ARM-ACM-403 (Rev. 8/17)



Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection Division of Agricultural Resource Management Bureau of Agrichemical Management PO Box 8911 Madison WI 53708-8911 Phone: (608) 224-4545

Wisconsin Clean Sweep Proposal Scoring Form 2018 Grant Applications: Agricultural, Household Hazardous Waste

Applicant: _____

Grant Request Ag: \$_____ Grant Request HHW: \$_____

HHW ONLY Temporary Continuous Permanent AG & HHW Temporary Continuous Permanent

Evaluation Criteria		Score
Partnerships/Service Area (2 to 8 possible po	oints)	
Single municipality (city, town, village, tribe)		
municipalities) = 4 points ; Single County = 6 μ	points; Multi-governmental (5 +) or multiple	
counties = 8 points		
Collection Type (2 to 6 possible points)		
Temporary (three days or less) = 2 points; Con	tinuous (four days or more) = 4 points;	
Permanent (collect at least 6 months of year w/	permanent infrastructure) = 6 points	
Previous funding (1 to 6 possible points per	grant type.)	
Ag last funded in $2017 = 1$ point	Ag last funded 2014 or before $= 4$	
HHW last funded in $2017 = 1$ point	HHW last funded in 2014 or before $= 4$	Ag
Ag last funded in $2016 = 2$	Ag not previously funded $= 6$	C
HHW last funded in $2016 = 2$	HHW not previously funded $= 6$	
Ag last funded in $2015 = 3$		HHW
HHW last funded in $2015 = 3$		
Waste Reduction Efforts Limit Waste (0 to	5 points possible)	
If yes, applicant should describe public outreach efforts to reduce, reuse and recycle or limit		
hazardous waste creation. ; No response = 0; Low effort or limited explanation = $2-3$,		
High effort or detailed explanation = 4-5		
Local Support (0 to 10 possible points)		
Applicant describes local support for clean sweep. Examples: local funding for program,		
volunteers, business donations, survey results, cooperation among community organizations,		
government resolutions, high participation levels or increasing participation over time.		
No response $= 0$, Low support or limited explanation $= 1-3$, Average support and		
documentation = $4-7$, High support with documentation = $8-10$		
Public Information (0 to 10 possible points)		
Applicant describes plan and methods of public outreach. Examples, press releases, radio		
interviews, ads, presentations, flyers, brochures, social media like Facebook, mailings,		
targeted underserved groups, ethnic group outreach. Note new methods for 2018 or use of		
successful method(s); No response = 0; Poor effort or limited explanation = 1-3; Average		
effort with a few ideas offered = 4-7; High effort with many ideas and methods presented or		
new methods described $= 8-10$		
Expanded Services (0 to 4 possible points)		
Applicant lists examples of expanded services such as adding a new partner, serving a new		
area, adding in another collection service; expanding hours. No response = 0; One new service		
= 1-2; Two new services or more $=$ 3-4.		
Raters Initials:	Total Score	