age and farm succession. Almost half of primary decision makers were aged 50-64. The year in which they began managing their farm was split fairly evenly over the past several decades. Only 42% have identified a successor who will eventually take over the management of the farm, and these successors are primarily their children. When asked to anticipate what will happen to the farm when they retire, about 40% thought the farm would be turned over to a successor who will continue milking, while 30% were unsure. Over one-third have an estate plan, but only 30% reported having some form of long-term healthcare insurance (a couple respondents wrote 'Medicare' next to the question, so we have to assume that some interpreted this as having any retirement health insurance as opposed to 'long-term healthcare insurance.'). The financial capacity of the farm to allow more owners and not having a successor were the top barriers to planning farm succession.

Age of Primary Decision Maker, 2020

			,	
Herd Size	Under 35	35-49	50-64	65 or older
		Percent	of farms	
1-49	17	25	44	14
50-99	14	24	48	14
100-199	8	22	51	19
200-299	8	22	53	17
300-699	5	29	49	17
700+	3	30	53	14
All	12	25	48	15

Age of Primary Decision Maker, 2020

	8			
Region	Under 35	35-49	50-64	65 or older
		Percent	of farms	_
NW	13	29	44	14
NE	11	24	50	15
SW	13	25	46	16
SE	6	18	58	18
All	12	25	48	15

Year Primary Decision Maker Began Managing Their Farm, 2020

	I cui I i	illiar y Decision ivia	inci began managi	115 111011 1 1111	., _0_0	
Herd Size	Before 1970	1970s	1980s	1990s	2000s	2010s
			Percent of farn	ns		·
1-49	7	11	19	18	19	26
50-99	6	10	21	22	19	22
100-199	10	14	23	21	18	14
200-299	9	13	26	25	13	14
300-699	8	13	24	23	21	11
700+	11	14	16	26	19	14
All	8	11	22	21	18	20

Year Primary Decision Maker Began Managing Their Farm, 2020

	1 cai 1 i	illial y Decision Ma	ikei began Managi	ng Then Faim	, 2020	
Region	Before 1970	1970s	1980s	1990s	2000s	2010s
	Percent of farms					
NW	6	12	18	22	20	22
NE	7	10	23	22	19	19
SW	8	10	22	19	19	22
SE	9	14	27	23	13	14
All	8	11	22	21	18	20

Successor, 2020

Herd Size	Have identified a successor who will take over management of farm
	Percent of farms
1-49	30
50-99	37
100-199	50
200-299	63
300-699	57
700+	62
All	42

Successor, 2020

Region	Have identified a successor who will take over management of farm
	Percent of farms
NW	39
NE	39
SW	42
SE	51
All	42

Successor, 20201

		D 44 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	- 0					
Herd Size	Spouse	Child/children	Other family	Other non-family				
	Percent of farms							
1-49	1	90	6	3				
50-99	3	89	7	3				
100-199	1	87	10	2				
200-299	2	88	11	2				
300-699	1	92	8	3				
700+	0	75	18	20				
All	2	88	9	4				

All 2 88

Some respondents indicated more than one type of successor.

Successor, 2020¹

	, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -,							
Region	Spouse	Child/children	Other family	Other non-family				
		Percent	t of farms					
NW	2	91	7	2				
NE	1	89	8	5				
SW	2	87	9	4				
SE	2	85	12	5				
All	2	88	9	4				

¹Some respondents indicated more than one type of successor.

What Will Happen to Farm when Retire, 2020

What Will Happen to Farm when Kethe, 2020									
Herd Size	Turn over to successor, continue milking	Turn over to successor, continue farming, but not milking	Sell to another farmer	Rent/lease to another farmer	Sell for non-farm use	Leave idle	Unsure		
		Pe	rcent of farm	S					
1-49	30	13	5	10	2	2	38		
50-99	39	12	5	9	2	2	31		
100-199	43	14	5	7	2	1	28		
200-299	54	11	4	9	1	1	20		
300-699	57	5	7	4	1	0	26		
700+	72	3	6	1	1	0	17		
All	41	12	5	8	2	2	30		

What Will Happen to Farm when Retire, 2020

vinut vini Huppen to Lutin vinen Retn'e, 2020									
Region	Turn over to successor, continue milking	Turn over to successor, continue farming, but not milking	Sell to another farmer	Rent/lease to another farmer	Sell for non-farm use	Leave idle	Unsure		
		Pe	rcent of farms	S					
NW	42	11	6	8	2	1	30		
NE	40	9	6	8	2	2	33		
SW	43	12	5	8	2	1	29		
SE	41	15	4	8	2	2	28		
All	41	12	5	8	2	2	30		

