

APPENDICES

DATCP #4632

Wisconsin North Central Reliability Project

Lincoln and Marathon Counties

**WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,
TRADE AND CONSUMER PROTECTION**

PUBLISHED SEPTEMBER 17, 2025

APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS

Appendix A: Additional Figures & Tables.....	iii
Appendix B: Appraisal and Compensation Process	vii
Appendix C: Wisconsin Statutes	viii
Appendix D: Additional Information Sources	xxiii
Appendix E: Project Initiator Feedback Form.....	xxv

APPENDIX A: ADDITIONAL FIGURES & TABLES

Table 1: Table of agricultural landowners with impacted agricultural land.

Agricultural Landowner	Impacted Agricultural Land (acres)		Total
	<u>Permanent Easement</u>	<u>Temporary Easement</u>	
ADAM G CLEMENTS	0.79		0.79
ADAM T SCHULT	0.34		0.34
BRAD LUETTSCHWAGER	0.01		0.01
BRITTANY WENDORF	0.13		0.13
BRUCE E RADANT	0.00		0.00
C M ST P & P R R CO	1.40		1.40
CARISSA M KRAUCYK	1.83		1.83
CARL CHRISTIAN	1.10		1.10
CARLY A STICKNEY	0.00		0.00
CHAD E EMMER	0.88		0.88
CHAD HILDEBRANDT	0.36		0.36
CHANCE HALADA	8.99		8.99
CHRIS W BUCH	1.15		1.15
CHRISTIAN FARM REAL ESTATE LLC	2.67		2.67
CHRISTOPHE J KALAFICE	0.79		0.79
CHRISTOPHE P STOGBAUER	0.00		0.00
CITY OF WAUSAU	0.06		0.06
COREY TEITZ	0.00		0.00
DALE D HOWARD	0.06		0.06
DAVID E SUNBY	0.09		0.09
DAVID L TESKE	0.03		0.03
DENNIS K GRIFFIN	0.03		0.03
DONALD E PAGEL	1.66		1.66
DONALD J BOHR	0.14		0.14
EDWARD KEMERY	2.18		2.18
EDWIN BOLLEREY	2.64		2.64
ELVIRA B SAEGER	1.58		1.58
ERICH ROTH LIVING TRUST	0.39		0.39
ETHEL J NATZKE	0.11		0.11
EUGENE E WITTER	0.92		0.92
GENE A GRUETZMACHER	3.24	0.44	3.67
GEORGE W HENRICH IRREVOCABLE TRUST	0.40		0.40
GEORGE WALDVOGEL SR	1.59		1.59

GERALD N WEBER AND SANDRA J WEBER REVOCABLE TRUST	0.23		0.23
GREGORY A HARRIS	0.58		0.58
GREGORY R SCHIELD	0.93		0.93
GREGORY T GOETSCH	4.06		4.06
GTE NORTH INC	0.24		0.24
GWTW LLC	2.88		2.88
JACQUELINE KONOPACKI	0.00		0.00
JASON A GNATZ	0.02		0.02
JAY L VAN DER GEEST	1.87	0.29	2.17
JEFFREY C RAGUSE	0.07		0.07
JEFFREY L SCHULT	7.56	0.22	7.79
JEFFRY A LAMER	0.23		0.23
JEROME D KLUG	4.35		4.35
JOEL D MARQUARDT	1.28		1.28
JOHN BOHTE	1.13		1.13
JOHN C ALTERS II	0.54		0.54
JOHN J BOHTE	0.01		0.01
JOSEPH C YELLE	0.01		0.01
JOSHUA L LANGE	0.00		0.00
KATHRYN G COATES	0.42		0.42
KEITH O BAUER	3.40		3.40
KENNETH HOPPERDIETZEL	0.36		0.36
KRISTA L ZELINSKI	0.84		0.84
KURT AND KASI WAID LIVING TRUST	0.08		0.08
LARRY D JOHNSON	0.89		0.89
LEE P THAO	0.36		0.36
LEMMER FAMILY LEGACY TRUST	0.17		0.17
LEROY SCHMIDT	0.42		0.42
LINCOLN COUNTY	0.23		0.23
LINCOLN COUNTY HWY DEPT	0.03	0.22	0.25
LINUS D SCHMITT	2.89		2.89
LJ REAL ESTATE VENTURES LLC	1.67		1.67
LON E SAEGER	2.26		2.26
LYNN M MAIER	1.62		1.62
MARION MARTELL	3.19		3.19
MARK E VANDERKOY REVOCABLE TRUST	4.63		4.63
MARK L WEISS	0.07		0.07

MARTHA KIRKER	2.22	0.30	2.52
MARY KAY VAN DER GEEST	1.50		1.50
MATTHEW F HEYWOOD	0.08		0.08
MCM INCOME TRUST	0.00		0.00
MEIER POINT TRUST	0.05		0.05
MICHAEL LANDES	0.25		0.25
MKV REAL ESTATE LLC	1.53		1.53
PETER J BALTUS TRUST OF 2016	0.49		0.49
PETERSON JOINT REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST	1.67		1.67
RALPH W BUCH	1.06		1.06
RANDALL GRAVEEN	0.99		0.99
RANDALL L GRAVEEN	0.07		0.07
RIB MOUNTAIN BOWMENINC	1.25		1.25
ROBERT D JUNION	1.47		1.47
ROBERT HARTWIG	0.01		0.01
RODNEY J DAHLKE	1.85		1.85
ROGER J SIEWERT	0.00		0.00
ROY J KRAUSE	0.10		0.10
SCOTT A HAUGEN	2.15		2.15
SCOTT A PFAFF	1.39		1.39
SCOTT D BAHR	2.21		2.21
SEIDLER FAMILY FARMLLC	2.88		2.88
SHAWN TELLEKSON	0.00		0.00
SKIC'S INCORPORATED	1.76		1.76
STACY C SCHOEPKE	0.49		0.49
STANLEY J SKIC	0.55		0.55
STANLEY SKIC	1.65		1.65
STEVEN M LOWELL	0.07		0.07
SUSAN M CRUZ	0.01		0.01
TANNER GEHRMANN	1.96		1.96
THE PROPERTY BAUERS LLC	0.80		0.80
THOMAS LEA FARMS LLC	0.02		0.02
TIMOTHY B UTECHT	0.04		0.04
TODD AND CAMILLE NICKLAUS TRUST	0.41		0.41
TODD ZASTROW	0.31		0.31
TRACY L RAVN	0.44		0.44
TRAVIS B HETTINGA	1.44		1.44
TRENT D MCCARTHY	0.12		0.12
VANDERGEEST & SONS INC	4.21	0.37	4.59
VANG KHOUA LEE	0.36		0.36

WAYNE J FLANAGAN	0.01		0.01
WEIRAUCH PROPERTIES LLC	0.85		0.85
WILLIAM J FREUND	1.20		1.20
WIS PUBLIC SERVICE CORP	4.20		4.20
ZACHARY D LEZOTTE	0.39		0.39
Total:	129.59	1.85	131.44

APPENDIX B: APPRAISAL AND COMPENSATION PROCESS

The acquisition of land by entities including but not limited to departments, municipalities, boards, commissions, public officers, and business with eminent domain authority in Wisconsin, is stipulated under [Wis. Stat. §32.06](#). If the entity (the condemnor) actualizes their powers of eminent domain by exercising condemnation, the condemnor shall first provide an appraisal of the affected property to each landowner prior to the start of land acquisition negotiations. An appraisal is an estimate of fair market value, additional information about the appraisal process and landowners rights can be found in the Wisconsin Department of Administration publication, "[The Rights of Landowners under Wisconsin Eminent Domain Law](#)," also listed in Appendix C.

The condemnor may conduct a market study to determine current area property values of affected property. If the landowner signs an appraisal waiver form, the market study will be the basis for the condemnor's offer of compensation and no individual property appraisal will be conducted. The condemnor may also offer additional compensation to landowners who choose to sign the appraisal waiver form.

Landowners have the right to obtain their own appraisal of their property under Wisconsin's eminent domain law ([Wis. Stat. §32.06](#)) and will be compensated for the cost of this appraisal if the following conditions are met:

- 4) The appraisal must be submitted to the condemnor or its designated real estate contractor within 60 days after the landowner receives the initial appraisal
- 5) The appraisal fee must be reasonable
- 6) The appraisal must be a full, narrative appraisal
- 7) The appraisal must be completed by a qualified appraiser

Through the process of condemnation, a jurisdictional offer made to the landowner in accordance with [Wis. Stat. §32.06\(3\)](#) will include an appraisal of the fair market value for the land acquisition or easement and any anticipated damages to the property. The fair market value means the price that a willing buyer would pay to a willing seller in the market. This will be based on at least one full narrative appraisal for each property the condemnor intends to acquire. The appraisal must be presented to the landowner. The amount of compensation is based on the appraisal(s) and is established during the negotiation process between condemnor and the individual landowners.

The condemnor is required to provide landowners with information about their rights in this process before negotiations begin. [Wis. Stat. § 32.035\(4\)\(d\)](#) additionally stipulates that if the condemnor actualizes their condemnation authority, the condemnor cannot negotiate with a landowner or make a jurisdictional offer until 30 days after the AIS is published.

APPENDIX C: WISCONSIN STATUTES

The Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (the Department) is required to prepare an AIS whenever more than five acres of land from at least one farm operation will be acquired for a public project if the agency/company acquiring the land has the authority to use eminent domain for property acquisitions. The Department has the option to prepare an AIS for projects affecting five or fewer acres from each farm if the proposed project would have significant effects on a farm operation. The entity proposing a construction project is required to provide the Department with the necessary details of the project so that the potential impacts and effects of the project on farm operations can be analyzed. DATCP has 60 days to make recommendations and prepare the AIS. DATCP shall publish the AIS upon receipt of the fee required to prepare the AIS. The Department provides the AIS to affected farmland owners, various state and local officials, local media and libraries, and any other individual or group who requests a copy. Thirty days after the date of publication, the ATC may begin negotiating with the landowner(s) for the property.

I. AGRICULTURAL IMPACT STATEMENT STATUTE

[Wisconsin Statute § 32.035](#) is provided below and describes the Wisconsin Agricultural Impact Statement procedure and content.

(1) DEFINITIONS. In this section:

- (a) "Department" means department of agriculture, trade, and consumer protection.
- (b) "Farm operation" means any activity conducted solely or primarily for the production of one or more agricultural commodities resulting from an agricultural use, as defined in s. 91.01 (2), for sale and home use, and customarily producing the commodities in sufficient quantity to be capable of contributing materially to the operator's support.

(2) EXCEPTION. This section shall not apply if an environmental impact statement under s. 1.11 is prepared for the proposed project and if the department submits the information required under this section as part of such statement or if the condemnation is for an easement for the purpose of constructing or operating an electric transmission line, except a high voltage transmission line as defined in s. 196.491(1) (f).

(3) PROCEDURE. The condemnor shall notify the department of any project involving the actual or potential exercise of the powers of eminent domain affecting a farm operation. If the condemnor is the department of natural

resources, the notice required by this subsection shall be given at the time that permission of the senate and assembly committees on natural resources is sought under s. 23.09(2)(d) or 27.01(2)(a). To prepare an agricultural impact statement under this section, the department may require the condemnor to compile and submit information about an affected farm operation. The department shall charge the condemnor a fee approximating the actual costs of preparing the statement. The department may not publish the statement if the fee is not paid.

(4) IMPACT STATEMENT.

(a) *When an impact statement is required; permitted.* The department shall prepare an agricultural impact statement for each project, except a project under Ch. 82 or a project located entirely within the boundaries of a city or village, if the project involves the actual or potential exercise of the powers of eminent domain and if any interest in more than 5 acres from any farm operation may be taken. The department may prepare an agricultural impact statement on a project located entirely within the boundaries of a city or village or involving any interest in 5 or fewer acres of any farm operation if the condemnation would have a significant effect on any farm operation as a whole.

(b) *Contents.* The agricultural impact statement shall include:

1. A list of the acreage and description of all land lost to agricultural production and all other land with reduced productive capacity, whether or not the land is taken.
2. The department's analyses, conclusions, and recommendations concerning the agricultural impact of the project.

(c) *Preparation time; publication.* The department shall prepare the impact statement within 60 days of receiving the information requested from the condemnor under sub. (3). The department shall publish the statement upon receipt of the fee required under sub. (3).

(d) *Waiting period.* The condemnor may not negotiate with an owner or make a jurisdictional offer under this subchapter until 30 days after the impact statement is published.

(5) PUBLICATION. Upon completing the impact statement, the department shall distribute the impact statement to the following:

- (a) The governor's office.
- (b) The senate and assembly committees on agriculture and transportation.
- (c) All local and regional units of government that have jurisdiction over the area affected by the project. The department shall request that each unit post the statement at the place normally used for public notice.
- (d) Local and regional news media in the area affected.
- (e) Public libraries in the area affected.
- (f) Any individual, group, club, or committee that has demonstrated an interest and has requested receipt of such information.
- (g) The condemnor.

II. STATUTES GOVERNING EMINENT DOMAIN

The details governing eminent domain as it relates to WisDOT projects are included in Wis. Stat. Ch. 32 (<http://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/statutes/statutes/32.pdf>).