Estate Plan, 2020

Herd Size	Have an estate plan
	Percent of farms
1-49	23
50-99	31
100-199	43
200-299	56
300-699	57
700+	74
All	37

Estate Plan, 2020

Region	Have an estate plan
	Percent of farms
NW	31
NE	38
SW	33
SE	52
All	37

Long-term Healthcare Insurance, 2020

Herd Size	Have long-term healthcare insurance
	Percent of farms
1-49	22
50-99	27
100-199	35
200-299	40
300-699	44
700+	49
All	30

Long-term Healthcare Insurance, 2020

Region	Have long-term healthcare insurance
	Percent of farms
NW	26
NE	32
SW	30
SE	35
All	30

Primary Barriers to Planning Succession, 2020¹

Herd Size	No successor	Financial capacity of farm to allow more owners	Too much debt currently on assets	Lack of communication among farm/family members	Resistance to change	Ideas about farm's future too different among farm/family members	Don't know how to start	Access to professionals to help with process	No barriers/already have succession plan
	Percent of farms								
1-49	35	26	15	12	17	15	16	5	35
50-99	31	31	25	12	15	14	15	6	28
100-199	23	39	32	19	11	15	12	9	28
200-299	21	39	41	14	5	16	11	13	26
300-699	27	32	34	19	8	11	6	8	34
700+	19	30	24	27	8	16	4	4	40
All	29	32	26	15	13	14	13	7	31

¹Respondents could choose up to 3 barriers

-

Primary Barriers to Planning Succession, 2020¹

					0				
Region	No successor	Financial capacity of farm to allow more owners	Too much debt currently on assets	Lack of communication among farm/family members	Resistance to change	Ideas about farm's future too different among farm/family members	Don't know how to start	Access to professionals to help with process	No barriers/already have succession plan
				P	ercent of far	ms			
NW	29	30	24	13	13	14	13	6	31
NE	31	29	25	15	12	14	13	8	33
SW	26	35	29	16	15	15	15	8	27
SE	29	34	24	15	13	15	11	7	31
All	29	32	26	15	13	14	13	7	31

¹Respondents could choose up to 3 barriers

.

Some additional cross-tabulations were requested. More can be provided if desired.

Use of Beef and Sexed Semen, 2020

	Use sexed semen	Do not use sexed semen			
Use Beef Semen	Percent of farms				
Yes	45	55			
No	17	83			

Facility Improvements/Additions, 2015-2019

Tuenty improvements/rituations, 2015 2015									
Operation will still be farming in five years	Dairy housing facilities	Feed handling systems/storage facilities	Milking system/facility	Manure handling systems/storage facilities					
	Average investment per farm (dollars)								
Yes	130 000	28,800	53,700	39,200					
No	32,800	5,900	14,200	4,800					

Anticipated Facility Improvements/Additions, 2020-2024

Operation will still be farming in five years Dairy housing facilities		Feed handling systems/storage facilities	Milking system/facility	Manure handling systems/storage facilities	
		Average investme	Average investment per farm (dollars)		
Yes	69,900	25,600	33,400	38,100	
No	3,100	1,700	1,300	2,100	

Long-term Healthcare Insurance, 2020

	8
Age of primary decision maker	Have long-term healthcare insurance
	Percent of farms
Under 35	23
35-49	26
50-64	29
65 or older	45

Addendum 1

The 2017 Census of Agriculture can serve as a reference to the overall size, location and milk production on Wisconsin dairy farms. The Census provides strata and county level data. Most, but not all, of the strata align nicely with the 2020 Dairy Producer Survey. The Census collects data on milk sales, not milk production. As higher sales reflect higher production, however, milk sales can be used to reflect how much milk is being produced. Only 2% of farms had 1,000 or more cows, but they accounted for 30% of milk sales. Thirty percent of farms had fewer than 50 cows and accounted for 4% of milk sales.

Wisconsin Dairy Farms and Milk Sales, 2017¹

Herd Size	Farms with milk sales	Milk Sales		
	Percent	_		
1-49	30	4		
50-99	35	13		
100-199	18	14		
200-499	11	23		
500-999	3	16		
1,000+	2	30		
All	100	100		

¹Source: USDA, NASS, 2017 Census of Agriculture

Wisconsin Dairy Farms and Milk Sales, 2017¹

Region	Farms with milk sales	Milk Sales	
	Percent		
NW	32	22	
NE	21	24	
SW	31	29	
SE	16	25	
All	100	100	

¹Source: USDA, NASS, 2017 Census of Agriculture

Addendum 2

Copy of the questionnaire



WISCONSIN DAIRY PRODUCER SURVEY 2020

Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection PO Box 8911 2811 Agriculture Drive Madison, WI 53708-8911 Please return by **March 27, 2020** in the provided envelope.