The Department recommends that farmland owners concerned about eminent domain powers and the acquisition of land should review this statute in its entirety. Landowners may also wish to consult with an attorney who should have expertise in eminent domain proceedings. In addition, any Wisconsin licensed appraiser that landowners employ regarding a project where eminent domain could be used should be knowledgeable in partial takings.

Section 32.09 of the Wisconsin Statutes describes the compensation provided for property acquisition and certain damages:

(6) In the case of a partial taking of property other than an easement, the compensation to be paid by the condemnor shall be the greater of either the fair market value of the property taken as of the date of evaluation or the sum determined by deducting from the fair market value of the whole property immediately before the date of evaluation, the fair market value of the remainder immediately after the date of evaluation, assuming the completion of the public improvement and giving effect, without allowance of offset for general benefits, and without restriction because of enumeration but without duplication, to the following items of loss or damage to the property where shown to exist:

(a) Loss of land including improvements and fixtures actually taken.

(b) Deprivation or restriction of existing right of access to highway from abutting land, provided that nothing herein shall operate to restrict the power of the state or any of its subdivisions or any municipality to deprive or restrict such access without compensation under any duly authorized exercise of the police power.

(c) Loss of air rights.

(d) Loss of a legal nonconforming use.

(e) Damages resulting from actual severance of land including damages resulting from severance of improvements or fixtures and proximity damage to improvements remaining on condemnee's land. In determining severance damages under this paragraph, the condemnor may consider damages which may arise during construction of the public improvement, including damages from noise, dirt, temporary interference with vehicular or pedestrian access to the property and limitations on use of the property. The condemnor may also consider costs of extra travel made necessary by the public improvement based on the increased distance after construction of the public improvement necessary to reach any point on the property from any other point on the property.

(f) Damages to property abutting on a highway right of way due to change of grade where accompanied by a taking of land.

(g) Cost of fencing reasonably necessary to separate land taken from remainder of condemnee's land, less the amount allowed for fencing taken under par. (a), but no such damage shall be allowed where the public improvement includes fencing of right of way without cost to abutting lands.

Section 32.19 of the Wisconsin Statutes outlines payments to be made to displaced tenant occupied businesses and farm operations.

(4m) BUSINESS OR FARM REPLACEMENT PAYMENT. (a) Owner-occupied business or farm operation. In addition to amounts otherwise authorized by this subchapter, the condemnor shall make a payment, not to exceed \$50,000, to any owner displaced person who has owned and occupied the business operation, or owned the farm operation, for not less than one year prior to the initiation of negotiations for the acquisition of the real property on which the business or farm operation lies, and who actually purchases a comparable replacement business or farm operation for the acquired property within two years after the date the person vacates the acquired property or receives payment from the condemnor, whichever is later. An owner displaced person who has owned and occupied the business operation, or owned the farm operation, for not less than one year prior to the initiation of negotiations for the acquisition of the real property on which the business or farm operation lies may elect to receive the payment under par. (b) 1. in lieu of the payment under this paragraph, but the amount of payment under par. (b) 1. to such

an owner displaced person may not exceed the amount the owner displaced person is eligible to receive under this paragraph. The additional payment under this paragraph shall include the following amounts:

1. The amount, if any, which when added to the acquisition cost of the property, other than any dwelling on the property, equals the reasonable cost of a comparable replacement business or farm operation for the acquired property, as determined by the condemnor.
2. The amount, if any, which will compensate such owner displaced person for any increased interest and other debt service costs which such person is required to pay for financing the acquisitions of any replacement property, if the property acquired was encumbered by a bona fide mortgage or land contract which was a valid lien on the property for at least one year prior to the initiation of negotiations for its acquisition. The amount under this subdivision shall be determined according to rules promulgated by the department of administration.
3. Reasonable expenses incurred by the displaced person for evidence of title, recording fees and other closing costs incident to the purchase of the replacement property, but not including prepaid expenses.

(b) Tenant-occupied business or farm operation. In addition to amounts otherwise authorized by this subchapter, the condemnor shall make a payment to any tenant displaced person who has owned and occupied the business operation, or owned the farm operation, for not less than one year prior to initiation of negotiations for the acquisition of the real property on which the business or operation lies or, if displacement is not a direct result of acquisition, such other event as determined by the department of commerce, and who actually rents or purchases a comparable replacement business or farm operation within 2 years after the date the person vacates the property. At the option of the tenant displaced person, such payment shall be either:

1. The amount, not to exceed \$30,000, which is necessary to lease or rent a comparable replacement business or farm operation for a period of 4 years. The payment shall be computed by determining the average monthly rent paid for the property from which the person was displaced for the 12 months prior to the initiation of negotiations or, if displacement is not a direct result of acquisition, such other event as determined by the department of administration and the monthly rent of a comparable replacement business or farm operation and multiply the difference by 48; or
2. If the tenant displaced person elects to purchase a comparable replacement business or farm operation, the amount determined under subd. 1 plus expenses under par. (a) 3.

(5) EMINENT DOMAIN. Nothing in this section or ss. 32.25 to 32.27 shall be construed as creating in any condemnation proceedings brought under the power of eminent domain, any element of damages.

Section 32.25 of the Wisconsin Statutes delineates steps to be followed when displacing persons, businesses, and farm operations.

(1) Except as provided under sub.(3) and s. 85.09 (4m), no condemnor may proceed with any activity that may involve the displacement of persons, business concerns or farm operations until the condemnor has filed in writing a relocation payment plan and relocation assistance service plan and has had both plans approved in writing by the department of administration.

(2) The relocation assistance service plan shall contain evidence that the condemnor has taken reasonable and appropriate steps to:

(a) Determine the cost of any relocation payments and services or the methods that are going to be used to determine such costs.

(b) Assist owners of displaced business concerns and farm operations in obtaining and becoming established in suitable business locations or replacement farms.

(c) Assist displaced owners or renters in the location of comparable dwellings.

(d) Supply information concerning programs of federal, state and local governments which offer assistance to displaced persons and business concerns.

(e) Assist in minimizing hardships to displaced persons in adjusting to relocation.

(f) Secure, to the greatest extent practicable, the coordination of relocation activities with other project activities and other planned or proposed governmental actions in the community or nearby areas which may affect the implementation of the relocation program.

(g) Determine the approximate number of persons, farms or businesses that will be displaced and the availability of decent, safe and sanitary replacement housing.

(h) Assure that, within a reasonable time prior to displacement, there will be available, to the extent that may reasonably be accomplished, housing meeting the standards established by the department of administration for decent, safe and sanitary dwellings. The housing, so far as practicable, shall be in areas not generally less desirable in regard to public utilities, public and commercial facilities and at rents or prices within the financial means of the families and individuals displaced and equal in number to the number of such displaced families or individuals and reasonably accessible to their places of employment.

(i) Assure that a person shall not be required to move from a dwelling unless the person has had a reasonable opportunity to relocate to a comparable dwelling.

(3) (a) Subsection (1) does not apply to any of the following activities engaged in by a condemnor:

1. Obtaining an appraisal of property.
2. Obtaining an option to purchase property, regardless of whether the option specifies the purchase price, if the property is not part of a program or project receiving federal financial assistance.

III. STATUTES GOVERNING ACCESS

Section 86.05 of the Wisconsin Statutes states that access shall be provided to land which abuts a highway:

Entrances to highway restored. Whenever it is necessary, in making any highway improvement to cut or fill or otherwise grade the highway in front of any entrance to abutting premises, a suitable entrance to the premises shall be constructed as a part of the improvements, and if the premises are divided by the highway, then one such entrance shall be constructed on each side of the highway. Thereafter, each entrance shall be maintained by the owner of the premises. During the time the highway is under construction, the state, county, city, village or town shall not be responsible for any damage that may be sustained through the absence of an entrance to any such premises.

Section 84.25 of the Wisconsin Statutes describes access restrictions concerning a controlled-access highway.

(3) CONSTRUCTION; OTHER POWERS OF DEPARTMENT. In order to provide for the public safety, convenience and the general welfare, the department may use an existing highway or provide new and additional facilities for a controlled-access highway and so design the same and its appurtenances, and so regulate, restrict or prohibit access to or departure from it as the department deems necessary or desirable. The department may eliminate intersections at grade of controlled-access highways with existing highways or streets, by grade separation or service road, or by closing off such roads and streets at the right-of-way boundary line of such controlled-access highway and may divide and separate any controlled-access highway into separate roadways or lanes by raised curbing, dividing sections or other physical separations or by signs, markers, stripes or other suitable devices, and may execute any construction necessary in the development of a controlled-access highway including service roads or separation of grade structures.

(4) CONNECTIONS BY OTHER HIGHWAYS. After the establishment of any controlled-access highway, no street or highway or private driveway, shall be opened into or connected with any controlled-access highway without the previous consent and approval of the department in writing, which shall be given only if the public interest shall be served thereby and shall specify the terms and conditions on which such consent and approval is given.

(5) USE OF HIGHWAY. No person shall have any right of entrance upon or departure from or travel across any controlled-access highway, or to or from abutting lands except at places designated and provided for such purposes, and on such terms and conditions as may be specified from time to time by the department.

(6) ABUTTING OWNERS. After the designation of a controlled-access highway, the owners or occupants of abutting lands shall have no right or easement of access, by reason of the fact that their property abuts on the controlled-access highway or for other reason, except only the controlled right of access and of light, air or view.

(7) SPECIAL CROSSING PERMITS. Whenever property held under one ownership is severed by a controlled-access highway, the department may permit a crossing at a designated location, to be used solely for travel between the severed parcels, and such use shall cease if such parcels pass into separate ownership.

IV. STATUTES GOVERNING DRAINAGE

Section 88.87(2) of the Wisconsin Statutes describes regulations concerning rights of drainage:

(a) Whenever any county, town, city, village, railroad company or the department of transportation has heretofore constructed and now maintains or hereafter constructs and maintains any highway or railroad grade in or across any marsh, lowland, natural depression, natural watercourse, natural or man-made channel or drainage course, it shall not impede the general flow of surface water or stream water in any unreasonable manner so as to cause either an unnecessary accumulation of waters flooding or water-soaking uplands or an unreasonable accumulation and discharge of surface water flooding or water-soaking lowlands. All such highways and railroad grades shall be constructed with adequate ditches, culverts, and other facilities as may be feasible, consonant with sound engineering practices, to the end of maintaining as far as practicable the original flow lines of drainage. This paragraph does not apply to highways or railroad grades used to hold and retain water for cranberry or conservation management purposes.

(b) Drainage rights and easements may be purchased or condemned by the public authority or railroad company having control of the highway or railroad grade to aid in the

prevention of damage to property owners which might otherwise occur as a result of failure to comply with par. (a).

(c) If a city, village, town, county, or railroad company or the department of transportation constructs and maintains a highway or railroad grade not in accordance with par. (a), any property owner damaged by the highway or railroad grade may, within 3 years after the alleged damage occurred, file a claim with the appropriate governmental agency or railroad company. The claim shall consist of a sworn statement of the alleged faulty construction and a description, sufficient to determine the location of the lands, of the lands alleged to have been damaged by flooding or water-soaking. Within 90 days after the filing of that claim, the governmental agency or railroad company shall either correct the cause of the water damage, acquire rights to use the land for drainage or overflow purposes, or deny the claim. If the agency or company denies the claim or fails to take any action within 90 days after the filing of the claim, the property owner may bring an action in inverse condemnation under ch. 32 or sue for such other relief, other than damages, as may be just and equitable.

WisDOT [specification 205.3.3](#) further describes its policies concerning drainage:

- (1)** During construction, maintain roadway, ditches, and channels in a well-drained condition at all times by keeping the excavation areas and embankments sloped to the approximate section of the ultimate earth grade. Perform blading or leveling operations when placing embankments and during the process of excavation except if the excavation is in ledge rock or areas where leveling is not practical or necessary. If it is necessary in the prosecution of the work to interrupt existing surface drainage, sewers, or under drainage, provide temporary drainage until completing permanent drainage work.
- (2)** If storing salvaged topsoil on the right-of-way during construction operations, stockpile it to preclude interference with or obstruction of surface drainage.
- (3)** Seal subgrade surfaces as specified for subgrade intermediate consolidation and trimming in 207.3.9.
- (4)** Preserve, protect, and maintain all existing tile drains, sewers, and other subsurface drains, or parts thereof that the engineer judges should continue in service without change. Repair, at no expense to the department, all damage to these facilities resulting from negligence or carelessness of the contractor's operations.

V. LANDOWNER BILL OF RIGHTS

[Wisconsin Statute § 182.017](#) **Transmission lines; privileges; damages** is provided below:

(1g) Definitions. In this section:

(a) "Commission" means the public service commission.

(b) "Company" means any of the following:

1. A corporation, limited liability company, partnership, or other business entity organized to furnish telegraph or telecommunications service or transmit heat, power, or electric current to the public or for public purposes.
2. An independent system operator, as defined in s. 196.485 (1) (d).
3. An independent transmission owner, as defined in s. 196.485 (1) (dm).
4. A cooperative association organized under ch. 185 or 193 to furnish telegraph or telecommunications service.
5. A cooperative association organized under ch. 185 to transmit heat, power, or electric current to its members.
6. An interim cable operator, as defined in s. 66.0420 (2) (n).
7. A video service provider, as defined in s. 66.0420 (2) (zg).