		ration" refers to the ed on the address.	land owned	d, rented or	used by you	or by the partr	ership, corpo	ration, or
1.	How many mill	k cows are currently	on your op	eration, incl	uding dry cov	vs and first cal	f heifers?	
	1 1-49	50-99	□ 100-1	99	200-299	□ 30	00-699	☐ 700 or more
2.	What is your co	urrent rolling herd av	erage? ²			lbs		
		Average perce	ent fat? 3			%		
		Average percent p	rotein? 4			%		
3.	operation locat	of the state are the oted? (<i>Please refer to</i>		ur		674	1	
	⁵ ☐ Region 1							2
	Region 2					The state of the s	745	+7-11
	Region 3					1		
	Region 4						3	4
4.	Are any of you	r heifers kept at a cu	stom heife	r raiser? ⁶ [☐ Yes ☐ N	10		
	If yes, at w	hat age do they leav	re your ope	eration? ⁷ _		months old	1	
	At what ag	ge do they return to y	our operat	ion? 8_		months old	1	
	Are any ra	ised in-state?	⁹ □ Y∈	s 🗌 No				
	Are any ra	ised out-of-state?	¹⁰ ☐ Ye	es 🗌 No	If yes, which	ch state(s)? 11_		
5.	Do you current	tly use beef semen o	n any of yo	our dairy her	rd? ¹² ☐ Ye	s 🗌 No		
	If yes, wha	at percent of your co	ws are bre	d to beef bu	Ills? ¹³		%	
	What perce	ent of your heifers a	re bred to I	peef bulls?	14		%	
6.	Do you current	tly feed bull calves or	excess he	eifers to mar	ket weight?	¹⁵ ☐ Yes ☐	No	
	What perce	ent of your steers w	ere fed in 2	019? ¹⁶		%		
	What perce	ent of your heifers w	ere fed in	2019? ¹⁷ _		%		
7.	How do you m	arket your male calv	es? (Check	(all that ap	ply)			
	¹⁸ ☐ Sales bar	n ¹⁹ □ Deal	er ²⁰	☐ Directly	to another fa	ırmer ²¹ Γ	Directly to	a calf ranch

Ö.	At what weight do you market the majority of your male calves?	
	22 Less than 1 week old \Box 150-300 pounds \Box 300-600 pounds \Box 600 or more pounds	
9.	Do you currently use sexed semen? ²³ \(\text{Yes} \) No	
	If yes, what percent of your cows are bred with sexed semen? ²⁴ %	
	What percent of your heifers are bred with sexed semen? ²⁵ %	
10	By volume, what percent of feed do you raise for your operation?	
	By volume, what percent of feed do you purchase for your operation? +	
	=100%	
11	. Which of the following describe your farm production management system? (Check all that apply)	
	²⁸ Conventional ²⁹ Organic ³⁰ Managed intensive grazing	
12	. Which of the following best describes your farm business arrangement?	
	31 ☐ Sole proprietorship ☐ Partnership ☐ Corporation	
	☐ Limited Liability Company/Partnership (LLC/LLP) ☐ Other (please specify)	40
13	. Will you need additional labor within the next two years? $^{32}\square$ Yes \square No	
	If yes, would you consider mentoring/hiring a military veteran? ³³ ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Would you consider hiring a person who has been incarcerated? ³⁴ ☐ Yes ☐ No	
14	. Do you provide housing for employees? ³⁵ Yes No	
15	. How much money did you invest in FACILITY improvements or additions AND how much do you estimate you may	
	invest in the next five years? Investments Expected Investments	
	2015-2019 2020-2024	
	a) Dairy cow housing facilities \$ \$	
	b) Feed handling systems or storage facilities \$ \$ 40 41	
	c) Milking system or facility \$	
	d) Manure handling systems or storage facilities \$\frac{42}{\$}\$\$	
16	. Which of the following conservation practices/programs do you use on your farm? (Check all that apply)	
	44 Cover crops 45 Diversion ponds	
	⁴⁶ Buffer zones ⁴⁷ No-till	
	⁴⁸ Contour strips ⁴⁹ Inter-seeding	
	⁵⁰ Grass waterways ⁵¹ Other (please specify)	-01
17	. Do you utilize any of the following alternative manure handling methods?	
	⁵² Composting ⁵³ Sand separator ⁵⁴ Digester	
	⁵⁵ Nutrient recovery system Other (please specify)	