(bm) "Municipal regulation" means any contract, ordinance, resolution, order, or other regulation entered into, enacted, or issued by a municipality before, on, or after July 2, 2013.

(c) "Municipality" means a city, village, or town.

(cq) "Telecommunications service" means the offering for sale of the conveyance of voice, data, or other information, including the sale of service for collection, storage, forwarding, switching, and delivery incidental to such communication regardless of the technology or mode used to make such offering.

(ct) "Urban rail transit system" means a system, either publicly or privately owned, which provides transportation by rail in a municipality to the public on a regular and continuing basis and which begins service on or after July 2, 2013.

(d) "Video service network" has the meaning given in s. 66.0420 (2) (zb).

(1r) Right-of-way for. Any company may, subject to ss. 30.44 (3m), 30.45, 86.16, and 196.491 (3) (d) 3m. and to reasonable regulations made by any municipality through which its transmission lines or systems may pass, construct and maintain such lines or

systems with all necessary appurtenances in, across or beneath any public highway or bridge or any stream or body of water, or upon any lands of any owner consenting thereto, and for such purpose may acquire lands or the necessary easements; and may connect and operate its lines or system with other lines or systems devoted to like business, within or without this state, and charge reasonable rates for the transmission and delivery of messages or the furnishing of heat, power, or electric light.

(2) Not to obstruct public use. But no such line or system or any appurtenance thereto shall at any time obstruct or incommode the public use of any highway, bridge, stream or body of water.

(3) Abandoned lines removed. The commission after a public hearing as provided in s. 196.26, and subject to the right of review as provided in ch. 227, may declare any line to have been abandoned or discontinued, if the facts warrant such finding. Whenever such a finding shall have been made ATC shall remove such line, and on failure for 3 months after such finding of abandonment or discontinuance, any person owning land over, through or upon which such line shall pass, may remove the same, or the supervisors of any town within which said lines may be situated, may remove the said lines from the limits of its highways, and such person or supervisors shall be entitled to recover from ATC owning the lines the expense for labor involved in removing the property.

(4) Location of poles. In case of dispute as to the location of poles, pipes or conduits, the commissioners appointed in condemnation proceedings under ch. 32 may determine the location. In no case, except where the owner consents, shall poles be set in front of or upon any residence property, or in front of a building occupied for business purposes, unless the commissioners find that the same is necessary and the court may review the finding.

(5) Tree trimming. Any company which shall in any manner destroy, trim or injure any shade or ornamental trees along any such lines or systems, or, in the course of tree trimming or removal, cause any damage to buildings, fences, crops, livestock or other property, except by the consent of the owner, or after the right so to do has been acquired, shall be liable to the person aggrieved in 3 times the actual damage sustained, besides costs.

(6) Municipal franchise required. No lighting or heating corporation or lighting or heating cooperative association shall have any right hereunder in any municipality until it has obtained a franchise or written consent for the erection or installation of its lines from such municipality.

(7) High-voltage transmission lines. Any easement for rights-of-way for high-voltage transmission lines as defined under s. 196.491 (1) (f) shall be subject to all of the following conditions and limitations:

- (a) The conveyance under ch. 706 and, if applicable, the petition under s. 32.06 (7), shall describe the interest transferred by specifying, in addition to the length and width of the right-of-way, the number, type and maximum height of all structures to be erected thereon, the minimum height of the transmission lines above the landscape, and the number and maximum voltage of the lines to be constructed and operated thereon.
- (b) In determining just compensation for the interest under s. 32.09, damages shall include losses caused by placement of the line and associated facilities near fences or natural barriers such that lands not taken are rendered less readily accessible to vehicles, agricultural implements and aircraft used in crop work, as well as damages resulting from ozone effects and other physical phenomena associated with such lines, including but not limited to interference with telephone, television and radio communication.
- (c) In constructing and maintaining high-voltage transmission lines on the property covered by the easement the utility shall:
 - 1. If excavation is necessary, ensure that the top soil is stripped, piled and replaced upon completion of the operation.
 - 2. Restore to its original condition any slope, terrace, or waterway which is disturbed by the construction or maintenance.
 - 3. Insofar as is practicable and when the landowner requests, schedule any construction work in an area used for agricultural production at times when the ground is frozen in order to prevent or reduce soil compaction.
 - 4. Clear all debris and remove all stones and rocks resulting from construction activity upon completion of construction.
 - 5. Satisfactorily repair to its original condition any fence damaged as a result of construction or maintenance operations. If cutting a fence is necessary, a temporary gate shall be installed. Any such gate shall be left in place at the landowner's request.
 - 6. Repair any drainage tile line within the easement damaged by such construction or maintenance.
 - 7. Pay for any crop damage caused by such construction or maintenance.

8. Supply and install any necessary grounding of a landowner's fences, machinery or buildings.
- (d) The utility shall control weeds and brush around the transmission line facilities. No herbicidal chemicals may be used for weed and brush control without the express written consent of the landowner. If weed and brush control is undertaken by the landowner under an agreement with the utility, the landowner shall receive from the utility a reasonable amount for such services.
- (e) The landowner shall be afforded a reasonable time prior to commencement of construction to harvest any trees located within the easement boundaries, and if the landowner fails to do so, the landowner shall nevertheless retain title to all trees cut by the utility.
- (f) The landowner shall not be responsible for any injury to persons or property caused by the design, construction or upkeep of the high-voltage transmission lines or towers.
- (g) The utility shall employ all reasonable measures to ensure that the landowner's television and radio reception is not adversely affected by the high-voltage transmission lines.
- (h) The utility may not use any lands beyond the boundaries of the easement for any purpose, including ingress to and egress from the right-of-way, without the written consent of the landowner.
- (i) The rights conferred under pars. (c) to (h) may be specifically waived by the landowner in an easement conveyance which contains such paragraphs verbatim.
- (8) Commission review.**
- (a) Upon complaint by a company that a regulation by a municipality under sub. (1r) is unreasonable, the commission shall set a hearing and, if the commission finds that the regulation is unreasonable, the regulation shall be void. Subject to pars. (am) to (c), if the commission determines that a municipal regulation that was in effect on January 1, 2007, and immediately prior to January 9, 2008, or that a community standard, as demonstrated through consistent practice and custom in the municipality, that was in effect on January 1, 2007, and immediately prior to January 9, 2008, is substantially the same as the municipal regulation complained of, there is a rebuttable presumption that the latter regulation is reasonable.
- (am) A municipal regulation is unreasonable if it has the effect of creating a moratorium on the placement of company lines or systems under sub. (1r) or on

the entrance into the municipality of a video service provider, as defined in s. 66.0420 (2) (zg), or is inconsistent with the purposes of s. 66.0420.

(as) Notwithstanding sub. (2), a municipal regulation is unreasonable if it requires a company to pay any part of the cost to modify or relocate ATC's facilities to accommodate an urban rail transit system.

(b) A municipal regulation is unreasonable if it requires a company to pay more than the actual cost of functions undertaken by the municipality to manage company access to and use of municipal rights-of-way. These management functions include all of the following:

1. Registering companies, including the gathering and recording of information necessary to conduct business with a company.
2. Except as provided in provided in par. (c), issuing, processing, and verifying excavation or other company permit applications, including supplemental applications.
3. Inspecting company job sites and restoration projects.
4. Maintaining, supporting, protecting, or moving company equipment during work in municipal rights-of-way.
5. Undertaking restoration work inadequately performed by a company after providing notice and the opportunity to correct the work.
6. Revoking company permits.
7. Maintenance of databases.
8. Scheduling and coordinating highway, street, and right-of-way work relevant to a company permit.

(c) A municipal regulation is unreasonable if it requires a company to be responsible for fees under s. 182.0175 (1m) (bm) that may be assessed to a municipality as a member of the one-call system under s. 182.0175.

(d) It is reasonable for a municipal regulation to provide for the recovery of costs incurred under par. (b) 1., 2., 3., and 7. through a preexcavation permit fee.

(e) It is reasonable for a municipal regulation to provide for the recovery of costs incurred under par. (b) 4., 5., and 6. only from ATC that is responsible for causing the municipality to incur the costs.

(9) Time limit for permits. If a municipality establishes a permit process under sub. (1r), the municipality shall approve or deny a permit application no later than 60 days after receipt of the application, and, if the municipality fails to do so, the municipality shall be considered to have approved the application and granted the permit. If a municipality denies a permit application, the municipality shall provide the applicant a written explanation of the reasons for the denial at the time that the municipality denies the application.

APPENDIX D: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SOURCES

Wisconsin State Statutes

- 1) Wisconsin Statute Chapter 91: [Farmland Preservation](#)
 - a. Subchapter 91.46(4): [Conditional Uses](#)
- 2) Wisconsin Statute Chapter 32: [Eminent Domain](#)
 - a. Subchapter 32.035: [Agricultural Impact Statement](#)

Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection Website Links

- 3) [DATCP \(dATCp.wi.gov\)](#)
- 4) [Farmland Preservation](#)
- 5) [Agricultural Impact Statements](#)
- 6) [Wisconsin Farm Center](#) (Information on services provided to Wisconsin farmers including financial mediation, stray voltage, legal, vocational, and farm transfers)
- 7) [Drainage Districts](#)

Department of Administration (DOA) Website Links

- 8) [DOA \(doa.wi.gov\)](#)
- 9) [Relocation Assistance](#) (Publications on landowner rights under Wisconsin's eminent domain law)
- 10) [Wisconsin Relocation Rights Residential](#)
- 11) [Wisconsin Relocation Rights for Businesses, Farm and Nonprofit Organizations](#)
- 12) [The Rights of Landowners under Wisconsin Eminent Domain Law](#), Procedures under sec. 32.06 Wis. Stats. (Condemnation procedures in matters other than highways, streets, storm & sanitary sewers, watercourses, alleys, airports and mass transit facilities)

Department of Natural Resources (facility plan) Website Links

- 13) [DNR \(dnr.wi.gov\)](#)
- 14) [Managed Forest Law](#)

U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA)

- 15) [USDA \(usda.gov\)](#)
- 16) [National Agricultural Statistics Service](#)
- 17) [Web Soil Survey](#)
- 18) [Soil Quality – Urban Technical Note No. 1, Erosion and Sedimentation on Construction Sites](#)

Wisconsin Department of Safety and Professional Services (DSPS)

- 19) [DSPS \(dsps.wi.gov\)](https://dsps.wi.gov)
- 20) [Real Estate Appraisers](#) (Look-up for state certification status of different types of real estate appraisers)

State Bar of Wisconsin

- 21) [State Bar of Wisconsin \(www.wisbar.org\)](http://www.wisbar.org) (For general legal information and assistance in finding a lawyer)

APPENDIX E: PROJECT INITIATOR FEEDBACK FORM

See attachment on the next page

Agricultural Impact Statement (AIS) Program: Project Initiator Response to AIS Recommendations

Respondent's Name	<u>American Transmission Company</u>	Project Name	<u>North Central Wisconsin Reliability Project</u>
Date of Respondent's Response	<u>09/12/2025</u>	Project Initiator	<u>American Transmission Company (ATC)</u>
Date of DATCP Response	<u>9/16/25</u>	AIS #	<u>4632</u>

AIS Recommendation to Project Initiator	Project Initiator Response / Comments / Objections	AIS Program Response / Action
1) The Department recommends ATC follow all the additional recommended mitigation efforts described in Section 5.4 through Section 5.7 to mitigate project impacts to or regarding: clean up and restoration, soil health, drainage, agricultural infrastructure, and erosion and conservation practices.		
1A. Please provide any comment or commitment ATC voluntarily makes to DATCP's recommendations within Section 5.4 Soil Health (5.4.1 De-icing & Traction Control, 5.4.2 Soil Compaction, 5.4.3, Topsoil Mixing)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATC will voluntarily commit to all or some (if so, clarify) of recommendations within this section <input type="checkbox"/> ATC does not commit to the recommendations within this section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Comments: 5.4.1 -ATC anticipates the use of sodium chloride and sand mixture or other grit to minimize the amount of chloride used. We want to maintain the flexibility to use what we need to maintain a safe work environment. The field staff will be trained in the appropriate application of sodium chloride in an effort to minimize its use. Should any sodium chloride be spilled, it will be treated the same as any other spill. It will be cleaned up in a timely manner and documented. Field staff will be trained on appropriate application and	<p>Further descriptions of ATC mitigation measures for De-icing and soil compaction are appreciated.</p> <p>Regarding 5.4.1, DATCP has edited the section regarding BMPs to add the following: "The Department did not find mention of mitigation practices related to de-icing and traction control within the Project's CPCN application. However, in the Project Initiator Feedback Form (Appendix F), ATC shared that it plans to use a mixture of sodium chloride and sand or other grit to minimize the amount of chloride used. Additionally, the field staff will be trained in the appropriate application of sodium chloride in an effort to minimize its use, and will be trained on clean-up response should a spill occur. Should</p>

Agricultural Impact Statement (AIS) Program: Project Initiator Response to AIS Recommendations