CHALLENGES

18.	B. Do you think this operation will still be farming in five years? ⁵⁷ ☐ Yes ☐ No						
	How many cows do you anticipate milking in five years?						
	⁵⁸ None, will sell cows	☐ Fewer cows	☐ About the same number of cows	☐ More cows			
	How many acres of cropland	do you anticipate this	operation will crop in five years?				
	⁵⁹ None	☐ Fewer acres	☐ About the same number of acres	☐ More acres			
19.	In addition to low milk prices, when (Please check your top 3 challes)		allenges in order to continue milking on you	r farm?			
	60 Inability to find labor		⁶¹ Access to capital				
	62 Aging facilities		⁶³ Access to land				
	⁶⁴ Extreme weather condition	ons	⁶⁵ ☐ Regulations				
	66 Manure management/dis	posal	⁶⁷ Lack of public and/or private se	ervices in your area			
	68 Managing day-to-day exp	penses (paying open a	accounts, short-term production loans, etc.)				
	⁶⁹ Managing long-term debt	(real-estate loans, loa	ans for capital expenses, etc.)				
	70 Other (please specify)						
20.	What will be the top dairy indust	try disrupters over the	next 5 years? (Please check the top 3 disr	upters)			
71 ☐ Changing weather patterns 72 ☐ Plant based foods							
	⁷³ Animal welfare		⁷⁴ Regulations				
	⁷⁵ Groundwater concerns		⁷⁶ Balancing milk supply/demand				
	77 ☐ Trade policies						
21.	Have you felt the need to access	s mental health servi	ces in the past year due to farming challeng	es? ⁷⁸ 🗌 Yes 🗌 No			
	If yes, for whom: ⁷⁹ Yours	self ⁸⁰ 🗌 Other famil	y members				
22.	Did you or one of you family me	mbers receive menta	I health services in the past year? 81 Y	es 🗌 No			
23.	Has your milk purchaser adopte	ed a supply managem	ent plan? ⁸² ☐ Yes ☐ No				
24.	What forms of risk management	t programs do you use	e? (Please check all that apply)				
	⁸³ DMC (Dairy Margin Cove	erage)	⁸⁴ Milk contracting				
	⁸⁵ Crop insurance		⁸⁶ Dairy revenue protection				
	87 ARC/PLC (Agriculture Lo	ss Coverage/Price Lo	ss Coverage)				
	BB Locking in inputs (ex., feed, fuel, seed, chemicals, fertilizers)						
25.	What percent of your family inco	ome comes from off-fa	arm employment?				
	⁸⁹ None 1-25%	□ 26-	50%	More than 75%			
26.	In the past 2 years have you div	versified your farm to p	provide more income in any of the following	ways?			
	⁹⁰ Agri-tourism ⁹¹ Specialty crops ⁹² Value-added products						

FARM SUCCESSION

	operation and decision making on the operation.							
27.	What is	your age? 93	Under 35	□ ;	35-49	□ 50-64	☐ 65 or older	
28.	In what	year did you begin to	o manage this farm?	94				
29.	9. Have you identified a successor who will eventually take over the management of your farm? 95 🔲 Yes 🔲 No							
	If Yes, what relation is that person to you?							
	96	Spouse	97 Child/childre	en	98 Othe	r family ⁹⁹	Other non-family	
30.	What do	o you anticipate will h	nappen to your opera	ation v	vhen you retire? (C	heck only one)		
	100	Farm will be turned	over to successor(s) who	will continue milkin	g		
		Farm will be turned	over to successor(s) who	will continue farmir	ng, but not milking		
		Farm will be sold to	another farmer					
		Farm will be rented/	leased to another fa	rmer				
		Farm will be sold for	r non-farm use					
		Farm will be left idle)					
		Unsure						
31.	Do you	have an estate plan?	? ¹⁰¹ Yes N	lo				
32.	Do you	have long-term healt	thcare insurance?	102	Yes No			
33.	3. What are the primary barriers to planning your succession? (Check your top 3 barriers)							
	103 No successor							
	104	Financial capacity o	of the farm to allow n	nore o	wners into the busi	ness		
	105	Too much debt curr	ently on the assets					
	106	Lack of communicat	tion about the plan a	ımong	farm/family memb	ers		
	107	Resistance to chang	ge					
	108	Ideas about the futu	ire of the farm too d	fferen	t among farm/famil	y members		
	109	Don't know how to s	start					
	110	Access to professio	nals to help with the	proce	ess			
	111	I have no barriers to	planning succession	n/l alr	eady have a succe	ssion plan		
	Thank you for taking the time to complete this survey! We know that you are busy and appreciate your help. If you have any comments that you would like to share with us, please write them here (or on an additional piece of paper) and include them in the return envelope provided.							
34.	Other C	Comments:						
-								
<i>y</i>								