	<p>clean-up response should a spill occur</p> <p>5.4.2 – Access routes for heavy equipment are planned to be matted which will help spread out the weight and reduce the psi as well as minimize impact to saturated/unstable areas. Matting is also planned in the event the ground does not freeze.</p>	<p>any sodium chloride be spilled, it will be cleaned up in a timely manner and documented, being treated the same as any other spill.”</p> <p>Regarding section 5.4.2, DATCP has added the following description: “In the Project Initiator Feedback Form (Appendix F), ATC shares that it plans to use matting on access routes in order to spread out weight of heavy equipment. Matting is also planned to be used during winter construction in the event the ground does not freeze.”</p>
<p>1B. Please provide any comment or commitment ATC voluntarily makes to DATCP’s recommendations within Section 5.5 Drainage (5.5.1 Drainage Tiles, 5.5.2 Dewatering, 5.5.3 Stormwater and Erosion Control Permitting)</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATC will voluntarily commit to all or some (if so, clarify) of recommendations within this section</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ATC does not commit to the recommendations within this section</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Comments: 5.5.1 #4, Access can be matted for heavy equipment use over drain tile as necessary. 5.5.2 - Will discharge to upland areas where available. ATC’s statewide dewatering permit will allow for discharge to wetlands so long it is in line with the DNR technical standard for dewatering. In some cases, well-vegetated areas are not available (Example: corn/soybean field). Discharge to upland areas where available in the case of a bare field. Would discharge in a manner that would be low volume so as not to cause an increased erosion issue. Filter bags</p>	<p>Section 5.5.1 has been edited to note that ATC will matted access points where heavy equipment will be used over drainage tiles as necessary.</p>

Agricultural Impact Statement (AIS) Program: Project Initiator Response to AIS Recommendations

	would also be used to capture sediment prior to discharge.	
1C. Please provide any comment or commitment ATC voluntarily makes to DATCP's recommendations within Section 5.6 Compensation (5.6.1 Aerial Application of Seeds and Sprays, 5.6.2 Biosecurity, 5.6.3 Crop Rotation and Dairy Operations, 5.6.4 Fencing, 5.6.5 Irrigation, 5.6.6 Organic Farms and Other Areas with Certifications)	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATC will voluntarily commit to all or some (if so, clarify) of recommendations within this section</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ATC does not commit to the recommendations within this section</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Comments: 5.6.1 #3 – "ATC shall install colored wire shielding near fields that utilize aerial applications" – ATC is not aware of this practice and not installing colored wire shielding. 5.6.2 - During the project we will plan to install temporary fencing to keep livestock out of the work areas to minimize contact with livestock as well as contact with manure. We do not however have control over where farmers land apply their manure, and if they should apply it over the mat roads or in a field we are just starting to access into we will have to address that. 5.6.6#6- We do not recommend doing this. This can create a lot of plastic particles in the field, as little bits of the geotextile get worn off or broken off, and be difficult to remove 100% of the fabric. It seems more appropriate to put more effort on cleaning equipment before entry and being prepared to respond to any spills (fuel, oil, etc) and documenting the event/cleanup. 5.6.6 - ATC addresses organic certified farm operations within section 7.4.4 of the CPCN application.</p>	<p>In regards to ATC's response in 5.6.1 #3, color wire shielding near fields that utilize aerial applications is a common recommendation that DATCP has offered from past projects to ensure the safety of pilots around transmission wires. After discussion with ATC on this point, DATCP will change recommendation 5.6.1 #3 to "ATC should install a visual indicator to increase visibility of transmission line wires to aerial application pilots, such as colored wire shielding, marker balls or equivalent marker as appropriate."</p> <p>In regards to 5.6.6, recommendation #6, as long as equipment cleaning practices are proscribed according to organic farm certification standards to mitigate the probability of prohibited substances from contaminating certified areas, then this is sufficient to DATCP. Recommendation #6 "ATC may wish to underlay heavily used areas of the ROW with geotextile fabric in order to limit the potential for prohibited substances from contaminating areas with certification" has been removed to avoid redundancy as ATC has already cited having cleaning practices within 7.4.4 of their CPCN application and</p>

Agricultural Impact Statement (AIS) Program: Project Initiator Response to AIS Recommendations

		recommendation #5 of 5.6.6 of AIS 4632 recommends that ATC and farms with organic certification agree to appropriate methods to avoid unintentional contacts or applications of prohibited chemicals from entering their farms.
1D. Please provide any comment or commitment ATC voluntarily makes to DATCP's recommendations within Section 5.7 Erosion and Conservation Practices (5.7.1 Construction Debris, 5.7.2 Construction Noise and Dust, 5.7.3 Managed Forest Law, 5.7.4 Stray Voltage, 5.7.5 Temporary Access Roads, 5.7.6 Weed Control)	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATC will voluntarily commit to all or some (if so, clarify) of recommendations within this section</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ATC does not commit to the recommendations within this section</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Comments: 5.7 – Depends on time of year and what the LO (Landowner) requests. Some LO may want to do their own decompaction/seeding. We would propose to work with the LO to return the ag lands back to their use in an acceptable manner. ATC will try to avoid these features, but cannot say with 100% certainty that will be the case. If these features are impacted they will be returned to pre-construction conditions. 5.7.2 - Prefer to avoid installation of the rock tracking pads. With the majority of this project having access that is matted the equipment doesn't tend to be in contact with the soil layer. Past project experience has shown the use of the matting is just as effective when the primary access roads are also matted. Plus the material in rock tracking pads is more prone to getting tracked out into</p>	<p>In regards to the comment on 5.7.2 #6 and 5.7.6 #4, if the construction access points are matted in a manner that mitigates soil disturbance and compaction to the degree practicable, this is a sufficient enough practice to DATCP. This recommendation has been edited to say "ATC should use tracking pads or equivalent matting at frequently used access points to mitigate soil disturbance and compaction to the degree practicable."</p> <p>In regards to 5.7.3 #1, recommending that "ATC should adjust the placement of transmission line poles to minimize the need for tree removal and prioritize the preservation of trees used for windbreaks" this recommendation has been modified to instead say "ATC should discuss the placement of transmission line poles with landowners to minimize the need for tree removal and prioritize the preservation of trees used for windbreaks to the degree practicable" to encourage adjustments where possible based on landowner concerns and feedback.</p>

Agricultural Impact Statement (AIS) Program: Project Initiator Response to AIS Recommendations

	<p>the roadways causing safety hazards.</p> <p>5.7.3 #1 – The transmission line corridor has been set as submitted in the CPCN. The routing of this line has taken into account minimizing impacts. 5.7.4 - ATC will work with the local LDC to test dairy farms that are within the ½ mile AND meet the collocation requirement (T & D within 150' for 1,000' or more). In addition, the LDC has the option to request testing at additional farms.</p> <p>5.7.6 – Same comment as 5.7.2 Prefer to avoid installation of the rock tracking pads. With the majority of this project having access that is matted the equipment doesn't tend to be in contact with the soil layer. Past project experience has shown the use of the matting is just as effective when the primary access roads are also matted. Plus the material in rock tracking pads is more prone to getting tracked out into the roadways causing safety hazards.</p>	<p>In regards to 5.7.4, DATCP updated the following description accordingly: "ATC stated within section 7.4.7 of their CPCN application that they identified four confined animal dairy operations located on the Alternate Route that meet the criteria described in the CPCN application for pre- and post-construction NEV testing"</p>
<p>2) ATC should provide agricultural landowners and operators advanced notice of acquisition and construction schedules so agricultural activities can be adjusted accordingly.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATC will voluntarily commit to all or some (if so, clarify) of recommendations within this section</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ATC does not commit to the recommendations within this section</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.</p>	
<p>3) ATC should provide landowners with direct phone numbers and email addresses to ATC's Agricultural Specialist and project contractors that are able to respond to a range of topics including but not limited to: environmental & agricultural impacts, land acquisition & ROW, project schedule, access limitations, compensation</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATC will voluntarily commit to all or some (if so, clarify) of recommendations within this section</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ATC does not commit to the recommendations within this section</p>	

Agricultural Impact Statement (AIS) Program: Project Initiator Response to AIS Recommendations

for release of lands from conservation programming and project complaints.	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Comments:Click or tap here to enter text.	
4) If there is adequate growing season for a crop to mature and be harvested after ATC has an interest in the impacted lands, but before construction along the Project corridor begins, ATC should allow the current agricultural operators to harvest a crop for that season to the extent possible or the ATC shall compensate the agricultural operators for crop damages.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATC will voluntarily commit to all or some (if so, clarify) of recommendations within this section <input type="checkbox"/> ATC does not commit to the recommendations within this section <input type="checkbox"/> Other Comments:Click or tap here to enter text.	
5) ATC should consult with the affected agricultural landowners and operators to ensure any relocated, temporary or newly established agricultural land access points are located in areas that provide safe and efficient access to agricultural properties.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATC will voluntarily commit to all or some (if so, clarify) of recommendations within this section <input type="checkbox"/> ATC does not commit to the recommendations within this section <input type="checkbox"/> Other Comments:Click or tap here to enter text.	
6) ATC should provide appropriate compensation to all landowners with land enrolled in a conservation easement or farm program if the landowner must reimburse the administering agency for the land's removal or alteration. These conservation or farm programs could include, but are not limited to, Conservation Reserve Program (CRP), Conservation Reserve and Enhancement Program (CREP), Farmland Preservation Program (FP), or Managed Forest Law (MFL).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATC will voluntarily commit to all or some (if so, clarify) of recommendations within this section <input type="checkbox"/> ATC does not commit to the recommendations within this section <input type="checkbox"/> Other Comments:Click or tap here to enter text.	
7) ATC should work with landowners to identify effective CRP agreements prior to any construction or site disturbance activities and coordinate with the appropriate Wisconsin CRP contact regarding effective CRP contracts within the project area and coordinate with FSA regarding impact mitigation to CREP enrolled lands and/or potential contract (CRP-1) releases as soon as expected construction or site disturbance activities are known.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATC will voluntarily commit to all or some (if so, clarify) of recommendations within this section <input type="checkbox"/> ATC does not commit to the recommendations within this section <input type="checkbox"/> Other Comments:Click or tap here to enter text.	
8) ATC should consult the Department as soon as a route is selected affording as much time as possible prior to construction regarding the status of effective agreements within the project corridor and for information regarding	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATC will voluntarily commit to all or some (if so, clarify) of recommendations within this section	

Agricultural Impact Statement (AIS) Program: Project Initiator Response to AIS Recommendations

<p>required releases of land and repayment of funds for any potential CREP or FP agreements within the chosen project corridor.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> ATC does not commit to the recommendations within this section <input type="checkbox"/> Other Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.</p>	
<p>9) ATC is advised to consult the applicable County Land Conservation Department on the existence of installed SWRM conservation practices within the Project area.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATC will voluntarily commit to all or some (if so, clarify) of recommendations within this section <input type="checkbox"/> ATC does not commit to the recommendations within this section <input type="checkbox"/> Other Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.</p>	
<p>10) ATC should implement training for all construction supervisors, inspectors, and crews to ensure that they understand the steps needed to protect the integrity of agricultural lands and operations during project construction and restoration.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATC will voluntarily commit to all or some (if so, clarify) of recommendations within this section <input type="checkbox"/> ATC does not commit to the recommendations within this section <input type="checkbox"/> Other Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.</p>	

Agricultural Impact Statement (AIS) Program: Project Initiator Response to AIS Recommendations

Other Comments from the Project Initiator

AIS Document (Section Number, Page Number, Paragraph Number)	Project Initiator Response / Comments	AIS Program Response / Action
5.3, Cleanup and Restoration, 37, 3	ATC has a standard procedure for reimbursing landowners for crop damage. The process involves collaborating with landowners to identify affected acres, crop types, reviewing annual yields, and determining payments based on local market values. ATC does not concur with the Department's approach to providing a formula for compensating landowners.	The recommendation described here is a general recommendation DATCP employs in AISs that seeks to compensate for yield reductions that are due to construction activities that may linger beyond construction time. However, as ATC does layout their standard procedure which is dependent on crop type, DATCP removed the following sentence "ATC may structure this reimbursement over a 2 – 4-year timeframe, but the total reimbursement should be no less than 200%". Language for the aforementioned paragraph has been updated to the following: "The Department acknowledges the potential of lingering post-construction yield reductions that may take two or more years to recover. To compensate for the potential of post construction yield reductions, the Department recommends that agricultural landowners request reimbursement for 100% of crop value within the construction area for each year of lost production, plus an additional percentage of crop value paid depending on the crop type in the affected area. An example agreement may reimburse an agricultural landowner for 100% crop loss the year of construction, followed by a 60% reimbursement in the second year and 40% for the third year. Agricultural landowners should also work with the ATC to determine the most appropriate way to determine the value of the crop within the ROW during the year of construction, as well as future crop value.

Agricultural Impact Statement (AIS) Program: Project Initiator Response to AIS Recommendations

5.6. 46, 2	States that ATC will work with landowners to minimize potential impacts to their organic farming status, including sign in/out sheets and virgin matting. The CPCN states that ATC may use those options, but not required to use those options.	Edited phrasing to the following: "For identified organic farms, ATC will work with landowners to minimize potential impacts to their organic farming status from the Project, which may include:..."
------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------



**WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,
TRADE AND CONSUMER PROTECTION**

**DIVISION OF
AGRICULTURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT**

Agricultural Impact Program

P.O. Box 8911

Madison, WI 53708-8911

608-224-4650

agimpact.wi.gov